Café com Física:

Constraining dimension-six nonminimal Lorentz-violating electron-nucleon interactions with **EDM physics**



JONAS ARAÚJO

UNIVERSIDADE DE COIMBRA, DECEMBER 2019

For starters, what is EDM?

- **EDM** stands for **E**lectric **D**ipole **M**oment
- We're talking about the EDM of elementary particles!



EDMs and discrete symmetries

- C and CP violations are fundamental ingredients to explain the matter – anti-matter asymmetry in the universe!
- The Sakharov conditions:
 - 1. Baryon number (B) violation
 - 2. Sources of C and CP violation (EDM searches fit here!)
 - 3. Interactions out of thermal equilibrium
 - C and CP violation sources in the SM are too small!
 - There must be new Physics or unknown mechanisms in the SM!



 $\Lambda \mathcal{N}$

Current Status: Experiments versus SM

 $\mathcal{E}_{\rm eff} \approx 78 \, {\rm GV \, cm}^{-1}$

$$\omega_{
m ST}^{\ {\cal N}{\cal E}} \qquad \qquad d$$

 \mathcal{NE} T

H–C ST

 $\omega_{
m ST}^{\ N}$

 $\omega_{\mathrm{ST}}^{\ \mathcal{NE}}$

 $P_{\rm ref}$

 $S_{P_{\rm ref}}$

 $heta_{
m ST}^{
m H-C}$

 $\delta^{\mathcal{NE}}$

For an electronic EDM, the SM prediction is of around

$$\sigma_{d_e} = 4.0 \times 10^{-30} e \operatorname{cm}_{|d_e^{\mathrm{MP}}|} \approx 10^{-38} e \cdot \mathrm{cm}$$

The experiments probe up until [Nature (London) 562, 355 (2018)] $\omega^{\mathcal{NE}}$

 $|d_e| < 1.1 \times 10^{-29} e \text{ cm}$

 \mathcal{NE} > Two scenarios may fit in these 9 orders of magnitude:

1. Experiments become increasingly precise and confirm the SM prediction $\hbar\omega^{\mathcal{NE}} = -d_{e\ eff} + W_{S}C_{S}$

 ^{-30}e

- 2. EDMs are detected above the SM prediction >>> New Physics!
- $\omega^{\,\mathcal{NEB}}$

Experimental detection

- Typical experiments attempt to detect EDM in atomic systems (why?)
- An electric field is applied on the atom/molecule, but a problem rises!
- ▶ The external electric field is counter-balanced by the internal field induced by polarization



The molecule's constituents feel NO ELECTRIC FIELD (in average)

No net electric field >>>> No EDM-induced energy shift

This implies EDMs are undetectable in atomic systems! This is known as the Schiff's Theorem

Bypassing Schiff's Theorem

Schiff's theorem has 3 conditions of validity:

- 1. Electrostatic interactions only
- 2. Point-like particles only
- 3. Nonrelativistic systems

It turns out an EDM is detectable if any of those conditions is not satisfied

- 1. The experiments are done using **electric AND magnetic fields**!
- 2. A nuclear EDM is detectable if the nucleus is not point-like (residual Schiff Moment)
- 3. An electronic EDM is detectable (and much enhanced) in relativistic scenarios

Who carries the EDM in a molecule?

- ▶ In an atom, an EDM may be due to:
- 1. Intrinsic properties of the electrons or nucleons OR
- 2. The interaction between them
- ► We will focus on the possibility 2, that is, electron-nucleon (e-N) couplings

Electron-nucleon couplings

These couplings involve 4 spinors and have the general form

 $\left(\bar{N}\Gamma_1 N\right) \left(\bar{\psi}\Gamma_2 \psi\right)$

- They have mass dimension of at least 6
- Each factor in parenthesis is a Dirac bilinear

Only the ones with P- and T-odd components are viable candidates! TABLE III. Behavior of Dirac bilinears under discrete symmetry operators.

	$\bar{\psi}\psi$	$\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi$	$ar{\psi}\gamma^0\psi$	$ar{\psi} \gamma^i \psi$	$\bar{\psi}\gamma^0\gamma_5\psi$	$ar{\psi}\gamma^i\gamma_5\psi$	$ar{\psi}\sigma^{0i}\psi$	$\bar{\psi}\sigma^{ij}\psi$
P	+	_	+	_	_	+	_	+
T	+	—	+	—	+	—	+	—
С	+	+	—	—	+	+	—	_

Electron-nucleon couplings

In the usual scenario, the candidates are:



S-PS (scalar-pseudoscalar)
V-A (vector-axial vector)
T-PT (tensor-pesudotensor)
A-V (axial vector-vector)
PS-S (pseudoscalar-scalar).

But only the FIRST, THIRD and FIFTH contain P- and T-odd components

Effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{CP} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_j \left[C_S \bar{N}_j N_j \bar{\psi} i \gamma^5 \psi + C_P \bar{N}_j i \gamma_5 N_j \bar{\psi} \psi - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} C_T \bar{N}_j \sigma^{\mu\nu} N_j \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \psi \right]$$

- Now we'll outline how to read an EDM contribution from an effective 4-spinor Lagrangian
- Consider the (dominant) term

$$\mathcal{L}_{CP} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_j C_S \bar{N}_j N_j \bar{\psi} i \gamma_5 \psi$$

First we apply the nonrelativistic limit for the nucleons

$$\bar{N}_{j}N_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{N}^{*} & \chi_{N}^{*} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{N} \\ \chi_{N} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Remaining (electron's) Lagrangian}} \mathcal{L}_{CP-e} = -\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}}C_{S}An(\mathbf{r})\bar{\psi}i\gamma_{5}\psi$$

$$\approx |\phi_{N}|^{2} ,$$
Note the nucleons' densities added up coherently to A.n(\mathbf{r})
$$n(\mathbf{r}) = |\phi_{N}|^{2} \xrightarrow{\text{Nucleon's}} \text{probability density!}$$

The electron's Hamiltonian is then

$$\mathcal{H}_{CP}\psi = i\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}C_SAn(\boldsymbol{r})\gamma^0\gamma_5\psi$$

Next, we need to find the **energy shift** this Hamiltonian piece generates:

$$\Delta E = \langle \Psi | \mathcal{H}_{CP} | \Psi \rangle$$

Where the wavefunction is that of the electron in an atom under an external electric field!



Without an external electric field, the electron's Hamiltonian is

$$H_{0} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} + m\gamma^{0} - e\Phi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{r})$$

$$\Phi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \begin{cases} r > R_{N} & \frac{(Z-1)}{r(1+br)^{2}} \exp(-ar) + \frac{1}{r} \\ r < R_{N} & \left[\frac{(Z-1)}{(1+br)^{2}} \exp(-ar) + 1\right] \left(3 - \frac{r^{2}}{R_{N}^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{2R_{N}} \end{cases}$$

Madified Tiez potential

Whose solutions are $|\psi_n
angle$

As an external electric field is applied, the ground state is modified as

$$|\Psi\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle + eE_z|\eta\rangle$$

Where, by perturbation theory

$$|\eta\rangle = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n | z | \psi_0 \rangle}{E_0 - E_n}$$

Note it has opposite parity if compared to the ground state

The energy shift is then

 $\Delta E = eE_z \langle \psi_0 | \mathcal{H}_{CP} | \eta \rangle + eE_z \langle \eta | \mathcal{H}_{CP} | \psi_0 \rangle$

 $= 2eE_z \Re \left(\langle \psi_0 | \mathcal{H}_{CP} | \eta \rangle \right) \;,$

So that we identify

$$\Delta E = d_{\text{equiv}} E_z$$

The constant factor should be equivalent to an EDM.

The shift is proportional to E_{ext}!

 $d_{\text{equiv}} = 2e\Re\left(\langle\psi_0|\mathcal{H}_{CP}|\eta\rangle\right)$

Let us make a few comments on these spinors

- The spinors of the ground state $|\psi_0\rangle$ and $|\eta\rangle$ are solutions of the Dirac and of the Sternheimer equations, respectively
- We will use data on the thallium atom (A=205 and Z=81)

The ground state is

$$(\psi_0)_{J=\frac{1}{2},m=\frac{1}{2}}^{l=1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{r}G_{l,J=\frac{1}{2}}(r)\phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^l \\ \frac{1}{r}F_{l,J=\frac{1}{2}}(r)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{r}})\phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^l \end{pmatrix}$$

$$In these spinors we use:$$

$$(\psi_0)_{J=\frac{1}{2},m=\frac{1}{2}}^{l=0} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{r}G_{l,J=\frac{1}{2}}^S(r)\phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^l \\ \frac{1}{r}F_{l,J=\frac{1}{2}}^S(r)\phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^l \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\psi_0)_{J=\frac{1}{2},m=\frac{1}{2}}^{l=0} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{r}G_{l,J=\frac{1}{2}}^S(r)\phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^l \\ \frac{1}{r}F_{l,J=\frac{1}{2}}^S(r)\phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^l \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{r}})\phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^l \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{r}})\phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^l \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\psi_0)_{J=\frac{1}{2},m=\frac{1}{2}}^{l=0} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{r}G_{l,J=\frac{1}{2}}^S(r)\phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^l \\ \frac{1}{r}F_{l,J=\frac{1}{2}}^S(r)\phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^l \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{r}})\phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^l \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{r}})\phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}^l \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{$$

while the first-order correction is

Using these spinors, we get

$$d_{\rm equiv} = 2e \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{3AC_S}{4\pi R_N^3} \int_0^{R_N} \left(G F^S + G^S F\right) dr$$

Using the numerical estimates in [B. Lee Roberts and W. J. Marciano, Lepton Dipole Moments]

 $d_{\rm equiv} = 6.8 \times 10^4 C_S G_F$

This contribution cannot be larger than the experimental upper limit, which implies

$$d_{
m equiv} = 6.8 \times 10^4 C_S G_F < 1.1 \times 10^{-29} e \cdot cm$$

 $C_S < 7.3 \times 10^{-10}$ The Fermi constant G_F is known

Generalized electron-nucleon couplings

Now we know to read EDM contributions from the couplings;

- Next we need to generalize them using Lorentz-violating background tensors
- First let us look at the rank-1 case

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm LV} = (k_{XX})_{\mu} \left[\left(\bar{N} \, \Gamma_1 \, N \right) \left(\bar{\psi} \, \Gamma_2 \, \psi \right) \right]^{\mu}$

Inspired by [Phys. Rev. D 99, 056016 (2019)]

- Each X stands for the type of Dirac bilinear: Scalar (A), Pseudoscalar (P), Vector (V), Axial Vector (A), and Tensor (T) (remember they have dimension-6)
- Let us have a look at the possibilities (LOOK FOR P- and T-ODD COMPONENTS ONLY)

Motivations?

- Non null vacuum expectation values from possible higher-energy Physics
- Anisotropic properties to the spacetime > (minute) Lorentz Symmetry* and/or CPT violations
- Please have a look at <<<u>https://lorentz.sitehost.iu.edu/kostelecky/faq.html</u>>>
- A very versatile framework subject to stringent experimental data

Rank-1 LV electron-nucleon couplings

TABLE I. General *CPT*-odd couplings with a rank-1 LV tensor and Dirac bilinears. NRL stands for the nonrelativistic limit for the nucleons (N). In this limit, the coupling component can be suppressed, "S," or not suppressed, "NS."

Coupling	P-odd, T-odd piece	NRL	EDM
$(k_{SV})_{\mu}(\bar{N}N)(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)$	$(k_{SV})_i (ar{N}N) (ar{\psi} \gamma^i \psi)$	NS	Yes
$(k_{VS})_{\mu}(ar{N}\gamma^{\mu}N)(ar{\psi}\psi)$	$(k_{VS})_i (ar{N} \gamma^i N) (ar{\psi} \psi)$	S	• • •
$(k_{VP})_{\mu}(\bar{N}\gamma^{\mu}N)(\bar{\psi}i\gamma_{5}\psi)$	$(k_{VP})_0 (ar{N} \gamma^0 N) (ar{\psi} i \gamma_5 \psi)$	NS	Yes
$(k_{PV})_{\mu}(\bar{N}i\gamma_5N)(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)$	$(k_{PV})_0 (\bar{N}\gamma_5 N) (\bar{\psi}\gamma^0 \psi)$	S	• • •
$(k_{SA})_{\mu}(\bar{N}N)(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi)$	None	• • •	• • •
$(k_{AS})_{\mu}(\bar{N}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}N)(\bar{\psi}\psi)$	None	• • •	• • •
$(k_{PA})_{\mu}(\bar{N}i\gamma_5N)(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\psi)$	None	• • •	• • •
$(k_{AP})_{\mu}(\bar{N}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}N)(\bar{\psi}i\gamma_{5}\psi)$	None	• • •	• • •

We could try other possibilities, but they are redundant

(particular cases of rank-3 couplings!)

TABLE II.	Redundant C	PT-odd	couplings	with a	a rank-1	LV
tensor and r	natrixes γ^{μ} , $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$, and γ^2	5.			

Coupling	P-odd, T-odd piece	NRL	EDM
$\overline{(k_{VT})_{ u}(ar{N}\gamma_{\mu}N)(ar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu u}\psi)}$	None	•••	•••
$(k_{AT})_{\nu}(\bar{N}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}N)(\bar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi)$	$(k_{AT})_0 (\bar{N}\gamma_i\gamma_5 N) (\bar{\psi}\sigma^{i0}\psi)$	NS	Yes
$(k_{TV})_{ u}(ar{N}\sigma^{\mu u}N)(ar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\psi)$	None	•••	•••
$(k_{TA})_{\nu}(\bar{N}\sigma^{\mu\nu}N)(\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi)$	$(k_{TA})_0 (\bar{N}\sigma^{i0}N)(\bar{\psi}\gamma_i\gamma_5\psi)$	S	•••

Free Lorentz-index >>> More possibilities!

Rank-1 electron-nucleon Couplings

There are 2 contributions arising from rank-1 couplings $H_{\text{LV}e-1} = \left[-\left(k_{SV}\right)_i A \gamma^{\mathbf{Q}} - \left(k_{VP}\right)_0 A i \gamma^0 \gamma_5\right] \cdot n(\mathbf{r})$ According to the results $(\psi_0|\gamma^0\gamma^i|\eta) = i\delta_{i3} \int_0^{R_N} \left[\frac{1}{3}F^S(r)G(r) + G^S(r)F(r)\right] dr ,$ Later we'll assume the 3rd is approximately this (known) integral $\langle \psi_0 | \gamma_5 | \eta \rangle = i \int_0^{R_N} \left[-F^S(r) G(r) + G^S(r) F(r) \right] dr ,$ $\int_0^{n_N} \left[F^S(r) G(r) + G^S(r) F(r) \right] dr$ $\langle \psi_0 | i \gamma^i | \eta \rangle = \delta_{i3} \int_0^{R_N} \left[-\frac{1}{3} F^S(r) G(r) + G^S(r) F(r) \right] dr ,$ Only the k_{VP} contribution is real in $\frac{\Delta E}{E_z} = 2e \Re \left[\langle \psi_0 | H_{P,T} | \eta \rangle \right] \equiv d_{equiv}$. Its contribution is: The only contribution of rank-1 $|d_{1-\text{equiv}}| = 2e \left(k_{VP}\right)_0 \frac{3A}{4\pi R_M^3} \int_0^{R_N} \left[F^S(r)G(r) + G^S(r)F(r)\right] dr$ $|(k_{VP})_0| < 1.6 \times 10^{-15} \, (\text{GeV})^{-2}.$

Rank-2 electron-nucleon Couplings

▶ For the rank-2 case, the possibilities are

Coupling	P-odd and T-odd piece	NRL	EDM
Rank-2			
$(k_{VV})_{\mu u}(ar{N}\gamma^{\mu}N)(ar{\psi}\gamma^{ u}\psi)$	$(k_{VV})_{i0}(ar{N}\gamma^iN)(ar{\psi}\gamma^0\psi)$	S	
	$(k_{VV})_{0i}(ar{N}\gamma^0N)(ar{\psi}\gamma^i\psi)$	NS	Yes
$(k_{AV})_{\mu u}(ar{N}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 N)(ar{\psi}\gamma^{ u}\psi)$	None		
$(k_{VA})^{\prime}_{\mu u}(\bar{N}\gamma^{\mu}N)(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{ u}\gamma_{5}\psi)$	None		
$(k_{AA})_{\mu u}(\bar{N}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5N)(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_5\psi)$	$(k_{AA})_{0i}(ar{N}\gamma^0\gamma_5N)(ar{\psi}\gamma^i\gamma_5\psi)$	S	
	$(k_{AA})_{i0}(ar{N}\gamma^i\gamma_5N)(ar{\psi}\gamma^0\gamma_5\psi)$	NS	Yes
$(k_{TS})_{\mu u}(ar{N}\sigma^{\mu u}N)(ar{\psi}\psi)$	None		
$(k_{TP})_{\mu u}(\bar{N}\sigma^{\mu u}N)(\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi)$	None		
$(k_{ST})_{\mu u}(\bar{N}N)(\bar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu u}\psi)$	None		
$(k_{PT})_{\mu u}(\bar{N}i\gamma_5N)(\bar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu u}\psi)$	None		

2 candidates, but we'll see only 1 yields non-zero EDM

Rank-2 electron-nucleon Couplings

The Hamiltonian arising from these two contributions are

$$H_{\text{LV}e-2} = \left[-\left(k_{VV}\right)_{0i} A\gamma^0 \gamma^i - \left(k_{AA}\right)_{i0} \langle \sigma^i \rangle_N \gamma_5 \right] \cdot n(\boldsymbol{r})$$

Observe

The first term yields no contribution because
$$\frac{\Delta E}{E_z} = 2e\Re \left[\langle \psi_0 | H_{P,T} | \eta \rangle \right] \equiv d_{\text{equiv}}$$

- 2. The second term depends on the valence nucleon's spin, because the nucleon's spins do not add coherently! But it does not matter: its contribution is not real.
- The take-home lesson: for couplings dependent on the nucleons' spins, the bounds are 205 larger (less stringent) than the one on rank-1 (only the valence nucleon's spin counts!).

Rank-3 and 4 electron-nucleon Couplings

We can extend the same analysis for the rank-3 and rank-4 cases:

$(k_{VT})_{lpha\mu u}(ar{N}\gamma^{lpha}N)(ar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu u}\psi)$	None	••••	
$(k_{AT})_{lpha\mu u}(ar{N}\gamma^{lpha}\gamma_5N)(ar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu u}\psi)$	$(k_{AT})_{0ij}(ar{N}\gamma^0\gamma_5N)(ar{\psi}\sigma^{ij}\psi)$	S	
	$(k_{AT})_{i0j}(ar{N}\gamma^i\gamma_5N)(ar{\psi}\sigma^{0j}\psi)$	NS	Yes
	$(k_{AT})_{ij0}(ar{N}\gamma^i\gamma_5N)(ar{\psi}\sigma^{j0}\psi)$	NS	Yes
$(k_{TV})_{lpha\mu u}(ar{N}\sigma^{\mu u}N)(ar{\psi}\gamma^{lpha}\psi)$	None		
$(k_{TA})_{lpha\mu u}(ar{N}\sigma^{\mu u}N)(ar{\psi}\gamma^{lpha}\gamma_5\psi)$	$(k_{TA})_{0ij}(ar{N}\sigma^{ij}N)(ar{\psi}\gamma^0\gamma_5\psi)$	NS	Yes
	$(k_{TA})_{i0j}(\bar{N}\sigma^{0j}N)(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{i}\gamma_{5}\psi)$	S	
	$(k_{TA})_{ij0}(ar{N}\sigma^{j0}N)(ar{\psi}\gamma^i\gamma_5\psi)$	S	
Rank-4			
$(k_{TT})_{lphaeta\mu u}(ar{N}\sigma^{lphaeta}N)(ar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu u}\psi)$	$(k_{TT})_{0ijk} (ar{N} \sigma^{0i} N) (ar{\psi} \sigma^{jk} \psi)$	S	
	$(k_{TT})_{i0jk}(ar{N}\sigma^{i0}N)(ar{\psi}\sigma^{jk}\psi)$	S	
	$(k_{TT})_{ij0k} (ar{N} \sigma^{ij} N) (ar{\psi} \sigma^{0k} \psi)$	NS	Yes
	$(k_{TT})_{ijk0}(\bar{N}\sigma^{ij}N)(\bar{\psi}\sigma^{k0}\psi)$	NS	Yes

We found, for example:

Note this bound is 205 times larger than the one we found for the rank-1 case: $1.6 \times 10^{-15} \,(\text{GeV})$ $|(k_{AT})_{i03}| < 3.2 \times 10^{-13} \ (\text{GeV})^{-2}$

Rank-3 and 4 electron-nucleon Couplings

The Hamiltonian contributions from the rank-3 and rank-4 cases

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{LVe}-3} &= \left[(k_{AT})_{i0j} \langle \sigma^i \rangle_N i \gamma^0 \alpha^j - (k_{AT})_{ij0} \langle \sigma^i \rangle_N i \gamma^0 \alpha^j \right. \\ &- (k_{TA})_{0ij} \epsilon_{ijk} \langle \sigma^k \rangle_N \gamma_5 \right] \cdot n(\mathbf{r}), \\ H_{\text{LVe}-4} &= \left[-(k_{TT})_{ij0k} \epsilon_{ijl} \langle \sigma^l \rangle_N i \gamma^0 \alpha^k \right. \\ &+ (k_{TT})_{ijk0} \epsilon_{ijl} \langle \sigma^l \rangle_N i \gamma^0 \alpha^k \right] \cdot n(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned}$$

Bounds on rank-3 tensors:

$$|(k_{AT})_{i03}| < 3.2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ (GeV)}^{-2},$$

 $|(k_{AT})_{i30}| < 3.2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ (GeV)}^{-2},$

Redefining:

$$(k_{TT})_{ij0k}\epsilon_{ijl} = (K_{TT})_{0kl}$$

Bounds on rank-4 tensors:

$$|(K_{TT})_{03l}| < 3.2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ (GeV)}^{-2},$$

 $|(K_{TT})_{30l}| < 3.2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ (GeV)}^{-2}.$

Sidereal Variations

- The LV tensors are not constant in the Lab's Reference Frame (RF)
- ▶ The closest to an inertial RF we got is the Sun >>> A transformation is needed!
- ▶ It turns out that, for not-so-long-experiments, we only need a rotation!

$$B_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Lab})} = \mathcal{R}_{\mu\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\nu\beta} B_{\alpha\beta}^{(\text{Sun})}$$

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\chi \cos\Omega t & \cos\chi \sin\Omega t & -\sin\chi \\ 0 & -\sin\Omega t & \cos\Omega t & 0 \\ 0 & \sin\chi \cos\Omega t & \sin\chi \sin\Omega t & \cos\chi \end{pmatrix}$$
Choose the set of the set

Chi: Lab's colatitude Omega: Earth's rotation angular velocity

Next we time-average them in the Sun's RF.

Not all of them survive this step; here goes a list of the ones that do

Sidereal Variations

TABLE V. Bounds on the LV tensors of ranks ranging from 1 to 4.

Component	
$\left (k_{VP})_0^{(\mathrm{Sun})}\right $	1.6
$\left \frac{1}{4} \left[(k_{AT})_{101}^{(\text{Sun})} + (k_{AT})_{202}^{(\text{Sun})} - 2(k_{AT})_{303}^{(\text{Sun})} \right] \sin 2\chi \right $	3.2
$\left[\left[-(k_{AT})_{102}^{(\text{Sun})} + (k_{AT})_{201}^{(\text{Sun})} \right] \sin \chi \right]$	3.2
$\left[\frac{1}{2}\left((k_{AT})_{101}^{(\text{Sun})} + (k_{AT})_{202}^{(\text{Sun})}\right)\sin^2\chi + (k_{AT})_{303}^{(\text{Sun})}\cos^2\chi\right]$	3.2
$\left \frac{1}{4}\left[(k_{AT})_{110}^{(\text{Sun})} + (k_{AT})_{220}^{(\text{Sun})} - 2(k_{AT})_{330}^{(\text{Sun})}\right]\sin 2\chi\right $	3.2
$\left[\left[-(k_{AT})_{120}^{(\text{Sun})} + (k_{AT})_{210}^{(\text{Sun})} \right] \sin \chi \right]$	3.2
$\left[\frac{1}{2}\left((k_{AT})_{110}^{(\text{Sun})} + (k_{AT})_{220}^{(\text{Sun})}\right)\sin^2\chi + (k_{AT})_{330}^{(\text{Sun})}\cos^2\chi\right]$	3.2
$\left \frac{1}{4}\left[(K_{TT})_{011}^{(\text{Sun})} + (K_{TT})_{022}^{(\text{Sun})} - 2(K_{TT})_{033}^{(\text{Sun})}\right]\sin 2\chi\right $	3.2
$\left \left[(K_{TT})_{012}^{(\text{Sun})} - (K_{TT})_{021}^{(\text{Sun})} \right] \sin \chi \right $	3.2
$\left \left[\frac{1}{2} \left((K_{TT})_{011}^{(\text{Sun})} + (K_{TT})_{022}^{(\text{Sun})} \right) \sin^2 \chi + (K_{TT})_{033}^{(\text{Sun})} \cos^2 \chi \right] \right $	3.2
$\left \frac{1}{4}\left[(K_{TT})_{101}^{(\text{Sun})} + (K_{TT})_{202}^{(\text{Sun})} - 2(K_{TT})_{303}^{(\text{Sun})}\right]\sin 2\chi\right $	3.2
$\left[\left[(K_{TT})_{102}^{(\text{Sun})} - (K_{TT})_{201}^{(\text{Sun})} \right] \sin \chi \right]$	3.2
$\left \bar{\left[\frac{1}{2} \left((K_{TT})_{101}^{(\text{Sun})} + (K_{TT})_{202}^{(\text{Sun})} \right) \sin^2 \chi + (K_{TT})_{303}^{(\text{Sun})} \cos^2 \chi \right] \right $	3.2

	Upper bound
	$1.6 \times 10^{-15} (\text{GeV})^{-2}$
	$3.2 \times 10^{-13} (\text{GeV})^{-2}$
Cor	$3.2 \times 10^{-13} (\text{GeV})^{-2}$
	$3.2 \times 10^{-13} (\text{GeV})^{-2}$
^{1}De	$3.2 \times 10^{-13} (\text{GeV})^{-2}$
	$3.2 \times 10^{-13} (\text{GeV})^{-2}$
	$3.2 \times 10^{-13} (\text{GeV})^{-2}$

- These are the first bounds on these coefficients, which where recently proposed by Kostelecky;
- Next we comment on a few other couplings we haven't covered

These results were published in

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 100, 015046 (2019)

Constraining dimension-six nonminimal Lorentz-violating electron-nucleon interactions with EDM physics

Jonas B. Araujo,^{1,2,*} A. H. Blin,^{3,†} Marcos Sampaio,^{2,4,‡} and Manoel M. Ferreira, Jr.^{1,§} ¹Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Maranhão, Campus Universitário do Bacanga, São Luís—MA, 65080-805, Brazil ²Centre for Particle Theory, University of Durham, Durham, DH1 3LE, United Kingdom ³CFisUC, Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, P-3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal ⁴CCNH, Universidade Federal do ABC, 09210-580, Santo André—SP, Brazil

Comments on other couplings

Kostelecky's paper contains terms as

 $\begin{aligned} &(k_{SS})(\bar{N}N)(\bar{\psi}\psi), \quad (k_{PP})(\bar{N}i\gamma_5N)(\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi), \\ &(k_{SP})(\bar{N}N)(\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi), \quad (k_{PS})(\bar{N}i\gamma_5N)(\bar{\psi}\psi), \end{aligned}$

These are not LV. In fact, one of them is identical to the usual Scalar-Pseudoscalar contribution

 $\mathcal{L}_{\psi\psi}^{(6)} = i(\kappa_{SP})(\bar{\psi}\psi)(\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\psi).$

Is identical to

$$\mathcal{L}_{CP} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_j C_S \bar{N}_j N_j \bar{\psi} i \gamma_5 \psi$$





Also, we consider different spinors, so our possibilities double!

Future Perspectives

Improve bounds by evaluating the integral:

 $\langle \psi_0 | i \gamma^i | \eta \rangle = \delta_{i3} \int_0^{R_{\text{Nucleus}}} \left[-\frac{1}{3} F^S(r) G(r) + G^S(r) F(r) \right] dr,$ Numerical issues!

What if we consider only usual couplings:

$$\bar{N}N \cdot \bar{e}\gamma^{5}e \\
\bar{N}\gamma^{\mu}N \cdot \bar{e}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma^{5}e \\
\bar{N}\sigma^{\mu\nu}N \cdot \bar{e}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}e \\
\bar{N}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}N \cdot \bar{e}\gamma_{\mu}e \\
\bar{N}\gamma^{5}N \cdot \bar{e}e$$

And then correct the electron's spinor by a dimension-5 coupling?

 $\mathcal{L}_{\psi F}^{(5)} \qquad -\frac{1}{2}m_{F}^{(5)\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}\overline{\psi}\psi - \frac{1}{2}im_{5F}^{(5)\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}\overline{\psi}\gamma_{5}\psi - \frac{1}{2}a_{F}^{(5)\mu\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}\overline{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\psi - \frac{1}{2}b_{F}^{(5)\mu\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}\overline{\psi}\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\mu}\psi - \frac{1}{4}H_{F}^{(5)\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}\overline{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi$

Thank you for your time ③

