# Machine Learning methods to measure the quantum numbers of the Higgs interaction to W bosons Supervisors: Inês Ochoa, Patrícia Muíño, Ricardo Barrué

#### João Moura Teixeira<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto Superior Técnico Engineering Physics

September 2021



LABORATÓRIO DE INSTRUMENTAÇÃO E FÍSICA EXPERIMENTAL DE PARTÍCULAS partículas e tecnologia

## The Motivation

- No evidence for new particles at the LHC yet
- Energy scale above LHC reach?
- Might still have visible effects in observables measurable at the LHC



Figure: Particles of the Standard Model

## Effective Field Theory (EFT)

- Effective Field Theory (EFT) represents a possible approach for studying small deviations to the SM theory
- Basic principle: expand SM lagrangian with operators  $O_i^{(d)}$  of dimension d > 4

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{d>4} \sum_{i} \frac{c_i O_i^{(d)}}{\Lambda^{d-4}}$$
(1)

• In which:

 $-\Lambda =$  new high energy scale

 $-c_i$  = Wilson coefficients which represent the relative strength of each mass operator,  $O_i^{(d)}$ 

• Main advantage of using EFT: model-independent approach

# Charge-Parity (CP) transformations

- Process classification on when it comes to charge-parity:
  - CP-even process: keeps the signal
  - CP-odd process: changes the signal
- It is said that a CP-symmetry violation occured in an interaction when it isn't invariant



• The Higgs interactions predicted on the SM are classified as CP-even

#### HWW interaction

- HWW interaction is characterized as CP-even in the SM
- So, we will recur to BSM sources to measure CP-odd terms



Figure: An example of HWW interaction (in this case, the topology we will study)

• The only dimension-6 CP-odd operator in the HWW vertex is  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{HW}$ 

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{HW} = \frac{c_{\tilde{H}W}}{\Lambda^2} H^{\dagger} H \tilde{W}^{I}_{\mu\nu} W^{I\mu\nu} = \frac{c_{\tilde{H}W}}{\Lambda^2} H^{\dagger} H \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W^{I\rho\sigma} W^{I\mu\nu}$$
(2)

- Main goal of this work:
  - Study different sets of kinematic observables
  - Use the Fisher information formalism to determine the combination of observables with largest sensitivity to  $c_{\tilde{H}W}$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

3

### WH associated production

- Topology to study: associated WH production in the  $W \longrightarrow l 
  u$ ,  $H \longrightarrow b ar{b}$  final state
  - the easiness to identify the isolated high-energy lepton which will make the triggering more efficient
  - the decay of the Higgs boson to a pair of b-quarks is the one with the highest branching ratio (BR  $\approx$  58%)



- Main reducible backgrounds:
  - $t\bar{t}$  production in the semileptonic decay channel (left)
  - single top production in the s-channel (center)
  - associated production of a W boson and b-jets (right)



#### Results - I

- The variables related to the energy of the WH system are shifted to higher values
  - Expected due to BSM contributions
- The bosons' transverse momenta,  $p_T$ , have shifted distributions
- Observation: morphing\_basis\_vector\_1 refers to a SM sample + CP-odd coupling





Figure: Transverse momentum of the Higgs boson,  $pT_-H$  [GeV]

Figure: Transverse momentum of the W boson,  $pT_-W$  [GeV]

- The transverse momentum distributions of quarks and leptons will also be shifted to higher values as:
  - the Higgs decays into a pair of b-quarks
  - the W boson decays into a lepton and a neutrino



Figure: Transverse momentum of a b-quark,  $pT_b1$  [GeV]

Figure: Transverse momentum of a b-quark, pT\_b2 [GeV]

Figure: Transverse momentum of the lepton,  $pT_{-}I$  [GeV]

#### Results - III

• Angular observables such as  $\cos \theta^*$ ,  $\cos \delta^+$  and  $\cos \delta^-$  are relevant as they can help to distinguish CP-odd and CP-even BSM signals



#### Another set of angular observables

- Definition of functions for new angular observables:  $\Theta$ ,  $\phi$  and  $\theta$ 
  - $\Theta\equiv$  angle between the W boson and the beam axis
  - $\phi \equiv$  angle between the plane of production of the VH-system and the lepton-neutrino system plane of production
  - $\theta \equiv$  angle between the W boson and one of the leptons



#### Results - IV

- Results weren't quite encouraging
- Statistical fluctuations  $\sim$  height of each histogram bin  $\implies$  observables not sensitive enough



 However, the distribution for cos ⊖ led to some notorious differences between the SM and the morphing\_basis\_vector\_1 samples • Distribution for  $\cos \Theta$  with a higher peak around 0



From the results and histograms previously shown and discussed, one can conclude that the most sensitive variables are the transverse momentum of the W candidate,  $p_{T_W}$ , and the following angular observables:  $\cos \theta^*$ ,  $\cos \delta^+$ ,  $\cos \delta^-$  and  $\cos \Theta$ .

These observables are candidates for optimal variables to constrain CP-odd operators which cause the observed shift on the transverse momentum distributions.

- The setup and sample generation tasks of this work are made using *Madminer*.
- The samples used were generated at LO in QCD with *Madgraph5\_mC@NLO*
- The SM and BSM signal samples are generated using *SMEFTsim3* and the background samples with the default SM model.
- In order to reproduce the most relevant effects from detectors, one opted to smear the parton-level b-quark energies and  $E_T^{miss}$
- The b-jet energies are smeared by a gaussian transfer function with width  $\sigma_E/E = 0.1$