

5-10 September 2021 Online Europe/Lisbon timezone

Trojan Horse Method for n-induced reaction investigations at astrophysical energies



Maria Letizia Sergi

for the AsFiN research group



Indirect Method in Nuclear Astrophysics

Determination of astrophysically relevant cross section by selecting a precise reaction mechanism in a suitable chosen reaction and through the application of some theoretical consideration:

Coulomb dissociation

Asympotic Normalization Coefficient (ANC)

Trojan Horse Method (THM)

<u>Usefull references about THM:</u> -G. Baur et al., Nucl. Phys. A 458, 188 (1986) -R. Tribble et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 77, 106901 (2014) -C. Spitaleri et al., EpJA 55, 161 (2019) -A. Tumino et al., Ann. Rev. Nuc. Part. Science, 71, available from Sept.2021

...allows to deduce a chargedparticle binary-reaction cross section inside the Gamow window by selecting the Quasi-Free (QF) contribuiton to an appropriate three-body reaction.

The Trojan Horse Method I

- ✓ The QF A + a → b + B + s reaction between the projectile A and the target a can be described by the polar-diagram:
- ✓ Upper pole describes the break-up process of nucleus *a* in its "x" and "s" constituents. <u>The break-up is Quasi-Free if *s* maintains in the exit channel the same momentum distribution as in *a*;
 </u>



- Lower pole describes the astrophysically relevant two-body reaction A(x,b)B;
- \checkmark The nucleus *a* (the so-called "TH-nucleus") is chosen because of:
 - its large amplitude in the $a=x \oplus s$ cluster configuration;
 - its relatively low-binding energy;
 - Its known x-s momentum distribution $|\Phi(\vec{p}_s)|^2$ in a.
- ✓ In this picture, "s" behaves as *spectator* while nucleus "x" is the *participant* of the astrophysical A(x,b)B reaction (Impulse Approximation approach).₃

The Trojan Horse Method II

S

Х

 $d\sigma$

The A(a,cC)s is induced at energies of the order **a** of 20-50 MeV, higher than the Coulomb barrier in the entrance A-a channel.

The A-x interaction occurs directly in the nuclear field, thus **Coulomb suppression effects are naturally removed.**

The **cross section** for the A(a,bB)s process can be derived in the simple PWIA approach as

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}^3\sigma}{\mathrm{d}E_c\mathrm{d}\Omega_c\mathrm{d}\Omega_c} \propto \mathrm{KF} \cdot |\Phi(\vec{p_s})|^2$

 $\rightarrow |\vec{\Phi}(p_s)|^2$ is a key quantity to be determined in each THM experiment!

The A-x reaction is induced at energies E_{c.m.}=E_{cC}-Q_{2body}, where E_{bB} is the relative c-C energy and Q_{2body} the A(x,c)C Q-value. This allows to cover the energy region of interest for astrophysics by using only a mono-energetic beam!



THM on neutron induced reaction

 \checkmark Deuterium as a virtual neutron source;



The ¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C reaction

... astrophysical importance

<u>s-processes</u>: ¹⁴N is very abundant since it is the dominant product of hydrogen burning in the CNO cycle, step prior to s process. Hence, due to its high cross section, the ¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C reaction acts as a strong **neutron poison** in the chain of reactions for the production of heavier elements.

Origin of fluorine: the He burning shell in AGBs is the primary site for fluorine synthesis, via the reaction chain

 $^{14}N(\alpha,\gamma)^{18}F(\beta^{+})^{18}O(p,\alpha)^{15}N(\alpha,\gamma)^{19}F$

 \rightarrow The protons captured by ¹⁸O are mostly those produced in the ¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C reaction

The ¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C reaction

... astrophysical importance

<u>s-processes</u>: ¹⁴N is very abundant since it is the dominant product of hydrogen burning in the CNO cycle, step prior to s process. Hence, due to its high cross section, the ¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C reaction acts as a strong **neutron poison** in the chain of reactions for the production of heavier elements.

Origin of fluorine: the He burning shell in AGBs is the primary site for fluorine synthesis, via the reaction chain

 $^{14}N(\alpha,\gamma)^{18}F(\beta^+)^{18}O(p,\alpha)^{15}N(\alpha,\gamma)^{19}F$

 \rightarrow The protons captured by ¹⁸O are mostly those produced in the ¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C reaction



... state of the art

Wallner et al., Astronomical Society of Australia, (2012), 29, 115

The ¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C reaction

... astrophysical importance

<u>s-processes</u>: ¹⁴N is very abundant since it is the dominant product of hydrogen burning in the CNO cycle, step prior to s process. Hence, due to its high cross section, the ¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C reaction acts as a strong **neutron poison** in the chain of reactions for the production of heavier elements.

Origin of fluorine: the He burning shell in AGBs is the primary site for fluorine synthesis, via the reaction chain

 $^{14}N(\alpha,\gamma)^{18}F(\beta^+)^{18}O(p,\alpha)^{15}N(\alpha,\gamma)^{19}F$

 \rightarrow The protons captured by ¹⁸O are mostly those produced in the ¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C reaction



Wallner et al., Astronomical Society of Australia, (2012), 29, 115

¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C studied by ²H(¹⁴N,p¹⁴C)¹H via the THM



- The experiment was performed @LNS-INFN (Catania)
- 2) The two body reaction ¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C (Q-value=0.626 MeV) was studied by applying the THM to the reaction ²H(¹⁴N, p ¹⁴C)p (Q-value=-1.599 MeV) by properly selecting the corresponding quasi-free contribution (QF) to the total reaction yield;
- 3) Deuteron "d" was used as TH-nucleus
- 4) Use of large area 5x5 cm² DSSSD

Detectors	Thickness [µm]	θ [deg]	r [cm]	Δθ [deg]
A ₁ (STRIP)	500	5.0 ± 0.1	80	±1.8
ΔA ₁ (STRIP)	20	5.0 ± 0.1	80	±1.8
B ₁ (STRIP)	1000	25.0 ± 0.1	25	±5.7
B ₂ (PSD)	500	40.0 ± 0.1	25	±5.7



¹⁴N(n,p)¹⁴C studied by ²H(¹⁴N,p¹⁴C)¹H via the THM

Reaction channel selection....





- Good agreement with the theoretical value Q_{theor}=-1.599 MeV
- correct selection of the reaction channel;
- good calibration procedure
- No other competing channels in the exit channel.

Study of the relative energy two dimensional plot

E_{15N*} (MeV)

 E_{\star}

 $J^{\pi}; T$

By using both the angles and energies of the ¹⁴C and proton in the exit channel, it has been possible to reconstruct their relative energy E_{12} . Additionally, the kinematical properties of the UNDETECTED proton were reconstructed by using energy-momentum conservation laws.





Nuclear Physics Inputs: Cross section measurements at BBN energies (≤100 keV) for ⁷Li formation/destruction ³He(α,γ)⁷Be(e⁻,v_e)⁷Li(p,α)α and for the ones involving ⁷Be ⁷Be(n,p)⁷Li ⁷Be(n,α)⁴He

RIB+n: THM investigation of the ⁷Be(n,α)⁴He reaction (BELICOS)



- The two body reaction ⁷Be(n,α)α (Q-value=18.99 MeV) was studied by applying the THM to the reaction ²H(⁷Be, α ⁴He)p (Q-value=16.765 MeV) by using a 20 MeV ⁷Be beam;
- 2) Deuteron "d" was used as TH-nucleus
- 3) Use of large area 6x6 cm² IC & DSSSD
- 4) Performed at INFN-LNL in collaboration with CRIB-RIKEN (S. Hayakawa)

The ⁷Be(n,α)⁴He cross section data at BBN energies



The ⁷Be(n,α)⁴He cross section data at BBN energies



The impact of such result has been evaluated through the BBN code of Kawano (1988) discussed in Pizzone 2014

Reaction Rate	⁷ Li/H	⁷ Be/H	$(^{7}Li/H+^{7}Be/H)$
Pizz2014+Hou2015	2.840×10^{-11}	4.149×10^{-10}	4.433×10^{-10}
Pizz2014+Lam17	2.845×10^{-11}	4.156×10^{-10}	4.441×10^{-10}
Pizz2014+Present work	2.67×10^{-11}	3.99×10^{-10}	4.26×10^{-10}
Halo Stars Observ. as in Sbordone et al. (2010)			$(1.58^{+0.35}_{-0.28}) \times 10^{-10}$

Note. The first three rows display the primordial abundances using the ${}^{7}Be(n, \alpha)^{4}He$ reaction rates of Hou et al. (2015) (Hou2015), Lamia et al. (2017) (Lam17), and the present work. The last row refers to the ${}^{7}Li$ abundance for halo stars as reported in Sbordone et al. (2010).



THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL LETTERS, 915:L13 (14pp), 2021 July 1 © 2021. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved.

https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac061f



Constraining the Primordial Lithium Abundance: New Cross Section Measurement of the ⁷Be + n Reactions Updates the Total ⁷Be Destruction Rate

S. Hayakawa¹, M. La Cognata², L. Lamia^{2,3,4}, H. Yamaguchi^{1,5}, D. Kahl^{6,19}, K. Abe¹, H. Shimizu¹, L. Yang^{1,20}, O. Beliuskina^{1,21}, S. M. Cha^{7,22}, K. Y. Chae⁷, S. Cherubini^{2,3}, P. Figuera², Z. Ge^{8,21}, M. Gulino^{2,9}, J. Hu¹⁰, A. Inoue¹¹, N. Iwasa¹², A. Kim¹³, D. Kim^{13,22}, G. Kiss^{8,23}, S. Kubono^{1,8,10}, M. La Commara^{14,15}, M. Lattuada^{2,3}, E. J. Lee⁷, J. Y. Moon¹⁶, S. Palmerini^{17,18}, C. Parascandolo¹⁵, S. Y. Park^{13,24}, V. H. Phong^{8,25}, D. Pierroutsakou¹⁵, R. G. Pizzone², G. G. Rapisarda², S. Romano^{2,3,4}, C. Spitaleri^{2,3}, X. D. Tang¹⁰, O. Trippella^{17,18}, A. Tumino^{2,9}, and N. T. Zhang¹⁰



courtesy of Seiya Hayakawa

Thanks for your attention

The <u>AsFin Group</u>







<u>@Catania</u> A. Bonasera, S. Cherubini, G. D'Agata, A. Di Pietro, P. Figuera, G.L. Guardo, M. Gulino, M. La Cognata, L. Lamia, D. Lattuada, M. Lattuada, A.A. Oliva, R.G. Pizzone, G.G. Rapisarda, S. Romano, D. Santonocito, M.L. Sergi, R. Spartà, C. Spitaleri, A. Tumino
 <u>@Perugia</u> M. Busso, S. Palmerini, M. Limongi, A. Chieffi, M.C. Nucci @<u>Padova</u> M. Mazzocco <u>@Napoli</u> M. La

Collaborations

Commara

- Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M, USA: R. Tribble, V. Goldberg
- Texas A&M Commerce USA: C. Bertulani
- Florida State University USA: I. Wiedenhofer
- Notre Dame University USA: M. Wiescher, M. Couder
- C.N.S. Riken, Wako, Japan: S. Kubono, H. Yamaguchi, S. Hayakawa
- University of Taskent: B. Irgaziev, R. Yarmukhanmedov
- CIAE, Beijing, China: S. Zhou, C. Li, Q. Wen
- Nuclear Physics Institute, ASCR, Rez, Czech Rep.: V. Kroha, V. Burjan, J. Mrazek
- Nipne IFIN Bucharest: L. Trache
- ELI-NP Bucharest: C. Matei, D. Balabanski
- Atomki, Debrecen, Hungary: G. Kiss
- CSNSM, Orsay, France : A. Coc , F. Hammache, N. De Sereville
- University of Catalunya: J. Jose
- Rudjer Boskovic Institute Zagreb Croatia: N. Soic, M. Milin
- INFN Sez. Napoli e UniNA: D. Pierroutsakou, C. Parascandolo
- University of Pisa: S. Degl'Innoccenti, P. Prada Moroni







