Heavy-ion collisions and the low-density neutron star equation of state

Helena Pais CFisUC, University of Coimbra, Portugal

in collaboration with F. Gulminelli (LPC-Caen, France), C. Providência (Univ. Coimbra), and G. Roepke (Univ. Rostock, Germany), and with R. Bougault and the INDRA collaboration (LPC-Caen-France)

PANIC 2021 Conference

Lisbon, September 5 2021 (online)

Acknowledgments:

The organisers











FCT Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia MINISTÉRIO DA CIÊNCIA. TECNOLOGIA E ENSINO SUPERIOF



- Outer crust: Neutron rich nuclei embedded in electron sea
- Inner crust: Above neutron drip density, nucleons form geometrical structures (non-spherical: pasta phases) embedded in neutron and electron background gas.
- Core: Uniform matter, in the centre exotic matter may exist.

Where do these clusters form?

in http://essayweb.net/astronomy/blackhole.shtml

Credit: Soares-Santos et al. and DES Collab **EVOLUTION OF STARS** Planetary Nebula GW170817 GW170817 Small Star Red Giant **DFCam** observation **DECam** observation White Dwarf (0.5-1.5 days post merger) (>14 days post merger) Neutron Star Supernova **Red Supergiant** Large Star Stellar Cloud with NS mergers Protostars Blac IMAGES NOT TO SCALE

in https://www.ligo.org/detections/GW170817.php

scenarios where light and heavy clusters are important: supernovae, NS mergers, (crust of) neutron stars

Describing neutron stars P.B. Demorest *et al*, Nature 467, 1081, 2010 **Prescription:** MS0 2.5 1.EoS: P(E) for a system at given AP3 PAL1 ENG MS2 ρ and T 2.0 SOM3 FSU 11903+0327 2.Compute TOV equations solar 1.5 J1909-3744 SQM1-PAL6 Mass (Double NS Systen 3.Get star M(R) relation 1.0 0.5 Problem: Which phenomenological EoS to choose? 0.0^L 11 12 13 14 15 Radius (km)

Many EoS models in literature: Phenomenological models (parameters are fitted to nuclei properties): **RMF, Skyrme**...

Solution: Need Constraints (Experiments, Microscopic calculations, Observations) 4



EoS Constraints



•Observations:

 GW170817 from NS-NS (Abbott et al, PRL 119, 161101 (2017) followed up by GRB170817A and AT2017gfo.

Others followed:

- GW190425 (Abbott et al, ApJL 892, L3 (2020): largest NS binary known to date
- GW190814 (Abbott et al, ApJL 896, L44 (2020): BH+2.5-2.6Msun object (not ruled out yet to be NS).
- NASA's Neutron star Interior Composition Explorer (NICER), a soft X-ray telescope in ISS:
- PSR J0030+0451:

-Riley et al, ApJL 887, L21 (2019): M= $1.34^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$ M $_{\odot}$, R= $12.71^{+1.14}_{-1.19}$ km -Miller et al, ApJL 887, L24 (2019): M= $1.44^{+0.15}_{-0.14}$ M $_{\odot}$; R= $13.02^{+1.24}_{-1.06}$ km

• PSR J0740+6620:

-Riley et al, arXiv:2105.06980: M= $2.072^{+0.067}_{-0.066}$ M_{\odot} ; R= $12.39^{+1.30}_{-0.98}$ km

EoS Constraints



EoS Constraints

In a near future:

- ATHENA, an X-ray high-precision determination observatory for NS mass and radius to be launched in 2028.
- New data on NS systems will heavily increase when SKA, the world's largest radio telescope, will be in full power.
- The radio telescope FAST has started operating, and will give information on the NS mass.
- ...

...

 On the experimental side, FAIR will put more constraints on the high-density behaviour of nuclear matter, and CREX should release results soon...

Supernova EoS with light clusters

- The SN EoS should incorporate: all relevant clusters, (mean-field) interaction between nucleons and clusters, and a suppression mechanism of clusters at high densities.
- Different methods: nuclear statistical equilibrium, quantum statistical approach, and
- •RMF approach: clusters as new degrees of freedom, with effective mass dependent on density.
- In-medium effects: cluster interaction with medium described via the meson couplings, or effective mass shifts, or both
- Constrains are needed to fix the couplings: low densities: Virial EoS high densities: cluster formation has been measured in HIC

Supernova EoS with light clusters

• The total baryonic density is defined as:

$$\rho = \rho_p + \rho_n + 4\rho_\alpha + 2\rho_d + 3\rho_h + 3\rho_t$$

• The global proton fraction as

$$Y_p = y_p + \frac{1}{2}y_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}y_d + \frac{2}{3}y_h + \frac{1}{3}y_t$$

with $y_i = A_i(\rho_i/\rho)$ the mass fraction of cluster i.

- •Charge neutrality must be imposed: $ho_e=Y_p~
 ho$
- The light clusters are in chemical equilibrium, with the chemical potential of each cluster i defined as

$$\mu_i = N_i \mu_n + Z_i \mu_p$$

Exp Constraint: Equilibrium constants



• Our model describes quite well experimental data!

Experimental chemical equilibrium Constants with INDRA data Definition (2020); J.Phys.G 47, 105204 (2020)

• Experimental data includes 4He, 3He, 3H, 2H, and 6He.

• 3 experimental systems: 136Xe+124Sn, 124Xe+124Sn, and 124Xe+112Sn.



R. Bougault et al, for the INDRA collab, J. Phys. G 47, 025103 (2020)

• Vsurf is the velocity of the emitted particles at the nuclear surface, so fastest particles correspond to earliest emission times.

• The temperature, proton fraction and density as a function of Vsurf, for the intermediate mass system. 12

Experimental determination of chemical equilibrium constants

• Weak point: T and density are NOT directly measured, but deduced from experimental multiplicities, using analytical expressions that assume the physics of an ideal gas...



Considering in-medium effects

- How to solve this problem?
- We should take into account the interactions between clusters:

$$V_{f} = R_{np}^{\frac{A-Z}{A-1}} C_{AZ} \exp\left[\frac{B_{AZ}}{T(A-1)}\right] \left(\frac{g_{AZ}}{2^{A}} \frac{\tilde{Y}_{11}^{A}(\vec{p})}{\tilde{Y}_{AZ}(A\vec{p})}\right)^{\frac{1}{A-1}}$$
$$C_{AZ} = \exp\left[-\frac{a_{1}A^{a_{2}} + a_{3}|I|^{a_{4}}}{T_{HHe}(A-1)}\right]$$

• C_{AZ} depends on temperature T, number of clusters Ai, and isospin Ii.

Considering in-medium effects

- a1, a2, a3, and a4 are parameters that need to be determined.
- How to do that?

- They are going to be calculated such that the volumes of the clusters are the same, so that the thermodynamical conditions are fulfilled.
- In other words, the variance of the volume of the clusters must be minimum:

$$\sigma = \frac{\sum_{i} (V_{0_i} - \bar{V}_0)^2}{\bar{V}_0^2}$$

Experimental chemical equilibrium constants with INDRA data

• When we apply the correction, the volumes converge:



PRL 125, 012701 (2020); J.Phys.G 47, 105204 (2020)

Equilibrium constants and data from INDRA



- The in-medium effects give rise to larger densities, compared to ideal gas limit.
- The 3 data systems are compatible.



A few take-away messages:

- •A simple parametrisation of in-medium effects acting on light clusters is proposed in a RMF framework.
- Interactions of clusters with medium described by modification of sigma-meson coupling constant.
- •Clusters dissolution obtained by the density-dependent extra term on the binding energy.
- Our model reproduces both virial limit and Kc from HIC data.
- INDRA data was analysed based on a new method, with in-medium effects.
- Comparing to a RMF model, these corrections can be interpreted as a stronger scalar meson coupling of the nucleons bound in clusters, which shifts the dissolution to higher densities. Thank you!
- Light clusters and pasta structures are relevant and should be explicitly included in EoS for CCSN simulations and NS mergers.