Is there any New Physics?

Aidos Issadykov^{1,2} & Mikhail A. Ivanov¹

¹Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

²The Institute of Nuclear Physics, Almaty, Kazakhstan

Abstract

In the wake of the recent measurements of the decays $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi \pi(K)$ and $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi \ell v_e$ reported by the LHCb Collaboration we calculate the form factors for the $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi$ and $B_c \rightarrow \eta_c$ transitions in full kinematical region within covariant confined quark model. Then we use the calculated form factors to evaluate the partial decay widths of the above-mentioned semileptonic and nonleptonic decays of the B_c meson. We find that the theoretical predictions on the ratios of $R_{K+/\pi+}$ and $R_{\pi+/\mu+\nu}$ are in good agreement with last LHCb-data. However, the prediction for the $R_{J/\psi}$ is found to be underestimated.

Motivation

The first measurement that relates semileptonic and hadronic decay rates of the B_{c}^{+} meson was performed by the LHCb Collaboration [1]. The measured value of the ratio of branching fractions,

 $R_{\pi^{+/\mu^{+}\nu}} = B(B_{c}^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^{+}) / B(B_{c}^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi \mu^{+} v_{\mu}) = 0.0469 \pm 0.0028(stat) \pm 0.0046(syst),$

Covariant Confined Quark Model

The effective Lagrangian describing the transition of a meson $M(q_1q_2)$ to its constituent quarks q_1 and q_2 in model looks like

 $\mathbb{L}_{int}(x) = g_M M(x) \cdot J_M(x) + h.c.,$

was found at the lower end of available theoretical predictions.

The decay $B_{c}^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{+}$ was observed for the first time by the LHCb Collaboration [10]. The ratio of the branching fractions were measured to be

 $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{K}+/\pi^{+}} = \mathsf{B}(\mathsf{B}_{c}^{+} \to \mathsf{J}/\psi \;\mathsf{K}^{+})\mathsf{B}(\mathsf{B}_{c}^{+} \to \mathsf{J}/\psi \;\pi^{+}) = \begin{cases} 0.069 \pm 0.019(\text{stat}) \pm 0.005(\text{syst}) \; [10] \\ 0.079 \pm 0.007(\text{stat}) \pm 0.003(\text{syst}) \; [11] \end{cases}$

The **theoretical predictions** for this ratio lie in the range from **0.054 to 0.088**. Recently, LHCb collaboration reported about measurement of the ratio of semileptonic branching fractions $R_{J/w}$ [15]:

 $R_{_{J/\psi}} = B(B_{_{c}}^{_{+}} \rightarrow J/\psi \ \tau^{_{+}}v_{_{T}} \)B(B_{_{c}}^{^{+}} \rightarrow J/\psi \ \mu^{^{+}}v_{_{\mu}}) = 0.71 \pm 0.17(stat) \pm 0.18(syst).$

This result lies within 2 standard deviations above the predictions obtained in several theoretical models based on the Standard Model. Note that the semileptonic B_c decays provide an excellent laboratory to measure the CKM-matrix elements: V_{cb} , V_{ub} , V_{cs} and V_{cd} . The theoretical description of semileptonic and nonleptonic decays is, however, nontrivial problem because one needs to know the transition form factors characterizing the strong transition of the B_c to the charmonium.

 $J_{M}(x) = \int dx_{1} \int dx_{2} F_{M}(x, x_{1}, x_{2}) q_{2}(x_{2}) \Gamma_{M} q_{1}(x_{1}),$

with Γ_{M} a Dirac matrix which projects onto the spin quantum number of the meson field M(x). The vertex function F_{M} characterizes the finite size of the meson. Translational invariance requires the function F_{M} to fulfill the identity $F_{M}(x + a, x_{1} + a, x_{2} + a) = F_{M}(x, x_{1}, x_{2})$ for any four-vector a. A specific form for the vertex function is

 $F_{M}(x, x_{1}, x_{2}) = \delta(x - w_{1}x_{1} - w_{2}x_{2}) \Phi_{M}((x_{1} - x_{2})^{2}),$

where Φ_{M} is the correlation function of the two constituent quarks with masses m_{q_1} , m_{q_2} and the mass ratios $w_i = m_{q_i} / (m_{q_1} + m_{q_2})$. A simple Gaussian form of the vertex function $\Phi_{M}^{-}(-k^2)$ is selected

$\Phi_{M}^{-}(-k^{2}) = \exp(k^{2}/\Lambda_{M}^{2}),$

with the parameter Λ_{M} linked to the size of the meson. The minus sign in the argument is chosen to indicate that we are working in the Minkowski space.









Figure 1: Pictorial representation of the semileptonic and nonleptonic B_c decays.





Figure 3: Theoretical predictions vs. LHCb data [10] and [11] for the ratio $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}^+/\pi^+}$. Two solid lines- central experimental values, dash-dotted lines-experimental error bar from [10], dotted lines-experimental error bar from [11].



Figure 4: Theoretical predictions vs. LHCb data [1] for the ratio $\mathcal{R}_{\pi^+/\mu^+\nu_{\mu}}$. Solid linecentral experimental value, dotted lines-experimental error bar.

Summary & Discussion

- We have calculated the semileptonic and nonleptonic decays of the B_c meson within CCQM and found that the ratios of the branching fractions $R_{\pi+/\mu+\nu}$ and $R_{\kappa+/\pi+}$ are in good agreement with the LHCb data and other theoretical approaches. At the same time theoretical predictions for the ratio $R_{J/\psi}$ are more than 2 σ less than the experimental data. This may indicate on the possibility of New physics effects in this decay.
- The last data for the R_{p^*} reported by Belle Coll. [29] are consistent with the theoretical predictions of the Standard Model, the average data given by HFAG [30] are still different from the SM at the level of 4σ . Since our result for $R_{J/\psi}$ is different from the data at the level of 2σ , we can urge to more precise measurement of the $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi \,\ell v_\ell$ channel which currently has quite large uncertainties. At the same time we found that the theoretical predictions for the ratio $R_{\kappa/\pi}$ are well consistent with the experimental data. This might be very important since it may imply that the new physics (if there is any) has strong couplings to the leptons but not hadrons.

Table 5: The ratios of branching fractions.

Ref.	$\mathcal{R}_{\pi^+/\mu^+ u}$	$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}^+/\pi^+}$	\mathcal{R}_{η_c}	$\mathcal{R}_{J/\psi}$
LHCb [1]	0.0469 ± 0.0054			
LHCb[10]		0.069 ± 0.019		
LHCb [11]		0.079 ± 0.0076		
LHCb[15]				0.71 ± 0.25
This work	0.0605 ± 0.012	0.076 ± 0.015	0.26 ± 0.05	0.24 ± 0.05
[3]	0.0525	0.074		
[4]	0.0866	0.058		
[5]	0.0625	0.096	0.34	0.28
[6]	0.058	0.075		
[7]	0.068	0.085	0.31	0.25
[8]	0.0496	0.077		
[9]	0.082	0.076	0.27	0.24
[14]		0.075		
[16]	$0.064^{+0.007}_{-0.008}$	$0.072^{+0.019}_{-0.008}$		
[18, 27]	$0.046^{+0.003}_{-0.002}$	0.082	0.63 ± 0.0	$0.29^{+0.01}_{-0.00}$
[19]			0.31	0.29
[22]			0.28	0.26

