



LUX-ZEPLIN Overview and Status

SAMSUNG

Ricardo Cabrita February 14, 2020 On behalf of the LIP Dark Matter Group

Ż

Dark Matter at LIP COimbra





PI: Isabel Lopes

5 Master students 2 Phd students 6 Researchers

Left-to-right: Davide Porzio, Francisco Neves, Elias Asamar, Ricardo Cabrita, Fátima Alcaso, Andrey Solovov, Susana Castanheira, Paulo Braz, Vladimir Solovov, Guilherme Pereira, João Silva, Claudio Pascoal, Alexandre Lindote, Isabel Lopes

Looking for Dark Matter



Results from different sources (like Planck's CMB observations*) point to a Dark Matter density **~25%**



Among all the particles contained in the Standard Model of particle physics, none has the necessary properties to describe dark matter:

• a new type of elementary particle yet to be discovered is necessary.

The LZ detector employs 7 tonnes of liquid Xenon to look for such a particle!

The LZ Detector





The core of the LZ Detector is a Xenon Double Phase Time Projection Chamber.



3D position reconstruction, fiducialization and discrimination between nuclear and electron recoils are performed based on the analysis of S1 and S2 signals

The detector is installed at Sanford Underground Research Facility (SURF), 1480 m underground in Homestake mine, Lead, South Dakota, USA.



For each particle interaction two optical signals are detected by the total of 494 PMTs organized in two arrays at the top and the bottom of the TPC:

- Prompt scintillation in liquid phase S1
- (delayed) electroluminescence in vapour phase - S2

The LZ Detector



Detector/Veto assembly like a "Russian Doll":

- The TPC sits inside the Inner Cryostat Vessel (ICV) surrounded by a liquid xenon skin 'layer' veto, which sits inside an Outer Cryostat Vessel (OCV).
- The OCV is surrounded by a <u>liquid scintillator loaded</u> with gadolinium inside an acrylic tank
- Everything sits in a <u>water tank</u> with 120 outer PMTs.

Water Cherenkov, passive liquid xenon Skin and liquid scintillator allow for neutron and gamma tagging:

Projected veto efficiency is*:

- > 95% for neutrons
- > 70% for gamma rays



Background Sources



5.6 tonne fiducial, 1000 live-days ~1.5 - 6.5 keV, single scatters, no coincident veto signal

Background Source	ERs	NRs
Detector Components	9	0.12
Contaminants in the xenon — Rn, ⁸⁵ Kr, ³⁹ Ar	1200	—
Lab rock wall and Cosmogenics	5	0.06
Surface Contamination and Dust	40	0.28
Physics Backgrounds — ¹³⁶ Xe 2β decay, neutrinos*	260	0.51
Total (after 00.5% ED discrimination and 50% ND affiniance)	8	

Total (after 99.5% ER discrimination and 50% NR efficiency)

* not including ⁸B and hep

Z

Projected Sensitivity - Spin Independent





Best projected sensitivity for a WIMP mass of 40 GeV is 1.4×10^{-48} cm^{2*}.

The current best limit is 4.1×10^{-47} cm² for a WIMP mass of 30 GeV from XENON1T**.

^{*}LUX-ZEPLIN Collaboration, Projected WIMP sensitivity of the LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) dark matter experiment, 1802.06039 **XENON Collaboration, Dark Matter Search Results from a One Tonne×Year Exposure of XENON1T, 1805.12562

Our roles in LZ



Data Analysis

Responsible for <u>raw</u> <u>pulse finder and</u> <u>classification</u> and <u>position reconstruction.</u>



Rare event searches

Coordinating the searches for the <u>neutrinoless double beta decay</u> of ¹³⁶Xe, the <u>double electron capture</u> of ¹²⁴Xe, and the <u>double beta</u> <u>decay</u> of ¹³⁴Xe

Coordinator: Alexandre Lindote Cláudio Silva (until Nov 2019)

Background Model

Understanding and modelling backgrounds is extremely important to improve sensitivity.

Coordinator:

Alexandre Lindote (until Oct 2019)



Our roles in LZ



Experiment Control

Responsible for implementing supervisory control and monitoring of the experiment, interfaces with major subsystems, GUI, alarms and automation

Coordinator: Vladimir Solovov



Underground Performance Monitor

Coordinator: Francisco Neves























LZ collaboration





- Center for Underground Physics (South Korea)
- 2) LIP Coimbra (Portugal)
- 3) MEPhI (Russia)
- 4) Imperial College London (UK)
- 5) STFC Rutherford Appleton Lab (UK)
- 6) University College London (UK)
- 7) University of Bristol (UK)
- 8) University of Edinburgh (UK)
- 9) University of Liverpool (UK)
- 10) University of Oxford (UK)11) University of Sheffield (UK)
- 12) Black Hill State University (US)

- 13) Brookhaven National Lab (US)14) Brown University (US)
- 15) Fermi National Accelerator Lab (US)
- 15) Fermi National Accelerator Lab (C
- 16) Lawrence Berkeley National Lab (US)
- 17) Lawrence Livermore National Lab (US)
- 18) Northwestern University (US)
- 19) Pennsylvania State University (US)
- 20) SLAC National Accelerator Lab (US)
- 21) South Dakota School of Mines and Technology (US)
- 22) South Dakota Science and Technology Authority (US)
- 23) Texas A&M University (US)

- 24) University at Albany (US)
 25) University of Alabama (US)
 26) University of California, Berkeley (US)
 26) University of California, Berkeley (US)
- 27) University of California, Davis (US)
- 28) University of California, Santa Barbara (US)
- 29) University of Maryland (US)
- 30) University of Massachusetts (US)
- 31) University of Michigan (US)
- 32) University of Rochester (US)
- 33) University of South Dakota (US)
- 34) University of Wisconsin Madison (US)
- 35) Washington University in St. Louis (US)
- 36) Yale University (US)





Search for rare xenon decays and study of the Migdal effect in LZ

Paulo Braz | LIP Coimbra | February 14, 2020

Some isotopes are known to undergo two-neutrino double beta decay $(2v\beta\beta)$ - e.g. ⁷⁶Ge, ¹³⁰Te, ¹³⁶Xe

- The two neutrinos avoid detection.
- Observation of the summed energy of the two electrons.

If neutrinos are Majorana particles, a neutrinoless $(0v\beta\beta)$ decay mode is possible - **not yet observed!**

• The two electrons will carry the total energy of the decay.

A detector can look for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of a certain source by searching for an <u>excess rate of events</u> at the endpoint energy of the $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay spectrum.

Far-reaching implications: <u>lepton number violation</u>, <u>neutrinos</u> <u>are Majorana particles</u>, <u>set absolute neutrino mass scale</u>, <u>possible insight on leptogenesis</u>



 $T_{1/2} (2v) = 2.11 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.21 \times 10^{21} \text{ yr}$ <u>EXO-200 (PRL 107 212501, 2011)</u> $T_{1/2} (2v) = 2.38 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.14 \times 10^{21} \text{ yr}$ <u>KamLAND-Zen (PRC 85 045504, 2012)</u>

LZ features ~623 kg of ¹³⁶Xe in the active region without enrichment.

- 1 t control volume for BG characterization.
- 5.6 t fiducial volume for PLR analysis.

Main backgrounds by source at Q_{BB}

- **2448 keV** gamma line from 214 Bi (238 U chain)
- **2615 keV** gamma line from ²⁰⁸TI (²³²Th chain)
- "Naked" beta from ²¹⁴Bi (internal ²²²Rn)
- Beta decay from muon-induced ¹³⁷Xe
- $2v\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe
- Elastic scattering of solar neutrinos from ⁸B chain

Counts	Counts	Total
from ²³⁸	Ufrom ²³² Th	Counts
21.0	2.32	23.3
3.21	8.41	11.6
-	-	0.28^*
	-	0.45^*
-	-	0.01^{\dagger}
-	-	0.03
24.2	10.7	35.6
	Counts from ²³⁸ 21.0 3.21 - - - 24.2	Counts Counts from ²³⁸ Ufrom ²³² Th 21.0 2.32 3.21 8.41 - -

In 1000 days on the inner 1 t volume and within 1% of $Q_{_{\cal BB}}$



Sensitivity projection:

projected

Projected Sensitivity (90% C.L.)

Minimum vertical vertex separation [mm]

 1.4×10^{26}

1.3

1.2

1.1

1.0

0.9

0.8

^{[36}Xe $0\nu\beta\beta T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ [yr]

- LZ can reach 1.06×10²⁶ years after 1000 live days (comparable to the current KamLAND-Zen result)
- Considering 1% energy resolution and single scatter separation of 3 mm in depth

5

- conservative estimates (XENON1T claims 0.86% E-res)

 1.3×10^{26}

1.2

1.1

1.0

09

6

0.6%

0.8%

Z projected

1.0%



LZ sensitivity paper is now on arXiv and submitted to Physical Review C

Corresponding author: P. Brás

Projected sensitivity of the LUX-ZEPLIN experiment to the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe

D.S. Akerib,^{1,2} C.W. Akerlof,³ S.K. Alsum,⁴ N. Angelides,⁵ H.M. Araújo,⁶ J.E. Armstrong,⁷ M. Arthurs,³ X. Bai,⁸ A.J. Bailey,⁶,^a J. Balajthy,⁷ S. Balashov,⁹ D. Bauer,⁶ A. Baxter,¹⁰ J. Belle,¹¹ P. Beltrame,¹² T. Benson,⁴
E.P. Bernard,^{13,14} A. Biekert,^{13,14} T.P. Biesiadzinski,^{1,2} K.E. Boast,¹⁵ B. Boxer,¹⁰ P. Brás,¹⁶,^b J.H. Buckley,¹⁷
V.V. Bugaev,¹⁷ S. Burdin,¹⁰ J.K. Busenitz.¹⁸ C. Carels.¹⁵ D.L. Carlsmith.⁴ B. Carlson.¹⁹ M.C. Carmona-Benitez.²⁰ M. Cascella,⁵ C. Chan,²¹ J.J. Cl J.E. Cutter,²² C.E. Dahl,^{23,11} L. de Vi W.R. Edwards,¹⁴,^e A. Fan,^{1,2} S. Fay LZ Collaboration (D.S. Akerib (SLAC & KIPAC, Menlo Park) et al.) Show all 192 authors M.G.D. Gilchriese, $^{\overline{14}}$ S. Gokhale, 25 M. S.J. Haselschwardt,²⁶ S.A. Hertel,²⁷ Dec 9, 2019 - 13 pages 10 D.Q. Huang,²¹ C.M. Ignarra,^{1,2} O. FERMILAB-PUB-19-635-AE J. Keefner,¹⁹ D. Khaitan,²⁴ A. Khazov e-Print: arXiv:1912.04248 [nucl-ex] | PDF H. Kraus,¹⁵ S. Kravitz,¹⁴ H.J. Krebs,¹ I Experiment: LZ J. Lee,²⁹ B.G. Lenardo,²² D.S. Leonard A. Lindote,¹⁶ R. Linehan,^{1,2} W. Abstract (arXiv) 15 B. López Paredes,⁶ W. Lorenzon,³ The LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) experiment will enable a neutrinoless double beta decay search in parallel to the main science goal of discovering dark R.L. Mannino, ³⁴ N. Marangou, ⁶ M.F. M matter particle interactions. We report the expected LZ sensitivity to ¹³⁶Xe neutrinoless double beta decay, taking advantage of the significant (> E.H. Miller, ⁸ J. Mock, ^{33,14, f} M.E. Mc 600 kg) ¹³⁶ Xe mass contained within the active volume of LZ without isotopic enrichment. After 1000 live-days, the median exclusion sensitivity to D. Naim, ²² A. Naylor, ³¹ C. Nedlik, ²⁷ the half-life of ¹³⁶ Xe is projected to be 1.06×10^{26} years (90% confidence level), similar to existing constraints. We also report the expected K. O'Sullivan,^{14, 13}, K. Olcina,⁶ M.A. Ol sensitivity of a possible subsequent dedicated exposure using 90% enrichment with ¹³⁶Xe at 1.06×10²⁷ years. E.K. Pease,¹⁴ B. Penning,³⁰ G. Pereira, A. Fiepke, S. Fowen, R.M. Freece, K. Fushkin, B.N. Ratchin, J. Reichenbacher,⁸ C.A. Rhyne,²¹ A. Richards,⁶ Q. Riffard,^{13,14} G.R.C. Rischbieter,³³ J.P. Rodrigues,¹⁶
 R. Rosero,²⁵ P. Rossiter,³¹ G. Rutherford,²¹ J.S. Saba,¹⁴ M. Sarychev,¹¹ A.B.M.R. Sazzad,¹⁸ R.W. Schnee,⁸ 18

ML in the search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe

- Explore Machine Learning classification for background discrimination:
 - Single (1e) VS double (b2b) tracks
 - \circ $\;$ using the waveform only for now (Z) $\;$
 - later include XY position reconstruction information
- Tested algorithms (after parametrization of the pulses):
 - kNN, RBF SVM, Gaussian proc., Random forest





Results for ideal conditions

$2\nu\beta\beta$ and $0\nu\beta\beta$ decays in ^{134}Xe

Sensitivity paper in internal collaboration review E. Asamar, S. Pal corresponding authors

- ¹³⁴Xe can also decay via $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay (**not yet observed!**) and $0\nu\beta\beta$
 - \circ ~~ Q $_{value}$ = 826 keV $~\rightarrow$ lower Q implies longer half-lives (compared to $^{136} Xe)$
- Theoretical predictions for $2\nu\beta\beta$: $(3.7 6.1) \times 10^{24}$ yr
 - $\circ \quad \ \ \text{current best } 2\nu\beta\beta \text{ experimental limit is } > 8.7{\times}10^{20} \text{ yr}$
 - \circ for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ mode, LZ can reach 9.5×10^{24} yr (current limit is 10^{23} yr)
- ¹³⁴Xe and ¹³⁶Xe can be the first pair of isotopes of the same element for which $2\nu\beta\beta$ is experimentally confirmed, allowing validation of nuclear models



Double Electron Capture decay in ¹²⁴Xe

- Q_{value} = 2864 keV (highest amongst DEC candidate nuclei)
- 2v2EC decay
 - Most likely the 2 electrons will be from the K-shell (2v2K)
 - Signal is an energy peak around 64.5 keV
 - XENON1T reported observation with 4.4σ significance and 1.8×10²² yr half-life
 - \circ LZ may be able to claim a discovery in under 3 months (>7 σ)

LUX limit paper on arXiv and submitted to PRC

3. arXiv:1912.02742 [pdf, other] nucl-ex physics.ins-det

Search for two neutrino double electron capture of $^{124}\rm Xe$ and $^{126}\rm Xe$ in the full exposure of the LUX detector

Authors: LUX Collaboration, D. S. Akerib, S. Alsum, H. M. Araújo, X. Bai, J. Balajthy, A. Baxter, E. P. Bernard, A. Bernstein, T. P. Biesiadzinski, E. M. Boulton, B. Boxer, P. Brás, S. Burdin, D. Byram, M. C. Carmona-Benitez, C. Chan, J. E. Cutter, L. de Viveiros, E. Druszkiewicz, A. Fan, S. Fiorucci, R. J. Gaitskell, C. Ghag, M. G. D. Gilchriese, et al. (74 additional authors not shown)

Abstract: Two-neutrino double electron capture is a process allowed in the Standard Model of Particle Physics. This rare decay has been observed in ⁷⁸ Kr, ¹³⁰ Ba and more recently in ¹²⁴ Xe. In this publication we report on the search for this process in ¹²⁴ Xe and ¹²⁶ Xe using the full exposure of the Large Underground Xenon (LUX) experiment, in a total of of 27769.5~kg-days. No evidenc... ∇ More

Submitted 5 December, 2019; originally announced December 2019. Comments: 8 pages, 3 figures

Corresponding author: A. Lindote



LZ low energy background model



Other decays in ¹²⁴Xe

- The Q_{value} is high enough to open other decay channels
 - \circ 2 $\nu\beta$ +EC decay
 - ~10²³ yr half-life expected
 - Can be the longest decay ever observed
 - Signature comes from the 511 keV gammas from the positron annihilation together with the β⁺ spectrum
 - \circ 2v2 β + decay
 - Possible in only six nuclides
 - Expected half-life is ~10²⁷ yr (too long for LZ)

- 0v2EC
 - An alternative channel to probe the nature of the neutrino and the mass hierarchy
 - If no neutrinos are emitted, the nucleus may emit a 2864 keV gamma
 - \circ Expected half-lives are very long, but a possible resonance could make it detectable in LZ

Studies on Migdal effect

- Energy of nuclear recoils from light WIMPs too low to observe in xenon detectors
- **Migdal effect:** electron emission by the disturbed atom following a nuclear recoil
- Allows Xe experiments to be competitive at low mass DM scales







Studies on Migdal effect

Concept: use neutrons to induce Migdal effect in atoms of low-pressure scintillating gas

- Search for events with an electron track and a nuclear recoil with the same origin
- Collaboration between LIP, UK LZ groups (RAL, ICL) and CERN
- LIP is contributing to design the shielding and to develop track reconstruction algorithms







Measurement of optical properties of **PTFE**

Davide Porzio | LIP Coimbra | February 14, 2020



Optical Properties of LZ



- Dark matter signal in LZ has a very **low energy** threshold
- Extremely important to correctly model light collection in the detector
- We need to describe reflectance off the PTFE wall of LZ with high precision
- Building framework for optical measurements for particle physics





Optical Properties of LZ



- Dark matter signal in LZ has a very **low energy** threshold
- Extremely important to correctly model light collection in the detector
- We need to describe reflectance off the PTFE wall of LZ with high precision
- Building framework for optical measurements for particle physics













Optical Properties of LZ



- Dark matter signal in LZ has a very low energy threshold
- Extremely important to correctly model light collection in the detector
- We need to describe reflectance off the PTFE wall of LZ with high precision
- Building framework for optical measurements for particle physics





Fluorescence and Phosphorescence

- Volatile impurities from hydrocarbons, like toulene and naphthalene, can contaminate the highly-reflecting PTFE walls of the detector
- Absorption of UV light by the contaminants is responsible for fluorescence spectra
- Delayed light emission off the PTFE walls can be a source of <u>background</u>





Fluorescence and Phosphorescence

- Measuring single photons from fluorescence and phosphorescence
- Current setup makes use of an off-axis parabolic mirror with through hole to direct VUV light on PTFE sample and collect emitted spectrum onto PMT
- Alternative setup for different *timing scales*
- Use of cooling systems for temperature dependence studies









Fluorescence and Phosphorescence

VUV

Lamp

Off-axis

parabolic

mirror

focusina

- Measuring single photons from fluorescence and phosphorescence
- Current setup makes use of an off-axis parabolic mirror with through hole to direct VUV light on PTFE sample and collect emitted spectrum onto PMT
- Alternative setup for different *timing scales*
- Use of cooling systems for temperature dependence studies







Reflectance in Liquids

- Strong **disagreement** between the reflectance observed in a **Gas-PTFE** interface (**R~70%**) and the reflectance observed in a **Liquid-PTFE** interface (**R>95%**)
- Study the diffuse reflectance in liquid interface using an integrating sphere:
 - Vary wavelength (LED matrix, 250 to 500nm)
 - Vary the refractive index of the first medium (Air, Water, Glycerine, ...) in a liquid interface
- Simulate the experiment in ANTS2*, test various reflectance models against the results

		λ (nm)		255		450	700
		μ (D)	n	k	α (cm-1)	n	n
Glycerin	C ₃ H ₅ (OH) ₃	2.617	1.5532	0.0000	0.0000	1.4782	1.4700
Water (Ultra Pure)	H ₂ O	1.85	1.3604	3.15×10⁻ ⁸	0.015523	1.3370	1.3310







Reflectance in Liquids



For the simulations we need to know very well the optical interfaces at play. All the interfaces should be either: • Liquid-PTFE (the one under study) PMT • Or Liquid-Quartz. Quartz The optical behaviour of **quartz** has been **widely studied** Window because of its use in detector physics. LED matrix diffusor collimators 33

Conclusions



Exciting times as LZ Science Run data taking is approaching!

- LIP undertaking many critical tasks for both the understanding and operation of the detector and the analysis of the data collected:
 - Slow control and performance monitor optimisation, crucial for smooth sailing of detector operation
 - Background simulation, event reconstruction and data analysis for rare event searches
 - Studies for **Migdal effect** based searches, capable of extending LZ sensitivity to sub-GeV ranges.
 - R&D for better understanding and modelling of the **optical properties** of **PTFE**.



Conclusions

Exciting times

- LZ Sci
- LIP unc underst analysis
 - Slow optir oper
 - Back data
 - Studi of ext
 - R&D f optical properties of PTFE.

Thank you for your attention



Recoil energy [keV]



Backup Slides



Expected Sensitivity - Spin Dependent



LIP Coimbra | 37

Studies on Migdal effect

- An experiment is currently being developed at RAL (UK) to confirm the Migdal effect, so far funded by STFC (Xenon Futures R&D project)
- Besides RAL, other collaborating institutes are LIP, Imperial College and CERN
- Concept: use neutrons to induce Migdal effect in atoms of low-pressure scintillating gas, then search for events with an electron track and a nuclear track created at the same point
- The experiment was approved in October 2019, and it is currently being designed
- LIP is contributing to design the shielding and to develop track reconstruction algorithms





Studies on Migdal effect

- **Migdal effect:** ionization mechanism proposed by A. B. Migdal in 1941: electron emission by an atom, when the respective nucleus suddenly acquires a given velocity
- The electron eigenstates for the moving nucleus, $|\Phi'_{ec}\rangle = e^{-im_e \sum_i \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i} |\Phi_{ec}\rangle$ are not orthogonal to those for the nucleus at rest, i.e probability between ground and excited/ionized states, could be non-zero:

 $\mathcal{P} = |\langle \Phi_{ec}^* | \Phi_{ec}' \rangle|^2$

Not yet confirmed experimentally

- Several exclusion limits are calculated assuming the Migdal effect (for comparison)
- Would allow Xe experiments to be competitive at sub-GeV DM scales: inelastic process, with recoiling electron in final state

