



LABORATÓRIO DE INSTRUMENTAÇÃO E FÍSICA EXPERIMENTAL DE PARTÍCULAS partículas e tecnologia



## **Tile Calorimeter**

Past, Present and Bridges to Future

**Rute Pedro** 

LIP Seminar | 24 June 2021



- Central hadronic calorimeter of ATLAS, covering  $|\eta|$ <1.7
- Measures hadrons, jets, taus, missing transverse energy, assists in the muon reconstruction and electron ID
- Provides input to the Level 1 Calorimeter trigger

#### Past, Present, Future



### Outline



Letter of Intent for a General-Purpose pp Experiment at the Large Hadron Collider at CERN



Detector design features

LIP contributions

#### Outline



#### Outline



#### Lessons to future detector design Detector R&D plans



#### Detector design features LIP contributions





- Steel plates (absorber) interleaved with plastic scintillator tiles (active medium) (4.7:1)
- Sampling period 18 mm, 64 wedged modules
- Plastic scintillators made of Polystyrene PS doped with PTP (1.5%) + POPOP (0.04%)

## **Detector Readout and Granularity**





- Tile read out by wavelength shifting (WLS) optical fibres
- Fibres bundled and read by a Photomultipliers (PMTs) to define the unit cell
- Radially segmented: A, B(C) and D layers
- 5182 cells,  $(\eta, \phi)$  granularity: cells in the A, B(C) layer 0.1 X 0.1, cells in the D layer 0.2 X 0.1
- Double PMT readout: 9852 readout channels



#### 0.8 0.6

ATLAS





10

— Low gain

····· High gain

#### Signal Readout and Reconstruction



- PMT signals are shaped and amplified in two gains (low/high ratio 1:64) for high/low signal
- Amplified signal is digitised every 25 ns by a 10-bit ADC
- Signal amplitude A and time  $\tau$  determined from a 7 signal samples  $S_i$ :  $A = \sum' a_i S_i$   $\tau = \frac{1}{A} \sum' b_i S_i$

• Energy is reconstructed from signal amplitudes using calibration factors:

 $E[GeV] = A[ADC] \times f_{ADC \to pC} \times f_{pC \to GeV} \times f_{Cs} \times f_{Laser}$ 

•  $f_{pC \rightarrow GeV}$  is the EM energy scale constant measured during test beam (2001-2003)

#### **TileCal Calibration Systems**

 $E[GeV] = A[ADC] \times f_{ADC \to pC} \times f_{pC \to GeV} \times f_{Cs} \times f_{Laser}$ 

- Cesium source calibrates optical components and PMTs responses:  $f_{Cs}$
- Laser light system calibrates the response of PMTs and readout electronics: f<sub>Laser</sub>
- Charge Injection System (CIS) calibrates the response of ADCs:  $f_{ADC \rightarrow pC}$
- Integrator readout of Physics events to monitor the full detector response
- Cell response fluctuates due to PMT and scintillators performance variation, correlated to LHC operation





- 3  $^{137}Cs$   $\gamma$ -sources (662 keV) to scan the calorimeter cells
- Hydraulic drive at 35 cm/s, few hours/scan
- Cell signal measured by the integrator readout (10 ms)



- Laser light source (532 nm)
- Attenuation filters wheel to adjust light intensity
- Beam expander and mixer into 400 dispatching fibres
- Monitoring photodiodes along the light path
- Pulses sent to each PMT
- Upgraded in LS1

## LIP responsibilities in TileCal construction

Activity	Institution	Activity	Institution
Optics:		Electronics:	
Scintillator material procurement, including moulds	CERN, IHEP-Protvino, Lisbon	PMT blocks:	
Scintillator construction	IHEP-Protvino	PMT procurement	CERN, Clermont, Illinois, <mark>Lisbon/Coimbra</mark> , Pisa, Valencia
Wrapping material and tooling	Michigan	<ul> <li>PMT magnetic shielding</li> </ul>	Yerevan, CERN
Scintillator for the gap region	U.T. Arlington	HV dividers	Clermont
Fibres procurement, preparation, aluminization	Lisbon/Coimbra, Pisa		Beerland Chinese Challeday
Fibres profiles and insertion tooling	CERN, Lisbon/Coimbra	• 3-in-1 cards	Barcelona, Chicago, Stockholm
Girder rings and installation tooling	Clermont	<ul> <li>light mixers</li> </ul>	Lisbon/Coimbra, Prague
Fibre bundle tools	Lisbon/Coimbra	<ul> <li>mechanical parts of PMT blocks</li> </ul>	Clermont, Lisbon/Coimbra
Fibre bundle polishing tools	Yerevan	<ul> <li>PMT tests and PMT block assembly</li> </ul>	Clermont, Illinois, JINR-Dubna, Pisa,
Instrumentation and testing of the barrel modules	CERN, <mark>Lisbon/Coimbra</mark> , Pisa, Prague, JINR, IHEP, Rio	Calibration systems:	Lisbon / Coimbra, Valencia
Instrumentation and testing of ext. barrel A modules	Argonne, Illinois, Michigan, U.T. Arlin ton, Chicago	Laser system	Clermont, Lisbon/Coimbra
Instrumentation and testing of ext. barrel C mod- ules	Barcelona IFAE	• Source mechanics, including sensors and sources	Barcelona, CERN, IHEP-Protvino, JINR- Dubna

#### Scintillator procurement

R&D on scintillator production

(in collaboration with the Institute for Polymers and Composites - UMinho)



Studies of scintillator-fibre coupling Scintillator uniformity

T's

.



Fibre bundle preparation for polishing and mirroring





# Fibre mirroring by Al deposit by magnetron sputtering

TIASILIP



Fibre quality control Measurement of Al coat reflection

Development of a robot for automatic fibre insertion in mechanical profiles (in collaboration with IST robotics)

10.00

ADD STORE

OUT TO THE OWNER OF





#### PMT quality control with dedicated test bench

1.11

at at

.....

1 3

-----

11111

199.40 844

STREET.

the second

#### TileCal, from R&D to first collisions ~ 15 years (1993-2009) Slide from A. Henriques



1993-1995 R&D



1999-2002 Instrumentation

#### 1999-2004 Electronics









2009: First collisions

2002-2004 Calibrations

2004-2006 Installation

2007-2009 Commissioning (Mostly with cosmic muons) Detector Operation and Performance How to face the HL-LHC challenges





Calibration with the Cs system

- $^{137}Cs$   $\gamma$ -source scans 2-3 times/year in Run 2
- Cell response drifts due to scintillator degradation with exposure to radiation and PMT response loss with accumulated anode current
- Precision of the system for a typical cell is around 0.3%
- Maximal down-drifts for the innermost A layer

arxiv:2002.12800





#### Calibration with the Laser system

- Dedicated laser runs taken weekly
- Pulses also sent during collisions (in empty bunches) to calibrate timing
- PMT response variation evaluated w.r.t. last Cs scan, with calibration factors updated weekly (precision around 0.5%)
- Larger drifts in PMTs reading the A layer and E cells, with highest energy deposits





**ATLAS Preliminary** 

## **Charge Injection system**



- Calibrates the response of analog amplifiers and ADCs
- Injection of known charge signal (0 to 800 pC), shaped to match PMT signal
- Dedicated runs taken weekly to extract the pC to ADC conversion factor
- Precision of 0.7% and stability over time is ~0.03%



#### **Time Calibration**

- Adjust the digitiser sampling clock to the peak of the signal
- Bad time calibration can underestimate signal amplitude

- Time calibrations derived from time distribution in cells associated to jets, additional monitoring from laser signals
- Average cell time ~0.4 ns for E<sub>cell</sub> > 20 GeV
- Resolution better than 1 ns for E<sub>cell</sub> > 4 GeV



#### Noise measurement

- Total noise per cell in the calorimeter comes from:
  - Electronics
  - Pile-up
- Electronics noise ~20 MeV for all cells, measured regularly in dedicated runs without signal in the detector
- Pile-up noise dependent of the average number of interactions per bunch crossing
- Innermost cells in the A layer with larger energy deposits are more affected by pile-up noise



## **Detector Operation and Data Quality**

- Detector Control System (a SCADA infrastructure) to Control and Monitor the TileCal operation and the detector parameters
- Run coordination, maintenance and data quality teams ensure the smooth operation of the detector
- Continuum monitoring to identify and mask problematic channels, correct mis-calibrations, detect data corruption and hardware issues
- Redundancy in the cell readout reduces the impact of masked channels
- Maintenance campaigns fix all issues to fully recover the system
- TileCal had 99.7% DQ efficiency in Run 2





#### Performance: Response to isolated hadrons

- The ratio of the calorimeter energy response to isolated charged hadrons (EM scale) to the track momenta
   < E/p > is used to evaluate uniformity and linearity during data taking
- Measured in Minimum Bias events
- Expected < E/p > < 1 due to the non-compensating nature of the TileCal (sampling calorimeter: e/h = 1.36)
- Data/MC agreement within 5%
- Jets are further calibrated to the jet energy scale



#### Performance: Response to cosmic muons

- Isolated muons from cosmic rays are used to study in situ the EM scale and the cells inter-calibration
- Cell response is evaluated as the energy deposited by the muon path length dE/dx
- Good energy response uniformity over  $\phi$
- < 5% non-uniformity in the η response to cosmic muons



#### Performance: Jet energy resolution

• Jet energy resolution better 10% for  $p_T^{jet}$  > 100 GeV

TileCal designed for 
$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} \sim \frac{50\%}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus 3\%$$

Constant term within the expected 3%



#### How to face the HL-LHC challenges



- Detector upgrade
  - Electronics: PMT signals will be digitised and sent directly to the back-end to cope with new fully digital Trigger/DAQ
  - New HV remote system

- TileCal was designed for a 10 year operation at LHC nominal conditions
  - Understand detector ageing
  - Radiation damage in active components
  - Expected performance at the HL-LHC phase

## **High Voltage Upgrade**



- High voltage regulation will be moved away from the detector
  - To ease access/maintenance and avoid radiation
- New crate, control and monitoring
- 100 m long cables needed to bring the HV to the detector

- Several boards being designed in collaboration with eCRLab
  - HV remote for the regulation of individual high voltages (48 ch / board)
  - HV supplies low voltage and primary high voltage (max -950 V)
  - FPGA adapter board for Zybo Z7 Zynq for control and monitoring
- Cables General Cable (Portuguese) developing new version

#### Thanks for the slide, Agostinho!







## **Optics Robustness**



- Long plan ahead for the TileCal operation: study the radiation hardness of the TileCal optics
  - Bulk active elements can't be upgraded
  - Radiation degrades scintillator light output and WLS fibre's transparency

- Optics response obtained from comparison between
  - Cell response to Cs (or Minimum Bias events):  $\Delta Cs$
  - PMT response to Laser pulses:  $\Delta Las$



#### **Optics Robustness**



$$I/I_0 = 1 + \frac{\Delta R_{Cs} - \Delta R_{Las}}{100\%}$$

- Multi-year cumulative I/I<sub>0</sub> assumes no light yield evolution in between collision years
- Spread of the dose estimate within the large cell volume
- Uncertainty on I/I<sub>o</sub> assumes no correlation
  - Cesium systematics: ~0.5% (referenceCSsysts)
  - Laser uncertainties derived (referenceLasUnc)





Master thesis B. Pereira





- Great majority of the cells did not degrade (B and D layer), relative uncertainty is ~1%
- 6% light output loss in average for the A layer
- At the most, 10% light loss for A12, the most exposed barrel cell

#### Master thesis B. Pereira

#### **Optics Robustness: Extrapolation to future runs**

- Typically, the light output decreases exponentially with the dose, fitting exponential function
  - $I/I_0 = e^{p_o dose/p_1}$
- Up and down systematics variations fitted to determine uncertainty on the fit parameters
- Large extrapolation, data only in the beginning of the curve







350 fb<sup>-1</sup> @ Run 3

Expected Relative Light Yield at the end of the Run3



- Relative uncertainty 8 to 16% for the A layer and B cells (EB) and 5% for the remaining
- Expected about the same amount of light loss in Run 3 than we had in Run 2

Master thesis B. Pereira

• 6% light output loss in average for the A layer

## **Optics Robustness: What's next?**

- Run 3 data will hep constrain the degradation model
  - More precise extrapolations
  - Measure eventual scintillator recovery (annealing)
- Dose rate effects?
  - CMS observed larger degradation for lower dose rates in the Endcap HCAL, <u>1608.07267</u>
    - Bicron-408, Polyvyniltoluene (PVT)-based, Saint-Gobain
    - SCSN-81, Polystyrene (PS)-based from Kuraray
  - Our preliminary results agree... (can play in our favor in the HL-LHC)





1000

1500

2000

Dose [Gy]





## **Optics Robustness: What's next?**

- Study the effect of light loss on the detector performance at the HL-LHC
  - Define a few HL-LHC benchmark scenarios based on extrapolations
  - Simulate the degraded TileCal response on Geant4
  - Evaluate the impact on the detector measurements
    - Sensitivity to hadronic leakage (electron ID)
    - Jet energy resolution
- Mitigation strategy
  - Compensate light output loss with higher efficiency photodetectors
  - PMTs reading the A-layer will be replaced in the Phase-II upgrade



Lessons to future detector design Detector R&D plans



## Future colliders: requirements for calorimeters

- FCC-ee:  $\sqrt{s} = [90,350] GeV$
- FCC-hh:  $\sqrt{s} = 100 \ TeV$ ,  $\mathscr{L} < 3 \times 10^{35} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$
- Requirements for calorimetry
  - Excellent energy resolution and linearity
  - Time resolution  $\mathcal{O}(20)\,ps\,$  to fight pile-up
  - Technology to stand radiation environment
- Open directions
  - Particle flow (combined calorimeter and tracker information)
  - Dual readout (event by event compensation, e/h = 1)
  - High-granularity segmentation (E, position (x,y,z))
  - Precise timing







Data rate (typ.) [kHz] Data rate (max.) [kHz]



ECFA Detector R&D Roadmap - Calorimetry

## **Future calorimeters**

ECFA Detector R&D Roadmap - Calorimetry



Proposal of TileCal-like design as central hadronic calorimeter - HCAL

- Steel:lead:scintillating plastic tiles = 3.3:1.3:1
- 128 modules in  $\phi$ , tiles separated by reflector material:  $\Delta \phi = 0.025$
- Tile individual readout with WLS fibre into SiPM, signals merged into  $\Delta \eta = 0.025$
- Also a valid option for FCC-ee (?)

- Other concepts available (e.g.)
  - Integrated readout of scintillators with SiPMs (CALICE, CMS HGCAL)
  - Dual-readout (eg. compact high-granular w/ ADRIANO2 tiles: 10% stochastic term)
  - Silicon-based High granular calorimeters (CALICE, CMS HGCAL)



1912.09962

#### What can we learn with the TileCal operation history?

- Detector design must optimise calorimeter response throughout the experiment lifetime
  - Light yield and detection efficiency
  - Response depends on design factors: scintillator properties, tile size, fibre coupling, fibre length, photodetector type, cell granularity...

$$R_{cell} = \left(\sum_{scint}^{tiles} f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{scint}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}(\lambda_{att}, \boldsymbol{\ell}_{scint})) \otimes f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{coupling}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{WLS}(\lambda), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{r}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}(\lambda_{att}, \boldsymbol{\ell}_{fibre}))\right) \otimes f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{Q}, \boldsymbol{G})$$
Scintillators WLS fibres Photodetector

- Natural ageing:  $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon(t)$
- Radiation damage:  $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon(d, \dot{d})$



inspirehep/1197250 inspirehep/811858 Approximate numbers

- Unique data set from TileCal, several advantages
  - In-situ conditions
    - Low dose rates (very difficult to assess with laboratory irradiations)

## Can Machine Learning teach us something?

N tiles

N rows



PhD work plan B. Pereira





- Combined fit to the TileCal geometry and optics response data with DNN regression
- Investigate the dependence of the obtained model on the different detector parameters and dose conditions

## **R&D** on brighter and radiation-harder scintillators



- Independently of geometry optimisation and technology choices, active material must improve
  - Initial light yield is an overhead to maximise
  - More radiation hardness
  - More detectable light, i.e., best possible matching between emitted light wavelength and photodetector efficiency peak

- Novel materials look promising
  - PEN Polyethylene Naphtalate
  - PET Polyethylene Terephtalate

## PET and PEN, scintillation properties

Material	Polyethylene naphthalate	Organic scintillator (ref. [14])	Plastic bottle (ref. [13])
Supplier	Teijin Chemicals	Saint-Gobain	Teijin Chemicals
Base	$(C_{14}H_{10}O_4)_n$	$(\mathrm{C}_{9}\mathrm{H}_{10})_{n}$	$(\mathrm{C}_{10}\mathrm{H}_8\mathrm{O}_4)_n$
Density	$1.33\mathrm{g/cm^3}$	$1.03\mathrm{g/cm^3}$	$1.33\mathrm{g/cm^3}$
Refractive index	1.65	1.58	1.64
Light output	$\sim 10500 \text{ photon/MeV}$	$10000 \mathrm{~photon/MeV}$	$\sim 2200 \text{ photon/MeV}$
Wavelength max. emission	$425\mathrm{nm}$	$425\mathrm{nm}$	380 nm

- Scintillate without addition dopants
- Emission spectrum well matched to typical photodetector efficiency
- PEN has larger light yield than expensive commercial BC-408
- PEN has large radiopurity and good mechanical properties
  - proposed as active vetoing structure in low-background experiments
- PEN affords an energy resolution of ~10% at 1 MeV, better than BC-408



iopscience/10.1209 arxiv:1806.04020

## PET and PEN, radiation hardness

- Irradiation of PET and PEN sheets Goodfellow
- Cs-137 gamma source
- 10 and 100 kGy in 67 h
- Dose rates 150Gy/h and 1.5 kGy/h



- PEN degrades less and recovers faster
- PET degrades faster, recovers slower but shows larger recovery
  - (For doses and dose rates closer to real detector in-situ conditions)

#### arxiv:1605.00700

## **R&D** on brighter and radiation-harder scintillators



- Re-initiated the collaboration with the Institute for Composites and Polymers UMinho
- Original initiative of Prof. A. Maio
- Goal of the project is to develop and investigate samples of PET and PEN blends
  - Do PEN and PET blend synergistically? What is the best material formula?
  - Is it advantageous to add dopants at all?

- Characterise the optical and scintillation properties of the samples at LOMaC/LIP
- Optimise and set up a scalable manufacturing technique
- Irradiate the best samples at laboratory to characterise the radiation resistance
  - Important to study dose rate effects



#### Extrusion machine

- 1 volumetric feeder
- 2 twin screw extruder
- 3 mini-gear pump
- 4 rheo-optical die
- 5 laser He-Ne
- 6 pinhole
- 7 screen
- 8 CCD camera
- 9 glass
- 10 photo detector







Letter of Intent for a General-Purpose pp Experiment at the Large Hadron Collider at CERN



In-house expertise and experience gained from LIP contribution to TileCal construction

## Summary





Detector Operating and Performing very well Phase-II upgrade projects ongoing Study the expected detector performance at the HL-LHC

## Summary



Radiation hardness is a critical aspect of plastic scintillator-based calorimetry

Investing in R&D on potential radiation-harder scintillators

ECFA Roadmap for the R&D on future detectors will help lightening the way







# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**





CERN/FIS-PAR/0002/2019



#### **Integrator readout of Minimum Bias events**

- Soft inelastic interactions (minimum bias events) are the most frequent in high energy proton collisions
- The total energy deposit in the calorimeter over a large time is proportional to the instantaneous luminosity
- Integrator readout of the PMT signals (10 ms) provides an independent measurement of the instantaneous luminosity (given an initial calibration)
- The system also monitors the full detector chain and allows finer grained calibration of more drifting cells in between Cs runs (specially relevant for E-cells not scanned with the Cs-source)





#### Performance: Response to jets

- Good description of the cell energy and noise distributions are crucial for building topoclusters and to reconstruct the missing transverse energy
- Good data/MC agreement in the tile cell energy distribution
- Jet energy resolution better 10% for  $p_T^{jet}$  > 100 GeV

TileCal designed for  $\frac{\Delta E}{E} \sim \frac{50\%}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus 3\%$ 

• Constant term within the expected 3%

