A Novel Reclustering Algorithm for Jet Quenching

André Cordeiro

Based on: "Time reclustering for jet quenching studies" (L. Apolinário, A. Cordeiro, K. Zapp) Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 561 (2021)

5 September, 2021



QGP AND PARTON SHOWERS

Parton Showers

- QCD shower as a series of emissions over a wide range of scales.
- One can construct jets Multi-scale observables.



Parton Showers

- QCD shower as a series of emissions over a wide range of scales.
- One can construct jets Multi-scale observables.
- QCD emissions + Expanding medium \rightarrow jets encode the integrated effects of medium evolution.



Parton Showers

- QCD shower as a series of emissions over a wide range of scales.
- One can construct jets Multi-scale observables.
- QCD emissions + Expanding medium \rightarrow jets encode the integrated effects of medium evolution.



Probing jet substructure may allow for precision measurements of QGP structure.

Jet Reconstruction and Subtructure



• Jet: Cluster of final-state particles.

- Jet: Cluster of final-state particles.
- Substructure given by sequence of splittings.

- Jet: Cluster of final-state particles.
- Substructure given by sequence of splittings.

$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{\mathrm{T},i}^{2p}, p_{\mathrm{T},j}^{2p}) \left(\frac{\Delta R_{ij}}{R}\right)^2$$

$$egin{aligned} & p = 0: \mathsf{Cambridge} - \mathsf{Aachen} \ & p = -1: \mathsf{Anti} - \mathsf{k_T} \ & p = 1/2: \tau \end{aligned}$$

- Jet: Cluster of final-state particles.
- Substructure given by sequence of splittings.

$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{\mathrm{T},i}^{2p}, p_{\mathrm{T},j}^{2p}) \left(\frac{\Delta R_{ij}}{R}\right)^2$$

p = 0: Cambridge – Aachen p = -1: Anti – k_T p = 1/2: au

- Jet: Cluster of final-state particles.
- Substructure given by sequence of splittings.



- Jet: Cluster of final-state particles.
- Substructure given by sequence of splittings.



- Jet: Cluster of final-state particles.
- Substructure given by sequence of splittings.

$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{T,i}^{2p}, p_{T,j}^{2p}) \left(\frac{\Delta R_{ij}}{R}\right)^{2}$$

$$p = 0 : \text{Cambridge} - \text{Aachen}$$

$$p = -1 : \text{Anti} - k_{T}$$

$$p = 1/2 : \tau$$

- Jet: Cluster of final-state particles.
- Substructure given by sequence of splittings.

$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{\mathrm{T},i}^{2p}, p_{\mathrm{T},j}^{2p}) \left(\frac{\Delta R_{ij}}{R}\right)^2$$

$$egin{aligned} p &= 0: \mathsf{Cambridge} - \mathsf{Aacher} \ p &= -1: \mathsf{Anti} - \mathsf{k_T} \ p &= 1/2: \tau \end{aligned}$$



André Cordeiro (LIP/IST)

- Jet: Cluster of final-state particles.
- Substructure given by sequence of splittings.

$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{\mathrm{T},i}^{2p}, p_{\mathrm{T},j}^{2p}) \left(\frac{\Delta R_{ij}}{R}\right)^2$$

$$p = 0$$
: Cambridge – Aacher
 $p = -1$: Anti – k_T
 $p = 1/2$: τ



André Cordeiro (LIP/IST)

- Jet: Cluster of final-state particles.
- Substructure given by sequence of splittings.

$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{\mathrm{T},i}^{2p}, p_{\mathrm{T},j}^{2p}) \left(\frac{\Delta R_{ij}}{R}\right)^2$$

 $egin{aligned} p &= 0: \mbox{Cambridge} - \mbox{Aachen} \ p &= -1: \mbox{Anti} - \mbox{k}_{\mathsf{T}} \ p &= 1/2: \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$



The Formation Time

• Estimate splitting formation time:

• Estimate splitting formation time:

$$\begin{split} \tau_{\rm form} &\propto \frac{1}{Q} \frac{E}{Q} \approx \frac{E}{E_1 E_2 (1 - \cos \theta_{12})} \\ &\propto \frac{1}{z (1 - z) E (1 - \cos \theta_{12})} \approx \\ &\propto \frac{1}{\min(\rho_{\rm T1}, \rho_{\rm T2}) \, \theta^2} \,. \end{split}$$



• Estimate splitting formation time:

$$\tau_{\text{form}} \propto \frac{1}{Q} \frac{E}{Q} \approx \frac{E}{E_1 E_2 (1 - \cos \theta_{12})}$$

$$\propto \frac{1}{z(1 - z)E(1 - \cos \theta_{12})} \approx$$

$$\propto \frac{1}{\min(\rho_{\text{T}1}, \rho_{\text{T}2}) \theta^2}.$$

$$E \quad \text{Let} \quad E_2$$

Since
$$\Delta R_{ij} \sim \theta$$
 and $d_{ij} \propto \min(p_{T,i}^{2p}, p_{T,j}^{2p}) \Delta R_{ij}^2$,
the clustering algorithm with $p = 1/2$ produces jets ordered in τ_{form}^{-1} .

E1

• Estimate splitting formation time:

$$\tau_{\text{form}} \propto \frac{1}{Q} \frac{E}{Q} \approx \frac{E}{E_1 E_2 (1 - \cos \theta_{12})}$$

$$\propto \frac{1}{z(1 - z)E(1 - \cos \theta_{12})} \approx$$

$$\propto \frac{1}{\min(\rho_{\text{T}1}, \rho_{\text{T}2}) \theta^2}.$$

$$E \text{ where } E_2$$

Since
$$\Delta R_{ij} \sim heta$$
 and $d_{ij} \propto \min(p_{T,i}^{2p}, p_{T,j}^{2p}) \Delta R_{ij}^2$,

the clustering algorithm with p=1/2 produces jets ordered in $au_{
m form}^{-1}$.

From now on called the au algorithm, can be used for jet reclustering.

 E_1

Monte Carlo Analysis

Monte Carlo Event Generators

Initialization and Analysis

Sampled jet populations using vacuum references (PYTHIA8 + JEWEL Vac) and jet quenching (JEWEL w/ rec and JEWEL w/o rec).

Different orderings crucial in evaluating algorithm performance: k_{T} -ordering (PYTHIA8), Q^2 ordering (JEWEL vacuum), Q^2 ordering + τ_{form} veto (JEWEL medium).

Hard scattering tuned for dijet events at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$, trigger on leading jet.

Analysis of jets with $p_{\rm T} > 300\,{
m GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 1.0.$

Quenching effects achieved by a medium model + Bjorken expansion, with $\tau_{init} = 0.4$ fm/c and $T_{init} = 0.4$ GeV.

Correlation of 1^{st} splitting time



Look at correlations between proxies for $\tau_{\rm form}$:

- $\tau_{\text{form}}^{\text{Uncluster}}$: Jet Variable.
- $\tau_{\text{form}}^{\text{PartonShower}}$: Monte Carlo Variable.

Correlation of 1st splitting time



- **Diagonal:** Correlation.
- Vertical: Emissions outside of jet cone.

Correlation of 1^{st} splitting time



Apply SoftDrop:
$$z_{g} = \frac{\min(p_{T1}, p_{T2})}{p_{T1} + p_{T2}} > z_{cut}$$
, with $z_{cut} = 0.1$.

André Cordeiro (LIP/IST)

Correlation of 1st splitting time — Groomed Jets



Grooming improves considerably the obtained correlation.

Comparing the Generalised- k_{T} Algorithms

Vacuum Distributions

• Consider the distribution of
$$\Delta \tau_{\rm form} \equiv \tau_{\rm form}^{\rm PartonShower} - \tau_{\rm form}^{\rm Uncluster}$$
.



Comparing the Generalised- k_T Algorithms

Vacuum Distributions

• Consider the distribution of
$$\Delta \tau_{\text{form}} \equiv \tau_{\text{form}}^{\text{PartonShower}} - \tau_{\text{form}}^{\text{Uncluster}}$$
.



• Represent distribution by $Median \pm Quartiles$

André Cordeiro (LIP/IST)

Comparing the Generalised- k_T Algorithms

Vacuum Distributions

• Consider the distribution of
$$\Delta \tau_{\text{form}} \equiv \tau_{\text{form}}^{\text{PartonShower}} - \tau_{\text{form}}^{\text{Uncluster}}$$
.



• Represent distribution by $\mathit{Median} \pm \mathit{Quartiles}$

The τ algorithm returns the most centred distributions for PYTHIA8.

André Cordeiro (LIP/IST)

Comparing the Generalised-k_T Algorithms Medium Distributions

• For medium-modified jets the distributions widen, but the trend remains.



Comparing Monte-Carlo Event Generators

Median and IQR dependence on the algorithm



• Generally, the au algorithm minimizes both the median and the width of the $\Delta au_{
m form}$ distribution.

Applications to Jet Quenching Studies

Jet Quenching by the QGP

Jet Quenching Classifier



- Longer $\tau_{\text{form}} \longleftrightarrow$ Harder fragmentation.
- Jets with harder fragmentation more likely to stay in the $p_{\rm T} > 300$ GeV region.

Jet Quenching by the QGP

Jet Quenching Classifier



- Longer $\tau_{\text{form}} \longleftrightarrow$ Harder fragmentation.
- Jets with harder fragmentation more likely to stay in the $p_{\rm T} > 300$ GeV region.
- Can select different jets using the $\tau_{\rm form}$ variable.

To study shower interactions with the QGP, we introduce the nuclear modification factor,

$$R_{AA}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) = rac{N_{\mathrm{evt}}^{pp}}{N_{\mathrm{evt}}^{AA}} rac{dN_{\mathrm{jet}}^{AA}/dp_{\mathrm{T}}}{dN_{\mathrm{jet}}^{pp}/dp_{\mathrm{T}}},$$

as the ratio of leading jet yields in vacuum (proton-proton collision) and medium (Pb-Pb collision).

To study shower interactions with the QGP, we introduce the nuclear modification factor,

$$R_{AA}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) = rac{N_{\mathrm{evt}}^{pp}}{N_{\mathrm{evt}}^{AA}} rac{dN_{\mathrm{jet}}^{AA}/dp_{\mathrm{T}}}{dN_{\mathrm{jet}}^{pp}/dp_{\mathrm{T}}},$$

as the ratio of leading jet yields in vacuum (proton-proton collision) and medium (Pb-Pb collision).

Compute R_{AA} for different jet populations:

• "Early jets" — $\tau_{form} < 1 \text{ fm/c}$ • "Late jets" — $\tau_{form} > 3 \text{ fm/c}$

Jet Quenching by the QGP

Nuclear Modification Factor



• Late jet population shows differences from MC truth.

Untested region, correlation might deteriorate

André Cordeiro (LIP/IST)

Jet Quenching by the QGP

Nuclear Modification Factor



- Late jet population shows differences from MC truth.
- $\bullet\,$ C/A yields $\tau_{\rm form}$ systematically shorter than shower values.

Untested region, correlation might deteriorate

Jet Quenching by the QGP

Nuclear Modification Factor



- Late jet population shows differences from MC truth.
- $\bullet\,$ C/A yields $\tau_{\rm form}$ systematically shorter than shower values.

au algorithm can be used as a jet quenching classifier.

Untested region, correlation might deteriorate

• With an appropriate choice of parameters, the generalized- $k_{\rm T}$ ($p = 0.5 \Rightarrow \tau$) algorithms can be used to produce a jet history (mostly) ordered in time.

• With an appropriate choice of parameters, the generalized- $k_{\rm T}$ ($p = 0.5 \Rightarrow \tau$) algorithms can be used to produce a jet history (mostly) ordered in time.

• With the use of grooming techniques, τ clustered jets show an improvement over C/A.

• With an appropriate choice of parameters, the generalized- k_T ($p = 0.5 \Rightarrow \tau$) algorithms can be used to produce a jet history (mostly) ordered in time.

• With the use of grooming techniques, τ clustered jets show an improvement over C/A.

• A more accurate estimation of $\tau_{\rm form}$ provides an inclusive jet-quenching classifier, allowing for precision QGP studies.



Comparing Monte-Carlo Event Generators

Median and IQR dependence on the algorithm



Impact of the Grooming Parameters

Correlation as a function of the Jet Radius



A jet radius of R = 1 seems to be a good compromise for both algorithms.

Impact of the Grooming Parameters

Correlation as a function of z_{cut}



Generally, increasing $z_{
m cut}$ shifts the distribution to $\Delta au_{
m form} \sim$ 0, while also broadening it.

André Cordeiro (LIP/IST)

JEWEL PbPb with Medium Recoils

Evolution of Δau_{form} and jet quenching



When medium recoils are turned on, the nuclear modification effects are even more noticeable.

André Cordeiro (LIP/IST)

Jet Time Reclustering