A flexible model for neutron star equation of state: Implication of recent observations

Chiranjib Mondal Hoa Dinh Thi & Francesca Gulminelli



"Coimbra University" September 15, 2021

Structure



courtesy: C. Gonzalez-Boquera



J. Lattimer, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 62, 485–515 (2012)

One-to-one correspondence



• GR imposes a one-to-one correspondence between the nuclear EoS and static properties of NS.

J. Lattimer, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 62, 485–515 (2012)



- GR imposes a one-to-one correspondence between the nuclear EoS and static properties of NS.
- M(R) (NICER), Λ(R) (LIGO/VIRGO) provide crucial information.

J. Lattimer, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 62, 485–515 (2012)



J. Lattimer, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 62, 485–515 (2012)

- GR imposes a one-to-one correspondence between the nuclear EoS and static properties of NS.
- M(R) (NICER), Λ(R) (LIGO/VIRGO) provide crucial information.
- What about composition? Hyperons? Quarks?



J. Lattimer, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 62, 485–515 (2012)

- GR imposes a one-to-one correspondence between the nuclear EoS and static properties of NS.
- M(R) (NICER), Λ(R) (LIGO/VIRGO) provide crucial information.
- What about composition? Hyperons? Quarks?
- Reactions ⇒ nucleosynthesis ⇒ kilonova as well as cooling ⇒ X-ray spectra.

Gogny interaction



C. Gonzalez-Boquera, X. Viñas, M. Centelles, CM and L. M. Robledo, Symmetry 13 (2021) 9, 1613

Gogny interaction



• Finite range interaction has the advantage of treating mean-field and pairing with the same interaction.

C. Gonzalez-Boquera, X. Viñas, M. Centelles, CM and L. M. Robledo, Symmetry 13 (2021) 9, 1613

Gogny interaction



- Finite range interaction has the advantage of treating mean-field and pairing with the same interaction.
- D1M* is the first Gogny interaction which can reach 2 solar mass neutron star. (Phys.Lett.B 779 (2018) 195)

C. Gonzalez-Boquera, X. Viñas, M. Centelles, CM and L. M. Robledo, Symmetry 13 (2021) 9, 1613

Gogny interaction



C. Gonzalez-Boquera, X. Viñas, M. Centelles, CM and L. M. Robledo, Symmetry 13 (2021) 9, 1613

- Finite range interaction has the advantage of treating mean-field and pairing with the same interaction.
- D1M* is the first Gogny interaction which can reach 2 solar mass neutron star. (Phys.Lett.B 779 (2018) 195)
- Inner crust EoS based on Gogny interaction (CM et. al., PRC 102, 015802(2020))

Gogny interaction



C. Gonzalez-Boquera, X. Viñas, M. Centelles, CM and L. M. Robledo, Symmetry 13 (2021) 9, 1613

- Finite range interaction has the advantage of treating mean-field and pairing with the same interaction.
- D1M* is the first Gogny interaction which can reach 2 solar mass neutron star. (Phys.Lett.B 779 (2018) 195)
- Inner crust EoS based on Gogny interaction (CM et. al., PRC 102, 015802(2020))
- Not big differences in EoS. But composition is predicted.

Nucleonic hypothesis



G. Burgio & I. Vidaña, Universe 6, 119 (2020)

Nucleonic hypothesis



• Is nucleonic hypothesis fully deciphered?

G. Burgio & I. Vidaña, Universe 6, 119 (2020)

Nucleonic hypothesis



G. Burgio & I. Vidaña, Universe 6, 119 (2020)

- Is nucleonic hypothesis fully deciphered?
- Are there degeneracies in the nucleonic hypothesis?

Nucleonic hypothesis



- Is nucleonic hypothesis fully deciphered?
- Are there degeneracies in the nucleonic hypothesis?
- Suppose P(ε) is known precisely, does this define a single nucleonic model?

G. Burgio & I. Vidaña, Universe 6, 119 (2020)

Nucleonic hypothesis



- Is nucleonic hypothesis fully deciphered?
- Are there degeneracies in the nucleonic hypothesis?
- Suppose P(ε) is known precisely, does this define a single nucleonic model? ⇔ unique properties of nuclear matter?

G. Burgio & I. Vidaña, Universe 6, 119 (2020)

Nucleonic hypothesis



G. Burgio & I. Vidaña, Universe 6, 119 (2020)

- Is nucleonic hypothesis fully deciphered?
- Are there degeneracies in the nucleonic hypothesis?
- Suppose P(ε) is known precisely, does this define a single nucleonic model? ⇔ unique properties of nuclear matter?
 - 1 model ⇒ 1 EoS Similar EoS ⇒ similar model??? What impact the new measurements have?

Founding aspects (Based on J. Margueron et. al., PRC 97, 025805 (2018))

Features

- Flexible functional $e(\rho_n, \rho_p)$ able to reproduce existing effective nucleonic models and interpolate between them.
- Expansion in powers of the Fermi momentum or of the density.
- Expansion around saturation: Parameter space = emp. par. \vec{X} .
- Beta-equilibrium!!!

Founding aspects (Based on J. Margueron et. al., PRC 97, 025805 (2018))

Features

- Flexible functional $e(\rho_n, \rho_p)$ able to reproduce existing effective nucleonic models and interpolate between them.
- Expansion in powers of the Fermi momentum or of the density.
- Expansion around saturation: Parameter space = emp. par. \overrightarrow{X} .
- Beta-equilibrium!!!

• The energy per particle is given by $(x = \frac{n_b - n_0}{3n_0}, n_b = \rho_n + \rho_p, \delta = \frac{\rho_n - \rho_p}{n_b})$ $e(\rho_n, \rho_n) \simeq e_{\text{SNM}}(n_b, 0) + e_{\text{sym}}(n_b)\delta^2$

Founding aspects (Based on J. Margueron et. al., PRC 97, 025805 (2018))

Features

- Flexible functional $e(\rho_n, \rho_p)$ able to reproduce existing effective nucleonic models and interpolate between them.
- Expansion in powers of the Fermi momentum or of the density.
- Expansion around saturation: Parameter space = emp. par. \overrightarrow{X} .
- Beta-equilibrium!!!

• The energy per particle is given by
$$(x = \frac{n_b - n_0}{3n_0}, n_b = \rho_n + \rho_p, \delta = \frac{\rho_n - \rho_p}{n_b})$$

 $e(\rho_n, \rho_p) \simeq e_{\text{SNM}}(n_b, 0) + e_{\text{sym}}(n_b)\delta^2$
 $e_{\text{SNM}}(n_b) \simeq \mathcal{E}_0 + \frac{1}{2}K_0x^2 + \frac{1}{6}Q_0x^3 + \frac{1}{24}Z_0x^4$
 $e_{\text{sym}}(n_b) \simeq J + Lx + \frac{1}{2}K_{\text{sym}}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}Q_{\text{sym}}x^3 + \frac{1}{24}Z_{\text{sym}}x^4.$

Founding aspects (Based on J. Margueron et. al., PRC 97, 025805 (2018))

Features

- Flexible functional $e(\rho_n, \rho_p)$ able to reproduce existing effective nucleonic models and interpolate between them.
- Expansion in powers of the Fermi momentum or of the density.
- Expansion around saturation: Parameter space = emp. par. \vec{X} .
- Beta-equilibrium!!!

• The energy per particle is given by
$$(x = \frac{n_b - n_0}{3n_0}, n_b = \rho_n + \rho_p, \delta = \frac{\rho_n - \rho_p}{n_b})$$

 $e(\rho_n, \rho_p) \simeq e_{\text{SNM}}(n_b, 0) + e_{\text{sym}}(n_b)\delta^2$
 $e_{\text{SNM}}(n_b) \simeq \mathcal{E}_0 + \frac{1}{2}K_0x^2 + \frac{1}{6}Q_0x^3 + \frac{1}{24}Z_0x^4$
 $e_{\text{sym}}(n_b) \simeq J + Lx + \frac{1}{2}K_{\text{sym}}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}Q_{\text{sym}}x^3 + \frac{1}{24}Z_{\text{sym}}x^4.$
 $e_{\text{Elf}}(\rho_n, \rho_p) = KE(\rho_n, \rho_p) + \sum_{\alpha \ge 0} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left(v_{\alpha}^{is} + v_{\alpha}^{iv}\delta^2 \right) x^{\alpha}.$

fitting the pressure



fitting the pressure



Elf-astro

• Optmize higher order parameters to obtain the beta equilibrium energy/pressure!!

fitting the pressure



Elf-astro

• Optmize higher order parameters to obtain the beta equilibrium energy/pressure!!

fitting the pressure



- Optmize higher order parameters to obtain the beta equilibrium energy/pressure!!
- The composition is very different at high densities!!!

fitting the pressure



- Optmize higher order parameters to obtain the beta equilibrium energy/pressure!!
- The composition is very different at high densities!!!

fitting the pressure



- Optmize higher order parameters to obtain the beta equilibrium energy/pressure!!
- The composition is very different at high densities!!!

fitting the pressure



- Optmize higher order parameters to obtain the beta equilibrium energy/pressure!!
- The composition is very different at high densities!!!

fitting the pressure



Elf-astro

- Optmize higher order parameters to obtain the beta equilibrium energy/pressure!!
- The composition is very different at high densities!!!

A possible Remedy (Elfe)

• Fix higher order symmetric nuclear matter from Laboratory!

fitting the pressure



C. Mondal & F. Gulminelli (in preparation)

fitting the pressure



C. Mondal & F. Gulminelli (in preparation)

fitting the M-R



C. Mondal & F. Gulminelli (in preparation)

Obtaining the filters

Prior = Nuclear physics informed prior with AME2016 fit.

Obtaining the filters

Prior = Nuclear physics informed prior with AME2016 fit.

Filters in Bayesian Analysis

Obtaining the filters

Prior = Nuclear physics informed prior with AME2016 fit.





EFT

Obtaining the filters

Prior = Nuclear physics informed prior with AME2016 fit.

Filters in Bayesian Analysis

- LD = EFT energy band at low density.
- HD+LVC = HD (causality, thermodynamic stability, M_{max} constraint) + LVC tidal deformability $\tilde{\Lambda}$ PDF.



Obtaining the filters

Prior = Nuclear physics informed prior with AME2016 fit.

Filters in Bayesian Analysis

- **LD** = EFT energy band at low density.
- HD+LVC = HD (causality, thermodynamic stability, M_{max} constraint) + LVC tidal deformability $\tilde{\Lambda}$ PDF.
- All = EFT + HD + LVC + NICER.



Distribution of parameters



Hoa Dinh Thi, CM & F. Gulminelli (under review)

Distribution of parameters



Hoa Dinh Thi, CM & F. Gulminelli (under review)

Correlation among parameters



Correlation between parameters and observables



Correlation between observables



SNM and symmetry energy



Transition properties



 R_{crust} and x_p



Hoa Dinh Thi, CM & F. Gulminelli (under review)

R_{crust} and x_p



Hoa Dinh Thi, CM & F. Gulminelli (under review)

Λ and Radius



Hoa Dinh Thi, CM & F. Gulminelli (under review)

Λ and Radius



Hoa Dinh Thi, CM & F. Gulminelli (under review)



Mass-Radius



Hoa Dinh Thi, CM & F. Gulminelli (under review)

• The description of neutron star static observables only need general relativity + the nuclear EoS.

- The description of neutron star static observables only need general relativity + the nuclear EoS.
- The correspondence between beta-equilibrated matter and the physics of the nuclear energy functional is not precise.

Conclusions and outlook

- The description of neutron star static observables only need general relativity + the nuclear EoS.
- The correspondence between beta-equilibrated matter and the physics of the nuclear energy functional is not precise.
- Within nucleonic hypothesis, static properties of the neutron stars are not enough to pin down the composition and behaviour of the high density matter!

Conclusions and outlook

- The description of neutron star static observables only need general relativity + the nuclear EoS.
- The correspondence between beta-equilibrated matter and the physics of the nuclear energy functional is not precise.
- Within nucleonic hypothesis, static properties of the neutron stars are not enough to pin down the composition and behaviour of the high density matter!
- Nucleonic hypothesis is consistent with all the current data.

- The description of neutron star static observables only need general relativity + the nuclear EoS.
- The correspondence between beta-equilibrated matter and the physics of the nuclear energy functional is not precise.
- Within nucleonic hypothesis, static properties of the neutron stars are not enough to pin down the composition and behaviour of the high density matter!
- Nucleonic hypothesis is consistent with all the current data.
- Other degrees of freedom?? We need data with much less uncertainties.