

Anomalies and Opportunities in Indirect Searches for Dark Matter

inspired by: arXiv:1802.00636

D.Gaggero & M.V.

MAURO VALLI

C.N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics

SIMONS FOUNDATION





Looking for Exotica in the $S{\ensuremath{\mathsf{KY}}}$



Looking for Exotica in the $S{\ensuremath{\mathsf{KY}}}$



MOST PROMISING WAY TO PROBE (IN)FAMOUS **WIMP MIRACLE**





PHYSICAL REVIEW D 98, 023016 (2018)

GeV-scale thermal WIMPs: Not even slightly ruled out

Rebecca K. Leane,^{1,*} Tracy R. Slatyer,^{1,†} John F. Beacom,^{2,3,4,‡} and Kenny C. Y. Ng^{5,§}

Sci Post

SciPost Phys. 11, 019 (2021)

Is a Miracle-less WIMP Ruled out?

Jason Arakawa, Tim M.P. Tait

Anomalies & Opportunities in the $S{\ensuremath{\mathsf{KY}}}$













A MOLLVIEW OF THE DARK MATTER SIGNAL



D.Zhong, M.V., K.Abazajian, PRD 102 (2020) 8

IF WE WERE TALKING ABOUT LINE SIGNALS, INTERESTING EXTRA DIAGNOSTIC: **DOPPLER ENERGY SHIFT**



D.Zhong, M.V., K.Abazajian, PRD 102 (2020) 8



S. Murgia – Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part.Sci. 70 (2020)



KNOWN (UN)KNOWNS

Point Sources + Extragalactic

Emissivity map from gas templates

Structures, e.g., Fermi Bubbles Fermi-LAT counts

Emissivity map from Interstellar Radiation Field







Phenomenology of cosmic-ray (CR) propagation in the Galaxy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{i}(\vec{x}, p, t)}{\partial t} &= \nabla \cdot \left(D_{\vec{x}\vec{x}} \,\nabla \mathcal{N}_{i} - \vec{v}_{c} \,\mathcal{N}_{i} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(\dot{p} \,\mathcal{N}_{i} - \frac{p}{3} (\nabla \cdot \vec{v}_{c}) \,\mathcal{N}_{i} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(p^{2} \,D_{pp} \,\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{i}}{p^{2}} \right) + Q(\vec{x}, p, t) - \frac{\mathcal{N}_{i}}{\tau_{\text{spal}}} - \frac{\mathcal{N}_{i}}{\tau_{\text{dec}}} \end{aligned}$$

A Few General Remarks ...



Uncertainties on 3D distribution of atomic & molecular gas + interstellar radiation field hard to quantify !

CR transport oversimplified, i.e. minimal modeling to fit local measurements ... Then, extrapolation of its validity to entire Galaxy!

II)

In this regard, the gamma-ray sky may help us learn more on CR propagation in the Galaxy



(III) Many template fitting analyses unphysical in the spectrum (+ no info from non-Poissonian / wavelet analyses)

$\dots A S {\sf Specific} \ R {\sf Emark} \ For \ The \ GC$



In almost ALL analyses, CR source distribution assumed to drop towards the GC ... WHY?!?!

Few notable exceptions I am aware of:

- my work: D.Gaggero et al. , JCAP 12 (2015) 056
- E.Carlson, T.Linden, S.Profumo , PRL 117 (2016) 11
- Fermi-LAT Coll. , Astrophys.J. 840 (2017) 1



Q: Should we care about all this?



GDE MISMODELING CAN DRASTICALLY AFFECT GCE INTERPRETATION

See also more recent discussion in:

Y.Zhong et al. — *PRL 124 (2020) 23* R.Leane, T.R.Slatyer — *PRL 125 (2020) 12*

So, how to confirm or disprove such a potential discovery of Dark Matter?



Dwarf Spheroidal Mass Modeling

Collisionless Boltzmann equation :

$$\frac{\partial f_{\star}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} f_{\star} - \nabla_{\vec{x}} \Phi_{\text{tot}} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} f_{\star} = 0$$

DYNAMICAL EQUILIBRIUM
SPHERICAL SYMMETRY

Evolution of phase-space density of star in dSph galaxy, tracing Dark Matter

2nd MOMENTS OF BOLTZMANN EQ.:

$$\left(\rho_{\star}\sigma_{\star r}^{2}\right)' + 2\beta_{\star}\rho_{\star}\sigma_{\star r}^{2} = -\rho_{\star}\Phi_{\text{tot}\,\simeq\,\text{DM}}'$$

HOW THIS CONNECTS TO OBSERVATIONS?



Spectroscopy —> Dispersion

$$\sigma_{los}(R) \Leftrightarrow \sigma_{los}\left(\beta_{\star}, \Phi_{\rm DM}\right)$$

Stellar anisotropy - DM degeneracy problem!

Dwarf Spheroidal Mass Modeling

Collisionless Boltzmann equation :

$$\frac{\partial f_{\star}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} f_{\star} - \nabla_{\vec{x}} \Phi_{\text{tot}} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} f_{\star} = 0$$

1) DYNAMICAL EQUILIBRIUM 2) SPHERICAL SYMMETRY

Evolution of phase-space density of star in dSph galaxy, tracing Dark Matter

K. Andrade, M.Kaplinghat, M.V. - work in progress

BEYOND STANDARD JEANS ANALYSIS:

$$f(E,L) = n_f \ h(E) \ g(L)$$

$$h(E) = \begin{cases} (1 - \frac{E}{\Phi_{lim}})^e E^a (E_c^q + E^q)^{d/q}, & E < \Phi_{lim} \\ 0, & E \ge \Phi_{lim} \end{cases}$$

$$g(L) = \left(\left(\frac{L}{L_\beta} \right)^{\frac{b_0}{\alpha}} + \left(\frac{L}{L_\beta} \right)^{\frac{b_1}{\alpha}} \right)^{\alpha}$$

O(10) PARAMETERS, e.g.: $\{r_s, v_s, \Phi_{lim}, e, a, q, E_c, d, L_\beta, b\}$

$R_{\text{EPRESENTATION}} \, O_{\text{F}} \, T_{\text{HE}} \, S_{\text{TATE}} \, O_{\text{F}} \, T_{\text{HE}} \, A_{\text{RT}}$







Anything Else In Line With GCE ?

THE ANTI-PROTON CHANNEL



MILD EVIDENCE FOR SAME DM INTERPRETATION AS FOR THE GCE. UNCERTAINTIES FROM CR TRANSPORT + ANTI-P CROSS SEC

EXTRA COMPLICATION: HOW TO PROPERLY TREAT AMS-02 SYSTEMATIC ERRORS?

What About Anti-Nuclei?

RUMORS FROM AMS-02 ABOUT POSSIBLE \overline{D} , \overline{He} DETECTION !



 AMS has been in operation on the ISS since May 2011 Ting, CERN May 2018: https://indico.cern.ch/event/729900/





- NEARBY ANTI-STARS / ANTI-CLOUDS

V.Poulin, P.Salati, I.Cholis, M.Kamionkowski, J.Silk, **PRD 99 (2019) 9**

 AD-HOC DM THEORY SELECTION RULES J.Heeck & A.Rajaraman, J. Phys. G 47 (2020) 10

- LARGE MODIFICATION IN $\bar{\Lambda}_b$ DECAYS *M.W.Winkler & T.Linden*, **PRL 126 (2021) 10**



ANY RELATION WITH YET-TO-BE-CONFIRMED AMS ANTI-NUCLEI EVENTS?

J.L.Feng, M.Kaplinghat, M.V. - in preparation



Indirect Searches for Dark Matter are still kickin' & alive!



The GeV excess still represents a very interesting signal to fully uncover and understand

Dwarf spheroidals will be key to prove / disprove the Dark Matter interpretation of the GC excess

-> promising reach thanks to Fermi-LAT + LSST



Anti-proton channel also interesting: keep an eye on ...



If anti-nuclei events confirmed, a potential groundbreaking discovery lacking as of now of a solid explanation!