# **Future Colliders**





International Doctorate Network in Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology 10<sup>th</sup> IDPASC School, online, Portugal 6-17 September 2021



Jorgen D'Hondt Vrije Universiteit Brussel



Wonderful description of fundamental interactions e.g. The Standard Models of Particle Physics and Cosmology together do not describe all our observations of the universe.

"Problems and Mysteries" Ri

[Riccardo Rattazzi]

e.g. Abundance of dark matter? Abundance of matter over antimatter? Scale of things (EW hierarchy problem / strong CP problem)? Pattern of fermion masses and mixings? Dynamics of EW symmetry breaking?...



Wonderful description of fundamental interactions e.g. The Standard Models of Particle Physics and Cosmology together do not describe all our observations of the universe.

**Problems and Mysteries**" [Riccardo Rattazzi]

e.g. Abundance of dark matter? Abundance of matter over antimatter? Scale of things (EW hierarchy problem / strong CP problem)? Pattern of fermion masses and mixings? Dynamics of EW symmetry breaking?...

Important research in ph & th relates these to a portfolio of concrete observable phenomena at colliders and elsewhere In many cases synergies emerge between astro(particle), cosmology, nuclear and particle physics



Wonderful description of fundamental interactions e.g. The Standard Models of Particle Physics and Cosmology together do not describe all our observations of the universe.

"Problems and Mysteries" Rid

[Riccardo Rattazzi]

e.g. Abundance of dark matter? Abundance of matter over antimatter? Scale of things (EW hierarchy problem / strong CP problem)? Pattern of fermion masses and mixings? Dynamics of EW symmetry breaking?...

Observations of new physics phenomena are expected to unlock concrete ways to address these puzzling unknowns

although there is no lack of novel theoretical ideas, there are no clear indications where new physics is hiding

#### no "no lose theorem" for experiments

need a strong and diverse, yet coherent and concerted empirical exploration

#### Most recent European Strategies

#### the small ...



2020 Update of the European Particle Physics Strategy

#### ... the connection ...



#### Long Range Plan 2017 Perspectives in Nuclear Physics

#### ... the large



2017-2026 European Astroparticle Physics Strategy

#### Most recent European Strategies



2020 Update of the European Particle Physics Strategy Long Range Plan 2017 Perspectives in Nuclear Physics 2017-2026 European Astroparticle Physics Strategy

#### Exploring and strengthening synergies

#### Initiated a series of Joint ECFA-NuPECC-APPEC Seminars (JENAS)



ECFA: European Committee for Future Accelerators NuPECC: Nuclear Physics European Collaboration Committee APPEC: Astroparticle Physics European Consortium First JENAS event at Orsay, 2019: <u>https://jenas-2019.lal.in2p3.fr</u>



#### Exploring and strengthening synergies

#### Initiated a series of Joint ECFA-NuPECC-APPEC Seminars (JENAS)



ECFA: European Committee for Future Accelerators NuPECC: Nuclear Physics European Collaboration Committee APPEC: Astroparticle Physics European Consortium First JENAS event at Orsay, 2019: <u>https://jenas-2019.lal.in2p3.fr</u>



#### Exploring and strengthening synergies







RF cavities, high-field magnets, plasma wakefield acceleration



#### computing and software challenge for multi-messenger and multi-instrument astrophysics

RF cavities, high-field magnets, plasma wakefield acceleration



computing and software challenge for multi-messenger and multi-instrument astrophysics

Particles are accelerated along x in the gaps where there is an accelerating gradient of V(x) As their speed  $v=\beta c$  increases, the distance between the gaps is to increase synchronously



At some moment  $\beta$ ~constant, and the distance between the gaps can be fixed Thereafter, a circular accelerator with high-field magnets can be used with fixed accelerator points around the circle (with a very limited range of the RF frequency)  $\rightarrow$  synchrotron radiation: energy loss per turn:  $\Delta E \sim E^4 / (m^4 \rho)$  with  $\rho$  = radius circular accelerator 15

 For circular colliders:

 Electrons/positrons
 → increase collider radius to reduce the synchrotron energy loss

 Protons
 → increase collider radius to reduce requirement on B-field strength of magnets

The collider radius is constrained by many parameters, not in the least a financial one.

LEP/LHC (r = 4.3km, circumference 27km)
 → radius defined to deal with the synchrotron radiation of LEP
 → maximum LHC collision energy 14 TeV reachable depends on the highest B-field possible (~8Tesla)

FCC-ee/FCC-hh (r = 15.9km, circumference 100km) → radius defined to reach 100 TeV proton collisions with to be developed magnet technology (~16Tesla)

- Future Circular Collider (FCC) Circumference: 90 -100 km Energy: 100 TeV (pp) 90-350 GeV (e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>)
- Large Hadron Collider (LHC) Large Electron-Positron Collider (LEP) Circumference: 27 km Energy: 14 TeV (pp) 209 GeV (e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>)
- Tevatron

Circumference: 6.2 km Energy: 2 TeV (pp̄)

... important to develop high-field magnets to get to the highest energies





18

#### **Advancing Accelerator Technologies**



## Towards an international muon collider design study

- Suppressed synchrotron radiation wrt electrons
- Luminosity can increase linearly with energy

benefits

- For the production of heavy particle pairs 14 TeV lepton
- collisions are comparable to 100 TeV proton collisions

international collaboration being formed towards a design study for a 3 TeV and >10 TeV muon collider

(incl. exploring synergies with Higgs Factories & neutrino experiments)



main challenge: muon lifetime at rest only 2.2 μs

http://muoncollider.web.cern.ch



#### **Advancing Accelerator Technologies**



### Three frontiers on the collider route to BSM



The performance of colliders can be defined with several technical parameters, of which three are key to map the physics potential

Intensity – e.g. SuperKEKB (indirect path to BSM) Energy – e.g. from LHC to FCC-hh (direct path) Precision – e.g. FCC-ee (could be both paths)

Extending these collider frontiers remains our prime route to unlock those BSM phenomena related to the most important open questions

### Three frontiers on the collider route to BSM



The performance of colliders can be defined with several technical parameters, of which three are key to map the physics potential

Intensity – e.g. SuperKEKB (indirect path to BSM) Energy – e.g. from LHC to FCC-hh (direct path) Precision – e.g. FCC-ee (could be both paths)

Extending these collider frontiers remains our prime route to unlock those BSM phenomena related to the most important open questions

## SuperKEKB in Japan

e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider at the Y(4S)≈10.5GeV B-Factory for the Belle II experiment





#### world record luminosity

**3.1** 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> (June 2021) (ultimate target 8 10<sup>35</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>)

1<sup>st</sup> ever nano-beam scheme



Note: SuperKEKB takes over the luminosity record from the LHC



#### Physics with e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collisions @ SuperKEKB

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}$  (~5 x 10<sup>10</sup> b pairs in a decade, and c and  $\tau$  pairs)



### Physics with e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collisions @ SuperKEKB

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}$  (~5 x 10<sup>10</sup> b pairs in a decade, and c and  $\tau$  pairs)



the lecture of Stéphane Monteil Fuks this school

The Belle II Physics Book – Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2019, 123C01

### Three frontiers on the collider route to BSM



The performance of colliders can be defined with several technical parameters, of which three are key to map the physics potential

Intensity – e.g. SuperKEKB (indirect path to BSM) Energy – e.g. from LHC to FCC-hh (direct path) Precision – e.g. FCC-ee (could be both paths)

Extending these collider frontiers remains our prime route to unlock those BSM phenomena related to the most important open questions

#### Today's Flagship: from LHC to HL-LHC



Current flagship (27km)

impressive programme up to 2040



## Today's Flagship: from LHC to HL-LHC

ALICE – Upgrade LS2 – study Quark-Gluon Plasma formed in nuclear o

→ x3-5 better tracking precisio.

→ x100 reado

ATLAS

→ non-prompt muons from B decays

European Strategy for Particle Physics (ESPP)

Current flagship (27km) impressive programme up to 2040



ESPP: "The successful completion of the highluminosity upgrade of the machine and detectors should remain the focal point of European particle physics, together with continued innovation in experimental techniques. The full physics potential of the LHC and the HL-LHC, including the study of flavour physics and the guark-gluon plasma, should be exploited."

LHCb – Upgrade LS2





## From the LHC to the High-Luminosity LHC @ CERN







## Illustration of a proton collision at the LHC



### Illustration of a proton collision at the HL-LHC



CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN Data recorded: 2016-Sep-08 08:30:28.497920 GMT Run / Event / LS: 280327 / 55711771 / 67

HL-LHC: 140-200 pile-up proton collisions together

Recorded event from special run with 130 reconstructed vertices

## Illustration of a proton collision at the HL-LHC





The pile-up challenge is a major driver for innovations in detector instrumentation

3D (space)  $\rightarrow$  4D (space-time) tracking



Additional to the 250µm slices in space (only 1% probability to have 2 vertices in remaining box)

 $\Rightarrow$  recover physics performance of LHC
# Illustration of a proton collision at the HL-LHC

The pile-up challenge is a major driver for innovations in detector instrumentation

3D (space)  $\rightarrow$  4D (space-time) tracking

#### Ample opportunities to contribute to detector R&D towards future colliders

ECFA Detector R&D Roadmap will be published soon to guide the community to reach the best detectors for an optimal physics potential of future experiments



Slice the event in pieces of 30ps time Additional to the 250µm slices in space (only 1% probability to have 2 vertices in remaining box)

 $\Rightarrow$  recover physics performance of LHC

z (cm)

Lecture of





39



#### From the observed collision

- identify the observed particles
- which particles from which quark  $\rightarrow$  jets
- calibrate the observed features & performance
- is there an underlying event topology

Assume you observe 2 muons ⊕ 3 b-jets ⊕ 2 light-quark jets

#### Such an event could come from

ttH  $\rightarrow \mu\nu b \mu\nu b bb = signal (\kappa_t sensitive)$ where one b-quark is not observed as a b-jet, but as a light-quark jet that radiated



**Develop an optimal test statistics** to differentiate such signal events from all backgrounds **Extract** from the observed (differential) rate of signal events to value of the feature

#### Try to do this as much as possible independent from detector and theory assumptions

#### From the observed collision

- identify the observed particles
- which particles from which quark  $\rightarrow$  jets
- calibrate the observed features & performance
- is there an underlying event topology

Assume you observe 2 muons ⊕ 3 b-jets ⊕ 2 light-quark jets

#### Such an event could come from

ttH  $\rightarrow \mu\nu b \mu\nu b bb = signal (\kappa_t sensitive)$ where one b-quark is not observed as a b-jet, but as a light-quark jet that radiated



Latest CERN Courier on AI <u>https://cerncourier.com/p/magazine/</u>

**Develop an optimal test statistics** to differentiate such signal events from all backgrounds **Extract** from the observed (differential) rate of signal events to value of the feature

#### Try to do this as much as possible independent from detector and theory assumptions

#### From the observed collision

- identify the observed particles
- which particles from which quark  $\rightarrow$  jets
- calibrate the observed features & performance
- is there an underlying event topology

Assume you observe 2 muons ⊕ 3 b-jets ⊕ 2 light-quark jets

#### Such an event could come from

ttH  $\rightarrow \mu\nu b \mu\nu b bb = signal (\kappa_t sensitive)$ where one b-quark is not observed as a b-jet, but as a light-quark jet that radiated



Latest CERN Courier on AI <u>https://cerncourier.com/p/magazine/</u>

**Develop an optimal test statistics** to differentiate such signal events from all backgrounds **Extract** from the observed (differential) rate of signal events to value of the feature

#### Try to do this as much as possible independent from detector and theory assumptions





# From the LHC to the High-Luminosity LHC @ CERN



- The Higgs couplings are expected to improve significantly with the HL-LHC data
- The estimate made in 2013 for κ<sub>t</sub> was a precision
   of 7-10% with 3000fb<sup>-1</sup>, while now a value better than 4% seems reachable (for the same integrated luminosity)
- With only 6 years of experimental and theoretical innovations a factor of 2 improvement, and yet 20 years to go into the research program
- Recent innovations in instrumentation, software, computing, analysis and theoretical reasoning unlocked several new avenues for research that were previously thought unreachable...

# From the LHC to the High-Luminosity LHC @ CERN



- The Higgs couplings are expected to improve significantly with the HL-LHC data
- The estimate made in 2013 for κ<sub>t</sub> was a precision
   of 7-10% with 3000fb<sup>-1</sup>, while now a value better than 4% seems reachable (for the same integrated luminosity)
- With only 6 years of experimental and theoretical innovations a factor of 2 improvement, and yet 20 years to go into the research program
- Recent innovations in instrumentation, software, computing, analysis and theoretical reasoning unlocked several new avenues for research that were previously thought unreachable...

**The HL-LHC is an outstanding platform for innovations!** 

# Energy frontier colliders – Hadron Colliders

Direct BSM searches at the highest energies e.g. addressing the naturalness puzzle





here I would show a simulated event display

but

very challenging today to simulate an event with  $\sim$ 1000 pile-up proton collisions per beam crossing

FCC Physics Opportunities, Eur. Phys. J. C (2019) 79:474



#### FCC Study – Volume 3: The Hadron Collider (FCC-hh) Conceptual Design Report, CERN-ACC-2018-0058



#### FCC Study – Volume 3: The Hadron Collider (FCC-hh) Conceptual Design Report, CERN-ACC-2018-0058

In 25 years of its operation (30ab<sup>-1</sup>), in total

>10<sup>10</sup> Higgs bosons (>10<sup>6</sup> Higgs bosons with FCC-ee)

>10<sup>5</sup> HH final states (Higgs self-interaction with  $\sim$ 5% precision)

>10<sup>3</sup> HHH final states (unique)

>10<sup>12</sup> top quarks (10<sup>9</sup> will radiate a Higgs boson  $\rightarrow$  top quark Yukawa with ~1% precision)

In 25 years of its operation (30ab<sup>-1</sup>), in total

>10<sup>10</sup> Higgs bosons (>10<sup>6</sup> Higgs bosons with FCC-ee)

>10<sup>5</sup> HH final states (Higgs self-interaction with  $\sim$ 5% precision)

>10<sup>3</sup> HHH final states (unique)

>10<sup>12</sup> top quarks (10<sup>9</sup> will radiate a Higgs boson  $\rightarrow$  top quark Yukawa with ~1% precision)



In 25 years of its operation (30ab<sup>-1</sup>), in total

>10<sup>10</sup> Higgs bosons (>10<sup>6</sup> Higgs bosons with FCC-ee)
>10<sup>5</sup> HH final states (Higgs self-interaction with ~5% precision)
>10<sup>3</sup> HHH final states (unique)
>10<sup>12</sup> top quarks (10<sup>9</sup> will radiate a Higgs boson → top quark Yukawa with ~1% precision)



<u>Today</u>: map the physics potential of future colliders with the analysis techniques of today

Must be done: think ahead and invent those analysis methods that might be possible with the technology of the future

### Understanding the inside of the proton











# High energy ep collisions – from LHeC to FCC-eh



Smaller PERLE demonstrator for high power ERL at Orsay with maximal beam energy of 0.5 GeV operation within the decade. together with FCC-hh





### Physics with ep collisions @ LHeC / FCC-eh

e

 $p_3$ 



Structure functions  $F_1(x,Q^2)$  and  $F_2(x,Q^2)$  to be measured and related to the momentum distribution of partons in the proton (PDF's).  $F_2^p(x,Q^2) = 2xF_1^p(x,Q^2) = x\sum e_a^2 q^p(x)$ 



$$p = \frac{xp_2}{p_2}$$

$$p = \frac{p_2}{p_2}$$

$$Q^2 \equiv -q^2 \qquad x \equiv \frac{Q^2}{2p_2 \cdot q} \qquad y \equiv \frac{p_2 \cdot q}{p_2 \cdot p_1} \qquad v \equiv \frac{p_2 \cdot q}{M}$$
Bjorken-x  
(0 < x < 1)  
Two independent variables (x,Q<sup>2</sup>)

 $p_1$ 

### Physics with ep collisions @ LHeC / FCC-eh

The precision with which we measure the PDF's is directly related to the precision of proton-proton collision cross sections at the LHC and FCC-hh, e.g.  $gg \rightarrow H$  production



- Cross section depends on gluon PDFs  $\sigma(pp \to HX) \sim \int_0^1 \int_0^1 g(x_1)g(x_2)\sigma(gg \to H)dx_1dx_2$
- Uncertainty in gluon PDFs lead to a  $\pm$ 5 % uncertainty in Higgs production cross section

Prior to HERA data uncertainty was ±25 %

### Empowering the HL-LHC program with the LHeC



64

### The case for ep collisions at high energies (LHeC, FCC-eh)

"Precision" region at FCC-pp: **5–7%** PDF uncertainty for  $\sigma(W,Z,H)$ 



#### ep collisions essential

- a clean experimental environment with low multiplicity, no pileup, fully constrained kinematics (x,Q<sup>2</sup>) reconstructing the outgoing lepton
- a more controlled theoretical setup with many 1<sup>st</sup>-principles calculations, factorisation tests

**LHeC** (60 GeV e<sup>-</sup> from ERL)  $E_{cms} = 0.2 - 1.3 \text{ TeV}$ run with the HL-LHC ( $\gtrsim$  Run5)

**FCC-ep** (60 GeV e<sup>-</sup> from ERL)  $E_{cms}$  up to 3.5 TeV is required to reach O(1%) uncertainty for  $\sigma(W,Z,H)$  at FCC-hh

### Three frontiers on the collider route to BSM



The performance of colliders can be defined with several technical parameters, of which three are key to map the physics potential

Intensity – e.g. SuperKEKB (indirect path to BSM) Energy – e.g. from LHC to FCC-hh (direct path) Precision – e.g. FCC-ee (could be both paths)

Extending these collider frontiers remains our prime route to unlock those BSM phenomena related to the most important open questions

e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs Factories





e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs Factories











#### $B/c/\tau/EW$ Factories

per detector in e⁺e⁻	# Z	# B	#τ	# charm	# WW
LEP	4 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	3 x 10⁵	1 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	2 x 104
SuperKEKB	-	1011	1011	1011	-
FCC-ee	2.5 x 10 <sup>12</sup>	7.5 x 10 <sup>11</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>11</sup>	6 x 10 <sup>11</sup>	1.5 x 10 <sup>8</sup>
## Illustration of a e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collision at a Higgs Factory

 $\label{eq:click} \text{CLIC} @ 3 \text{ TeV} \quad \text{e}^+\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{tt}$ 

- clean event & smaller detector occupancy
- energy & momentum conservation from initial to final state



How can all these different collisions help us to find new physics?

## Principle collider avenues to seek new phenomena

Open questions quide us to potential new physics ideas and phenomena

Open questions relate to several physics phenomena that can be captures in six principle categories

(surely other sets could be used as well)



## Searching for dark matter with colliders

The assumption of Thermal Equilibrium in the early Universe narrows the viable mass range



# Searching for dark matter with colliders

Thermal WIMPs: simplified DM models with one DM particle and one mediator





# Searching for dark matter with colliders

Thermal WIMPs: simplified DM models with one DM particle and one mediator





Maximal overlap with direct & indirect detection sensitivity: cosmological origin of DM versus nature of DM interactions

## Addressing the naturalness puzzle with supersymmetry



European Strategy for Particle Physics in Granada 79

Sensitivity for deviations in effective Higgs couplings (from a global EFT fit – dim-6 SM Effective Field Theory)



Results of the SMEFT fit projected in effective couplings:

$$g_{HX}^{\text{eff 2}} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{H \to X}}{\Gamma_{H \to X}^{\text{SM}}}$$

Physics themes of the Open Symposium of the European Strategy for Particle Physics in Granada 80

Besond the SM

Electroweak & Higgs

+|**₽**ø|°-V(ø)

Sensitivity for deviations in effective Higgs couplings (from a global EFT fit – dim-6 SM Effective Field Theory)



Results of the SMEFT fit projected in effective couplings:

$$g_{HX}^{\text{eff 2}} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{H \to X}}{\Gamma_{H \to X}^{\text{SM}}}$$



Sensitivity for deviations in effective Higgs couplings (from a global EFT fit – dim-6 SM Effective Field Theory)



Complementarity between ee/eh/hh colliders – case for the FCC project (Higgs coupling strength modifier parameters  $\kappa_i$  – assuming no BSM particles in Higgs boson decay) (expected relative precision)

kappa-0-HL	HL+FCC-ee <sub>240</sub>	HL+FCC-ee	HL+FCC-ee (4 IP)	HL+FCC-ee/hh	HL+FCC-eh/hh	HL+FCC-hh	HL+FCC-ee/eh/hh
K <sub>W</sub> [%]	0.86	0.38	0.23	0.27	0.17	0.39	0.14
κ <sub>Z</sub> [%]	0.15	0.14	0.094 0.13		0.27	0.63	0.12
$\kappa_{g}[\%]$	1.1	0.88	0.59 0.55 0.		0.56	0.74	0.46
$\kappa_{\gamma}[\%]$	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1 0.29 0.32		0.56	0.28
$\kappa_{Z\gamma}[\%]$	10.	10.	10.	0.7	0.71	0.89	0.68
$\kappa_c[\%]$	1.5	1.3	0.88	1.2	1.2 0.95 0.52 0.45		0.94 0.95 0.41
<b>K</b> <sub>t</sub> [%]	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.95			
$\kappa_b[\%]$	0.94	0.59	0.44	0.5			
$\kappa_{\mu}[\%]$	4.	3.9	3.3	0.41			0.41
$\kappa_{\tau}[\%]$	0.9	0.61	0.39	0.49	0.63	0.9	0.42
$\Gamma_H[\%]$	1.6	0.87	0.55	0.67	0.61	1.3	0.44
							LL COMBINE
only FCC-ee@240GeV						only FCC-hl	ı

Complementarity between ee/eh/hh colliders – case for the FCC project (Higgs coupling strength modifier parameters  $\kappa_i$  – assuming no BSM particles in Higgs boson decay) (expected relative precision)

			the coupling	we looked				
kappa-0-HL	HL+FCC-ee <sub>240</sub>	HL+FCC-ee	HL+ at on the pre	vious slide ee/hh	HL+FCC-eh/hh	HL+FCC-hh	HL+FCC-ee/eh/hh	
$\kappa_W[\%]$	0.86	0.38	0.23	0.27	0.17	0.39	0.14	
κ <sub>Z</sub> [%]	0.15	0.14	0.094	0.13	0.27	0.63	0.12	
$\kappa_{g}[\%]$	1.1	0.88	0.59	0.55	0.56	0.74	0.46	
κ <sub>γ</sub> [%]	1.3	1.2	1.1	0.29	0.32	0.56	0.28	
$\kappa_{Z\gamma}[\%]$	10.	10.	10.	0.7	0.71	0.89	0.68	
$\kappa_c$ [%]	1.5	1.3	0.88	1.2	1.2		0.94	
<i>к</i> t [%]	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.95	
$\kappa_b[\%]$	0.94	0.59	0.44	0.5	0.52	0.99	0.41	
$\kappa_{\mu}[\%]$	4.	3.9	3.3	0.41	0.45	0.68	0.41	
$\kappa_{\tau}[\%]$	0.9	0.61	0.39	0.49	0.63	0.9	0.42	
$\Gamma_H[\%]$	1.6	0.87	0.55	0.67	0.61	1.3	0.44	
ALL COM								
on	ly FCC-ee@2	40GeV		only FCC-hh				

[J. de Blas et al., JHEP 01 (2020) 139]

Complementarity between e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> and proton colliders

(Higgs coupling strength modifier parameters  $\kappa_i$  – assuming no BSM particles in Higgs boson decay) (expected relative precision)

				the coupling we looked						
kappa-0-HL	HL+FCC-ee <sub>240</sub>	HL+FCC-ee	HL+	at on the pre	evious slide	ee/hh	HL+FCC-eh/hh	HL+FCC-hh	HL+FCC-ee/eh/hh	
$\kappa_W[\%]$	0.86	0.38	0.23		0.27		0.17	0.39	0.14	
κ <sub>Z</sub> [%]	0.15	0.14	0.094		0.13		0.27	0.63	0.12	
$\kappa_{g}[\%]$	1.1	0.88	0.59		0.55		0.56	0.74	0.46	
$\kappa_{\gamma}[\%]$	1.3	1.2	1.1		0.29		0.32	0.56	0.28	
$\kappa_{Z\gamma}[\%]$	10.	<u>10</u> .	10.		0.7		0.71	0.89	0.68	
$\kappa_c$ [%]	1.5	1.3	0.88		1.2		1.2	-	0.94	
$\kappa_t$ [%]	3.1	3.1	3.1		0.95		0.95	0.99	0.95	
$\kappa_b[\%]$	0.94	0.59	0.44		0.5		0.52	0.99	0.41	
$\kappa_{\mu}[\%]$	4.	3.9	3.3		0.41		0.45	0.68	0.41	
$\kappa_{\tau}[\%]$	0.9	0.61	0.39		0.49		0.63	0.9	0.42	
$\Gamma_H[\%]$	1.6	0.87		0.55	0.67	7	0.61	1.3	0.44	
adding 365 GeV runs						adding FCC-ep ALL COMBINED				
only FCC-ee@240GeV						only FCC-hh				

Complementarity between e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> and proton colliders

(Higgs coupling strength modifier parameters  $\kappa_i$  – assuming no BSM particles in Higgs boson decay) (expected relative precision)



00000

The Higgs boson cubic self-coupling ( $\kappa_3$ )





## Principle collider avenues to seek new phenomena

High-energy colliders have a unique capability to address the most profound open questions in particle physics

Although with novel theoretical reasoning we are given several avenues where we <u>could</u> find new physics, we do not know where we <u>will</u> find new physics

This provides an argument, in a global context, for an inclusive collider programme exploiting complementary ee/eh/hh future colliders aiming for broad coverage



## The bold and the beautiful of colliders

- With the HL-LHC and SuperKEKB the immediate future for particle physics colliders looks bright, and provides ample opportunities for innovative experimental and theoretical research to unlock physics that was initially thought to be out of reach at these colliders
- Clearly motivated by physics arguments, e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs Factories are technically ready to become operational in our medium-term future and with the ambition to integrate the concepts of B/c/τ, EW and top quark Factories in their research programs
- Because of the complementary to address the open questions in particle physics, there is a motivation for a new energy frontier machine, potentially at a later stage, to unlock the physics potential of 100 TeV proton collisions

## The bold and the beautiful of colliders

- With the HL-LHC and SuperKEKB the immediate future for particle physics colliders looks bright, and provides ample opportunities for innovative experimental and theoretical research to unlock physics that was initially thought to be out of reach at these colliders
- Clearly motivated by physics arguments, e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Higgs Factories are technically ready to become operational in our medium-term future and with the ambition to integrate the concepts of B/c/τ, EW and top quark Factories in their research programs
- Because of the complementary to address the open questions in particle physics, there is a motivation for a new energy frontier machine, potentially at a later stage, to unlock the physics potential of 100 TeV proton collisions

In my view, we have a few years in front of us to join forces on a global scale to organize together our concrete ambition for the colliders of the 21<sup>st</sup> century ... and if we do this together, it better be with a bold moonshot ambition

## Join the adventure!

• Various challenging aspects to make happen physics analysis at future colliders

accelerators, detectors, computing & software, DAQ and electronics, Machine Learning for analysis, reconstruction and even detector controls, new physics models, new interpretation frameworks, ... and much more we haven't considered yet

• While engaged in today's projects (EXP and TH), you can also engage with your creativity to prepare for these future colliders

*if you developing a new heavy flavour reconstruction technique for an experiment at the LHC, you might want to apply the technique as well in the settings for a future high energy proton collider... which might result in a few-author publication and additional exposure at conferences* 

## **Current and Future Colliders in Europe**

Current flagship (27km) impressive programme up to 2040 Big sister future ambition (100km), beyond 2040 *attractive combination of precision & energy frontier* 



ep-option with HL-LHC: LHeC 10y @ 1.2 TeV (1ab<sup>-1</sup>) updated CDR 2007.14491



by around 2026, verify if it is feasible to plan for success (techn. & adm. & financially & global governance)

## Current and Future Colliders in Europe

FCC-ee

**Higgs Factory** 

4y @ M<sub>z</sub> (150ab<sup>-1</sup>) 1-2y @ 2xM<sub>w</sub> (10ab<sup>-1</sup>)

3y @ 240 GeV (5ab<sup>-1</sup>) 5y @ 2xmt (1.5ab<sup>-1</sup>)

EW/Top Factory

**FRANCE** 

Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn

Current flagship (27km) impressive programme up to 2040 Big sister future ambition (100km), beyond 2040 attractive combination of precision & energy frontier

FCC-eh/hh@ÇERN [3.5/100 Te

100 KM LONG

25y @ hh 100 TeV (30ab-1) @ eh 3.5 TeV (2ab-1)



ep-option with HL-LHC: LHeC 10y @ 1.2 TeV (1ab<sup>-1</sup>) updated CDR 2007.14491

Thank you for your attention! Jorgen.DHondt@vub.be

16T magnets by around 2026, verify if it is feasible to plan for success (techn. & adm. & financially & global governance)

FCC

## Some extra information/slides

# Electron-Ion Collider (EIC)

World's 1<sup>st</sup> polarized e-p/light-ion & 1<sup>st</sup> eA collider User Group >1000 members: <u>http://eicug.org</u>

The EIC can address three key questions.

- $\circ$  How does the mass of the nucleon arise?
- How does the spin of the nucleon arise?

 $\circ\,$  What are the emergent properties of a dense system of gluons?

Towards a 3D partonic image of the proton (spin-dependent transverse momentum distributions)





## Colliders & fixed-target facilities at the density frontier



### **Collider experiments @ CERN**

- **HL-LHC**: higher luminosity provide new opportunities
- FCC: study the QGP at higher energy density and Temp

### Fixed-target experiments @ CERN

- **SPS**: QCD at high- $\mu_B$  with NA61/SHINE and NA60+
  - (HL-)LHC: at ALICE and LHCb the most energetic fixed-target experiments to reach quark/gluon high-x PDFs

## Facilities @ JINR and FAIR

- NICA @ JINR: MPD experiment to start around 2023
- SIS100 @ FAIR: CBM & HADES experiments to start around 2025

### Nuclotron-based Ion Collider Facility @ JINR



SIS100 @ FAIR



## Colliders in Europe at the energy & precision frontier



101

## Colliders in Europe at the energy & precision frontier

Current flagship (27km) impressive programme up to 2040





"portal" representation of physics potential to demonstrate complementarity

## Axion Physics with "old" and new magnets in Europe



## Accelerated Beams (Beyond Colliders) at CERN

The CERN accelerator complex and the LHC – protons from Booster only <0.1% to LHC



open questions with a complementary methodology

## Accelerated Beams (Beyond Colliders) at CERN

The CERN accelerator complex and the LHC – protons from Booster only <0.1% to LHC



05



400 GeV protons

LHC

AWAKE

~50 GeV electrons

AWAKE++

## Kaon physics with NA62 and KLEVER @ SPS-CERN

Flavour physics (CKM and BSM)



## **During LHC era**



#### running

Ε ŝ

¥

NA62++ to run briefly *in beam-dump mode* (dark sector physics)

proposal

## Beam Dump Facility @ SPS-CERN

## Intensity Frontier & Hidden Sectors



## Accelerated Beams (Beyond Colliders) at CERN

Flavour Physics Intensity Frontier





"portal" representation of physics potential to demonstrate complementarity

## Accelerated Beams (Beyond Colliders) at CERN



"portal" representation of physics potential to demonstrate complementarity

## Charged-Particle EDMs (CPEDM & JEDI Collaborations)

Towards a prototype storage ring – Flavour Physics & Axion Physics via oscillating EDMs Feasibility studies

## Extensive EDM activity throughout Europe



Ultimate goal of a dedicated storage ring with 400-500m circumference is pEDM sensitivity down to 10<sup>-29</sup> e cm (today 10<sup>-26</sup> e cm)



Opportunity to modify the COSY storage ring at the Forschungszentrum Jülich (Germany) towards a demonstrator and R&D for small EDMs
## Accelerated Beams (Beyond Colliders) at CERN

*The CERN accelerator complex and the LHC – from protons to electrons in the SPS* 



proposal, CDR just submitted

## Accelerated Beams (Beyond Colliders) at CERN

The CERN accelerator complex and the LHC – protons from Booster only <0.1% to LHC



## European Spallation Source (ESS) at Lund (Sweden)

Fundamental Physics Beamline – Physics with Cold Neutrons

NNBAR experiment – from 2030 onwards Baryon Number Violation with neutron-antineutron oscilations (up to 300m) (3 orders of magnitude more sensitivity)



Linear Accelerator producing up to 5 MW beam of 2 GeV protons (first science from 2023, full operation 2026)



proposal

Other particle physics proposals @ ESS: ANNI, HIBEAM, ESSvSB, CEvNS

## From the LHC to the High-Luminosity LHC @ CERN



- Constraining the parameters of the unitary CKM matrix (not predicted by the SM) will provide an extremely precise test of the paradigm, and through loop corrections a powerful sensitivity to BSM physics (figure from LHCb only)
- Expected improvement from LHC and Belle II (table)

	λ	$\bar{\rho}$	$\bar{\eta}$	Α	$\sin 2\beta$	γ	α	$\beta_s$
Current	0.12%	9%	3%	1.5%	4.5%	3%	2.5%	3%
short-term	0.12%	2%	0.8%	0.6%	0.9%	0.9%	0.7%	0.8%
mid-term	0.12%	1%	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%	0.5%

[arXiv:1812.07638v2]

- In general, not limited by experimental or theoretical systematic uncertainties
- Sensitivity to BSM up to  $10^3$ - $10^6$  TeV assuming O(1) coupling strength, depending on flavour
- Addressing significantly the flavour puzzle question