

## Double-parton scattering studies using gauge bosons and jets at CMS



Rajat Gupta On behalf of CMS Collaboration

Panjab University Chandigarh (India)

MPI@LHC 2021 October 11-15, 2021







# Outline

- Introduction
- DPS studies in 4-jet events
- DPS studies in Z+jets events
- Same sign WW DPS studies
- Summary



Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)

MPI@LHC 2021

Oct 11, 2021



## **Double Parton Scattering (DPS)**



In general MPI is a softer contribution, But .....Some MPIs can be hard P Events where two hard parton-parton interactions occur in single proton-proton collisions C DPS cross-section  $\sigma_{eff} = \frac{m}{2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_X \cdot \sigma_Y}{\sigma_{X+Y}^{DPS}} \quad \{m = 1 \text{ when } X = Y \\ m = 2 \text{ when } X \neq Y \\ \bullet \text{ Background for rare processes, e.g. Higgs , SUSY etc} \\ \bullet \text{ Provides information on transverse partonic distribution of hadrons}$ 



DPS studies using 4 jets, Z+Jets and same sign WW process are presented in this talk

Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)



# DPS studies in 4-jets with low $p_{T}$ at 13 TeV (arXiv:2109.13822, submitted to JHEP)



Smaller value for DPS

larger value for DPS

## Observables

- Transverse momenta and pseudorapidity spectra of all the jets:
  - $p_{T,1}, p_{T,2}, p_{T,3}$  and  $p_{T,4}$
  - $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$ ,  $\eta_3$  and  $\eta_4$
  - $p_{_{T,1}} \, \text{and} \, \eta_{_1}$  in slides, others in backup
- Azimuthal angle of the soft jet pair:  $\Delta \phi_{Soft} = |\phi_3 \phi_4|$  Back-to-back for DPS (peak around  $\Pi$ )
- Transversal momentum balance of the soft jet pair:  $\Delta p_{T,soft} = \frac{|\vec{p}_{T,3}| + |\vec{p}_{T,4}|}{|\vec{p}_{T,3} + \vec{p}_{T,4}|}$
- Maximum difference in pseudorapidity:  $\Delta Y = max_{ij} \left[ |\eta_i \eta_j| \right]$
- Azimuthal angle of the most remote jets:  $\phi_{ij} = |\phi_i \phi_j|$  for  $\Delta Y = max_{ij} \{|\eta_i \eta_j|\}$  Strong correlation in SPS
- Azimuthal angle between the hardest and the softest jet pair (harder cuts needed):  $\Delta S = \arccos\left(\frac{(\vec{p}_{T,1} + \vec{p}_{T,2}) \cdot (\vec{p}_{T,3} + \vec{p}_{T,4})}{|\vec{p}_{T,1} + \vec{p}_{T,2}| \cdot |\vec{p}_{T,3} + \vec{p}_{T,4}|}\right) \longrightarrow DPS \text{ (random), SPS(peak at n)}$

#### Selection:

- > Anti- $k_{T}$ , R = 0.4
- Region I: p<sub>T,1 (2,3,4)</sub> > 35 GeV (30,25,20 GeV)
- > Region I:  $p_{_{T,1}(2,3,4)}$  > 50 GeV (30,30,30 GeV) for  $\Delta$ S >  $|\eta_i| < 4.7$
- > Asymmetric  $p_{T}$  cuts to enhance DPS sensitivity

#### Workflow:

- Data distributions compared with:
  - 1. PYTHIA8 and HERWIG
  - 2. Multijet Models
  - 3. SPS+DPS Models
- Extraction of effective cross section

#### Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)



# DPS studies in 4-jets with low $p_{\rm T}~at~13~TeV$ (arXiv:2109.13822, submitted to JHEP)



- $\Delta Y$  (left) and  $\Phi_{ij}$  (right)
  - Normalization to first four bins for  $\Delta Y$  and the last bin for  $\Phi_i$
- LO Models overshoot the data due to excess of forward/backward low p<sub>τ</sub> jets.
- Abs. cross-section prediction improves with NLO or high multiplicity matrix element (not true for all models)
- 0.042 pb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) CMS Preliminary dN/d∆ Y [a.u.] P8 - CP5 ---- P8 - CDPSTP8S1-4j  $10^{2}$ P8 - Vincia ---- H7 - CH3 CMS Data , p<sub>1,</sub>p<sub>1,2</sub>,p<sub>1,3</sub>,p<sub>1,4</sub>≥35,30,25,20 GeV 10-1 DPS Sensitivity → MC/Data P8 - CP5 P8 - CUETP8M1 ---- P8 - CDPSTP8S1-4 MC/Data H7 - CH3 - - H7 - SoftTune H++ - CUETHS1-P8 - Vincia q ΔY

Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)

•  $\Phi_{ij}$  favor angular ordered/dipole antenna PS models over  $p_{T}$ -ordered showers.





# DPS studies in 4-jets with low $p_{T}$ at 13 TeV (arXiv:2109.13822, submitted to JHEP)



- $\Delta \Phi_{_{3i}}$  (left) and  $\Delta S$  (right)
  - Normalization to first four bins for  $\Delta \Phi_{_{3i}}$  and the last bin for  $\Delta S$
- Data favour  $p_{T}$ -ordered showers for LO models
- Less conclusive for NLO and/or higher-multiplicity ME



- Only distribution insensitive to PS modelling
  - -- hence used for  $\sigma_{_{eff}}$  extraction



Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)



# DPS studies in 4-jets with low $p_{T}$ at 13 TeV (arXiv:2109.13822, submitted to JHEP)





- Strong dependence of extracted value of  $\sigma_{eff}$  on the model to describe SPS contribution.
- NLO models with  $2 \rightarrow 2$  and  $2 \rightarrow 3$  ME yield smallest  $\sigma_{eff}$  (~10 mb) implying greater need of DPS contribution
- Including 4 partons in ME of SPS models introduce DPS-like correlations in observables with  $\sigma_{eff} \sim 15$  mb.
- Largest value of  $\sigma_{_{eff}}$  (>~ 20 mb) found for LO models with 2  $_{\rightarrow}$  2 ME

#### Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)

#### MPI@LHC 2021

#### Oct 11, 2021





#### **Overview:**

- > Measured Integrated cross section for  $Z + \ge 1$  jet and  $Z + \ge 2$  jets processes.
- Measurement of differential cross section and area normalized distributions : as a function of DPS sensitive observables
- > Medium Muon ID with PF based isolation  $I_{rel} < 0.15$  (R=0.4).
- > Pair of oppositely charged muons with  $p_T > 27$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.4$ .
- > Z mass window (71 GeV <  $M_{\mu\mu}$  < 111 GeV).
- >  $p_T$  > 20 GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.4$ ,  $\Delta R(jet,\mu) > 0.4$ , Medium PU MVA ID

### Observables :

(motivated from previous measurements)

• 
$$Z + \ge 1$$
 jet events:  
•  $\Delta \phi(Z, j_1), \ \Delta_{\rho_T}^{\text{rel}}(Z, j_1) = \frac{|\vec{p}_T(Z) + \vec{p}_T(j_1)|}{|\vec{p}_T(Z)| + |\vec{p}_T(j_1)|}$ .  
•  $Z + \ge 2$  jets events:  
•  $\Delta \phi(Z, dijet)., \ \Delta_{\rho_T}^{\text{rel}}(Z, dijet) = \frac{|\vec{p}_T(Z) + \vec{p}_T(dijet)|}{|\vec{p}_T(Z)| + |\vec{p}_T(dijet)|}$   
•  $\Delta_{\rho_T}^{\text{rel}}(j_1, j_2) = \frac{|\vec{p}_T(j_1) + \vec{p}_T(j_2)|}{|\vec{p}_T(j_1)| + |\vec{p}_T(j_2)|}$ .

First measurement of DPS with Z + Jets at 13 TeV : input for DPS specific tunes.

#### Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)

MPI@LHC 2021



## DPS studies using Z+jets process at 13 TeV (arXiv:2105.14511, accepted by JHEP)



Measured integrated cross sections and comparison with different MC generators for  $Z + \ge 1$  jet and  $Z + \ge 2$  jet events

Cross-section (pb)		$Z + \ge 1$ Jets	$Z + \ge 2$ Jets
Measurement		$158.5 \pm 0.3$ (stat)	$44.8 \pm 0.4$ (stat)
		$\pm$ 7.0 (syst)	± 3.7 (syst)
		$\pm$ 1.2 (theo)	$\pm 0.5$ (theo)
		± 4.0 (lumi) pb	± 1.1 (lumi) pb
	PYTHIA 8, CP5 tune	$167.4 \pm 9.7$	$47.0 \pm 3.9$
MG5_aMC (NLO)	PYTHIA 8, CDPSTP8S1-WJ tune	$178.4\pm0.3$	$50.5 \pm 0.2$
	HERWIG 7, CH3 tune	$158.3 \pm 1.1$	$44.4 \pm 0.6$
MADGRAPH + PYTH	IIA 8, CP5 tune (LO)	$161.2 \pm 0.1$	$45.3 \pm 0.1$
SHERPA (NLO+LO)	)	$149.8\pm0.2$	$41.6 \pm 0.1$

- Well described by SHERPA, MC@NLO+PYTHIA8 (tune CP5) and MC@NLO+HERWIG7 (tune CH3) predictions.
- MC@NLO+PYTHIA8 (DPS tune CDPSTP8S1) overestimate by 10-15%



### DPS studies using Z+jets process at 13 TeV (arXiv:2105.14511, accepted by JHEP)





Differential cross-section

- Different MC event generators (except for the MG5\_aMC + PYTHIA8 with the DPSspecific tune CDPSTP8S1-WJ) describe, within the uncertainties, the overall differential cross section as a function of  $\Delta \Phi$  and  $\Delta_{rel} p_T$ , apart from a few discrepancies in some specific regions of these observables.
- MC@NLO+P8 (MPI-OFF) is lower than measurement (by 50%) in lower  $\Delta \Phi$  and high  $\Delta_{rel}p_T$  region.
- MC@NLO+P8 (MPI-OFF), MC@NLO+H7 and SHERPA: behave similar while describing differential and area normalized distributions.

Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)

MPI@LHC 2021

Oct 11, 2021



### DPS studies using Z+jets process at 13 TeV (arXiv:2105.14511, accepted by JHEP)



11

Oct 11, 2021



Area-normalized distribution

- MC@NLO+P8 CP5 (with MPI) describes diff. cross-section within uncertainty (except lower region of  $\Delta_{rel}p_T$  (SPS dominated), but underestimates measurement in case of area-normalized distributions (except lower  $\Delta_{rel}p_{T region}$ ).
- MC@NLO+P8 (CDPSTP8S1-WJ) fails to describe differential cross-section but describe shape of distribution within uncertainty) --> well modelled collision energy dependence of MPI parameters in tune



## Same-sign WW DPS studies at 13 TeV EPJC 80, 41 (2020)



#### As far as DPS goes, W<sup>±</sup>W<sup>±</sup> is one of the most interesting processes

- -- great theoretical interest
- -- highest scale DPS process attainable at the LHC
- -- large benefit from same-sign:
  - SPS processes suppressed

Experimental backgrounds suppressed.

- DPS WW process not been observed experimentally before.
- Allow validation of factorization approach.
- Background for new physics searches

#### A fairly loose set of selection requirements implemented:

Two leptons: 
$$e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$$
 or  $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$   
 $p_{T}^{\ell_{1}} > 25 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $p_{T}^{\ell_{2}} > 20 \text{ GeV}$   
 $|\eta_{e}| < 2.5$ ,  $|\eta_{\mu}| < 2.4$   
 $p_{T}^{\text{miss}} > 15 \text{ GeV}$   
 $N_{\text{jets}} < 2 (p_{T}^{\text{jet}} > 30 \text{ GeV} \text{ and } |\eta_{\text{jet}}| < 2.5)$   
 $N_{\text{b-tagged jets}} = 0 (p_{T}^{\text{bjet}} > 25 \text{ GeV} \text{ and } |\eta_{\text{bjet}}| < 2.4)$   
Veto on additional e,  $\mu$ , and  $\tau_{\text{h}}$  candidates









#### **Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)**

**MPI@LHC 2021** 



## Same-sign WW DPS studies at 13 TeV EPJC 80, 41 (2020)



### **Analysis Strategy and Results:**

- phase space rather crowded, no strong handle to suppress backgrounds
- two most important backgrounds: irreducible WZ -> 3Inu (around 40%), reducible non- prompt leptons (around 30%), other backgrounds estimated from MC
- Multivariate classifiers are used to discriminate between the signal and the dominant background processes.
- A maximum likelihood fit is performed to extract the signal cross section.

#### first evidence for WW production via DPS,

- significance of 3.9 SD.

#### measured inclusive cross section is

 $:1.41 \pm 0.28$  (stat)  $\pm 0.28$  (syst) pb

	Value	Significance (standard deviations)
σ <sup>PYTHIA</sup> DPSWW, exp	1.92 pb	5.4
offactorized	0.87 pb	2.5
TOPS WW, obs	$1.41\pm0.28(\text{stat})\pm0.28(\text{syst})\text{pb}$	3.9
$\sigma_{\rm eff}$	12.7 <sup>+5.0</sup> <sub>-2.9</sub> mb	—



#### Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)



Summary



14

Oct 11. 2021

- An overview of some recent DPS measurements at CMS has been presented.
- An eventual observation of DPS process would permit to study the validity of the factorization approach, which is prevalent in current MC event generators.
- 13 TeV result constitutes the first evidence of the DPS WW process.
- DPS measurement with 4-jets and Z+Jets process demonstrate the need for further development of models in few areas.
- Still more measurements and efforts as well as LHC run 3 preparation on-going. Stay tuned!



#### $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$ extractions (vector boson final states)

Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)







Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)

MPI@LHC 2021

Oct 11, 2021



## **CMS** Publications



- Measurement of double-parton scattering in inclusive production of four jets with low transverse momentum in proton-proton collisions at √s = 13 TeV, arXiv:2109.13822, submitted to JHEP
- Study of Z boson plus jets events using variables sensitive to double-parton scattering in pp collisions at 13 TeV
- Evidence for WW production from double-parton interactions in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV



## Extraction Strategy of $\sigma_{\text{eff}}(1)$



- Before extraction of  $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$  from the pocket formula  $\sigma_{A,B}^{DPS} = \frac{m}{2} \frac{\sigma_A \cdot \sigma_B}{\sigma_{eff}}$ 
  - Define the processes A and B

- 4-jet DPS event when 1, 2, 3 jets come from process A and 3, 2, 1 jets come from process B resp.  $\sigma_{A} = \sigma_{jet} \left( p_{T} \ge 50 \, GeV \right)$  $\sigma_{B} = \sigma_{jet} \left( p_{T} \ge 30 \, GeV \right)$ 
  - Define A and B as inclusive single jet processes  $\rightarrow$
  - Lowest threshold jet trigger = 30 GeV  $\rightarrow$  Extraction in region II performed
- Rapidity cross sections of processes A and B measured from data!
- Combining events from A and B into a DPS event
  - Veto condition for overlapping jets
  - 4-jet efficiency  $\varepsilon_{4i}$  = 0.32441 ± 0.00053 (stat.) found
  - $\rightarrow$  Combination rate of events from A and B that result in a 4-jet event passing the region II selection criteria
  - Pure DPS data sample is formed, same is done for Pythia 8 and Herwig++ with CUETP8M1 and CUETHS1 tunes resp.
- Rewrite pocket formula, taking overlap of A and B into account: •

$$\sigma_{A,B}^{DPS} = \frac{\epsilon_{4j}}{\sigma_{eff}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma_A^2 + \sigma_A \cdot (\sigma_B - \sigma_A) \right) = \frac{\epsilon_{4j} \sigma_A \sigma_B}{\sigma_{eff}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_B} \right)$$

Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)



Extraction Strategy of  $\sigma_{\text{eff}}(2)$ 



- Before extraction of  $\sigma_{_{eff}}$  from the pocket formula
  - Define the processes A and B
  - Extract method

- $\sigma_{A,B}^{DPS} = \frac{\epsilon_{4j}\sigma_A\sigma_B}{\sigma_{eff}} \left(1 \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_B}\right)$
- Template method for determination DPS cross section

 $\sigma^{\textit{Data}}(\Delta S) = f_{\textit{DPS}} \cdot \sigma^{\textit{Data}}_{\textit{DPS}}(\Delta S) + (1 - f_{\textit{DPS}}) \cdot \sigma^{\textit{MC}}_{\textit{SPS}}(\Delta S)$ 

- $\Delta S$  fount to be least affected by parton showers (see results), used in extraction!
- TFractionFitter class: likelihood fit using Poisson statistics
- Optimal value of the fraction of DPS events in data (f<sub>DPS</sub>) determined
- Background template: SPS MC models
- Signal template:
  - $\Delta S_{DPS}$  determined from pure DPS data sample
  - Fully corrected through same exact unfolding procedure as other observables
  - $\rightarrow$  Constructed pure DPS MC samples used for unfolding
- DPS cros section from  $f_{DPS}$ :  $\sigma_{A,B}^{DPS} = f_{DPS} \int \sigma^{Data} (\Delta S) d(\Delta S)$

 $\rightarrow$  DPS is simplest form of multiple partonic interactions (MPI), expected Calculation of  $\sigma$  eff possible with DPS cross section as input in the pocket-formula!

Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)

MPI@LHC 2021

Oct 11, 2021



## Pythia 8, Herwig++ and Herwig 7 (1)

- Pythia 8
  - CUETP8M1, CDPSTP8S1-4j (GEN-14-001), CP5 tunes
  - p<sub>r</sub>-ordered parton shower
- Pythia 8 with Vincia showering
  - Standard Pythia 8.3 tune
  - dipole-antenna showering in Pythia 8
- Herwig++
  - CUETHS1 tune
  - Angular-ordered parton shower
- Herwig 7
  - CH3, SoftTune tunes
  - Angular-ordered parton shower

Sample	Tune	$\sigma_{\rm I} \ (\mu b)$	$\sigma_{\rm II}~(\mu b)$
Data	-	$2.77 \pm 0.02 \ ^{+0.68}_{-0.55}$	$0.61 \pm 0.01 \ ^{+0.12}_{-0.10}$
Pythia 8	CUETP8M1	5.03	1.07
Pythia 8	CP5	4.07	0.84
Pythia 8	CDPSTP8S1-4j	7.06	1.28
Pythia 8+Vincia	Standard Pythia 8.3	4.66	0.97
HERWIG++	CUETHS1	4.35	0.83
herwig 7	CH3	4.82	0.98
herwig 7	SoftTune	5.34	1.07



## MultiJet Samples (1)

- MadGraph5
  - 2 LO samples, 2→2,3,4 MEs combined, showered with Pythia 8 with the CP5 tune and with Pythia 8 with Vincia showering
  - NLO  $2\rightarrow 2$  sample, showered with Pythia with CP5 tune
- PowhegBox
  - NLO 2→2 and NLO 2→3 samples
  - Showered with Pythia interfaced with the CP5 tune
- KaTie is tree-level ME generator
  - On-shell production showered with Pythia 8 and Herwig 7
  - Off-shell production possible, showered with Cascade

     → Initial states receive nonzero k<sub>r</sub>, used with different TMD PDFs
  - LO 2→4 ME for all samples
  - Generation of pure DPS sample possible

Sample	Tune/TMD	$\sigma_{I}$ (µb)	$\sigma_{\rm II} \ (\mu b)$
Data	-	$2.77 \pm 0.02 \stackrel{+0.68}{_{-0.55}}$	$0.61 \pm 0.01 \stackrel{+0.12}{_{-0.10}}$
KATIE on-shell, PYTHIA 8	CP5	4.23	2.87
KATIE on-shell, HERWIG 7	CH3	3.56	2.25
KATIE off-shell, CASCADE	MRW	2.40	1.46
KATIE off-shell, CASCADE	PBTMD	2.57	1.56
$\begin{array}{l} {\rm MadGraph  5  LO  2 \rightarrow 2, 3, 4,} \\ {\rm pythia  8} \end{array}$	CP5	2.69	1.26
$\begin{array}{l} {\rm MadGraph  5  LO  2 \rightarrow 2, 3, 4,} \\ {\rm Pythia  8+Vincia} \end{array}$	Standard PYTHIA 8.3	1.93	0.90
MadGraph 5 NLO 2 $\rightarrow$ 2, pythia 8	CP5	2.12	1.03
Powheg NLO 2 $\rightarrow$ 2, Pythia 8	CP5	3.50	1.62
POWHEG NLO 2 $\rightarrow$ 3, PYTHIA 8	CP5	2.55	1.22



## MultiJet Samples (3)

- $\Delta \phi_{soft}$  (left) and  $\Delta p_{T,Soft}$  (right)
- All MadGraph models overshoot DPSsensitive slope
- All KaTie and Powheg models indicate need for DPS contribution



- Both MadGraph LO models overshoot DPS-sensitive slope
- All KaTie and NLO models indicate need for DPS contribution







## SPS+DPS Samples (1)



- · Pythia 8
  - Pythia 8 allows generation of two times  $2\rightarrow 2$  ME at LO
  - +  $\sigma_{eff}$  determined by UE parameters, not directly accessible
  - Pythia 8 with CP5 tune (SPS+DPS) sample
  - Pythia 8 with CDPSTP8S1-4j without DPS contribution
    - $\rightarrow$  DPS is already in tune
- KaTie on- and off-shell
  - Include DPS contribution to SPS 2→4 ME at LO
  - Two times 2→2 ME at LO generated
  - +  $\sigma_{_{eff}}$  directly accessible, put to 21.3 mb (GEN-14-001)
  - On-shell sample hadronization only possible with Pythia 8
  - Off-shell samples with Cascade

 $\rightarrow$  DPS contribution through non-perturbative corrections from parton to hadron level

Sample	Tune/TMD	$\sigma_{\rm I} \ (\mu b)$	$\sigma_{\rm II} \ (\mu b)$
Data	-	$2.77 \pm 0.02 \stackrel{+0.68}{_{-0.55}}$	$0.61 \pm 0.01 \ ^{+0.12}_{-0.10}$
SPS+DPS KATIE on-shell, PYTHIA 8	CP5	5.04	2.14
SPS+DPS KATIE off-shell, CASCADE	MRW	3.11	0.95
SPS+DPS KATIE off-shell, CASCADE	PBTMD	3.12	0.99
SPS+DPS pythia 8	CP5	4.76	0.94
PYTHIA 8	CDPSTP8S1-4j	7.06	1.28

Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)



Oct 11, 2021



## SPS+DPS Samples (2)

- $p_{T,1}$  (left) and  $\eta_1$  (right)
  - Off-shell KaTie good description at low  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$  (2–4 ME)
  - Pythia 8 with CP5 good description at high  $\rm p_T$  (2–)2 ME)
  - DPS contribution mainly at low  $\boldsymbol{p}_{T}$  and forward/backward regions compared













Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)

MPI@LHC 2021

Oct 11, 2021 24









Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)









MPI@LHC 2021

Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)









Rajat Gupta (Panjab University,IN)