Proton Decay Amplitudes
with Physical Chirally-Symmetric Quarks

Taku Izubuchi, Amarjit Soni,
Sergey Syritsyn, Jun-Sik Yoo

PANIC 2021

Lisbon, September 8, 2021

- Proton decay basics
  - *Experimental lifetime limits & outlook*
  - *Motivation and theory status*
  - *Effective nucleon decay operators and matrix elements*
- Need for lattice calculations
  - *Past calculations and model uncertainty*
  - *Summary of the present calculation*
- Lattice calculation and analysis
  - *Hadron masses and energies*
  - *Extraction of matrix elements*
  - *Operator renormalization*
  - *Momentum & continuum extrapolations*
- Results
  - *Comparison to earlier calculations*
  - *Nucleon annihilation amplitudes*
  - *Conclusions*

Proton Stability: Status and Outlook



[LBNF and DUNE CDR, R.Acciarri et al (2015)]

- Current limits $\tau(p \to e^+\pi^0) \gtrsim 1.6 \cdot 10^{34}$, $\tau(p \to \bar{\nu}K^+) \gtrsim 5.9 \cdot 10^{33}$ [Super-K]
- Expect x10 improvement on lifetime limit from Hyper-K and DUNE
- Better sensitivity to $p \to \bar{\nu}K^+$ that affects supersymmetric GUT models

Motivation and Theory Status

- Proton lifetime tests *baryon number conservation*
  - *accidental "symmetry" of SM,* violated by sphalerons
  - has to be violated for baryogenesis [A.Sakharov'67]
- Missing piece of Grand-Unified Theories
- Probes scales inaccessible to colliders: Limits on GUT, extra dim., etc
- Limits on stability of nuclear matter

[Sakai,Yanagida '82; Weinberg '82]



ordinary GUT

- min.$SU(5)$ ruled out by $\tau(p \to e^+\pi^0)$
- $SO(10)$ probed by next-gen exp.



supersymmetric GUT

- min.SUSY-$SU(5)$ ruled out by $\tau(p \to \bar{\nu}K^+)$
- SUSY-$SO(10)$ probed by next-gen exp.

How Nucleon Structure Affects GUT Limits

Effective interaction

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_I C_I \mathcal{O}_I \; + \; \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_I = \epsilon^{abc} (\bar{q}_1^{a\text{C}} P_{\chi_I} q_2^b)(\bar{\ell}^{\text{C}} P_{\chi'_I} q_3^c) = \bar{\ell}^C_\alpha \, \mathcal{O}^{3q}_{I,\alpha}$$

$$q_{1,2,3} \in \{u, d, s\}\,, \quad P_{\chi_I^{(\prime)}} = \frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2}$$



Decay width $p \to \Pi\bar{\ell}$ ($\Pi = \pi, \text{K}, \eta\,;\; m(\bar{\ell}) \ll m_N$)

$$\Gamma(p \to \Pi\bar{\ell}) = \frac{m_N}{32\pi}\left[1 - \left(\frac{m_\Pi}{m_N}\right)^2\right]^2 \left|\sum_I C_I W_{\bar{\ell}}^I\right|^2$$

Decay matrix elements $(W_{0,1})_I$ [S.Aoki et al, PRD62:014506 (200)]

$$\langle \bar{\ell}(q)\Pi(p)|\mathcal{O}^{\chi'}|N(k)\rangle = \bar{v}^C_{\ell\alpha}(q)\, P_{\chi'} \left[W_0(-q^2) - \frac{i\not{q}}{m_N} W_1(-q^2)\right] u_N(k)$$

and $W_{\bar{\ell}} = \left[W_0 + W_1 \cdot O(m_{\bar{\ell}}/m_N)\right]_{q^2 = m^2_{\bar{\ell}}}$

nonperturbative QCD ; require lattice calculation

negligible for e^+ ;
≈10% for μ^+

Nucleon Decay Matrix Elements

Nonperturbative matrix elements [form factors]

$$\langle \Pi(k-q)|\mathcal{O}^{3q}_{\alpha}|N(k)\rangle = \left[P_{\chi'}\left(W_0^{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{i\not{q}}{m_N}W_1^{\mathcal{O}}\right)u_N(k)\right]_{\alpha}$$

[S.Aoki et al, PRD62:014506 (200)]

Two methods to calculate $W_{0,1}$

- Direct calculation on lattice
- Low-energy theory (soft-pion thm.)
  requires annihilation amplitude $\langle \mathrm{vac}|O^{3q}|N\rangle$
  (also needed for $p \to 3\bar{\ell}$ decays)

Order-of-magnitude estimate:

$$\langle \mathrm{vac}|\mathcal{O}^{3q}|N\rangle \sim \rho_q^{3/2}\sqrt{V_N} \sim \frac{1}{V_N} \approx 0.004\,\mathrm{GeV}^3$$

$$\langle \Pi|\mathcal{O}^{3q}|N\rangle \sim \langle \mathrm{vac}|\mathcal{O}^{3q}|N\rangle / f_\pi \approx 0.03\,\mathrm{GeV}^2$$

lattice calculations
with $m_\pi \gtrsim 330$ MeV



[Martin, Stavenga '12]
Suppression of $\langle \mathrm{vac}|O^{3q}|N\rangle$
in Chiral Bag model
due skyrmion topology



Alternative explanation for observed proton stability?

This Work: Lattice Setup

- Two ensembles: [32ID] $32^3\times64(a=0.14\text{ fm})$ and [24ID] $24^3\times64(a=0.20\text{ fm})$
- Iwasaki gauge action+ Dislocation-supp. det.ratio (DSDR)
- $N_f = 2+1$ Chirally-symmetric (Mobius-)Domain Wall fermion action with physical light and strange quark masses
- Multigrid deflation of z-Mobius operator + AMA
- "Direct" ($p \to \pi,K$ matrix elements) and "Indirect" ($p \to$ vacuum + ChPT)
- Nonperturbative renormalization
- Two state-fit analysis of π,K,N spectrum and $p \to \pi,K$ matrix elements
- a^2 Continuum extrapolation

	24ID $24^3 \times 64$	32ID $32^3 \times 64$
β	1.633	1.75
a, fm	0.20	0.14
a^{-1}, GeV	1.02	1.37
$m_\pi L$	3.4	3.3
N_{conf}	134	94
N_{samp}	4288	3008

- three kinematic (Q^2) points to interpolate matrix elements to decay kinematic $Q^2 = -(m_{\bar{\ell}})^2$

Π	$\vec{n}_\Pi$	$\vec{n}_N$	$Q^2(\text{GeV}^2)$ (24c)	(32c)
π	[1 1 1]	[0 0 0]	0.010	−0.012
	[1 1 1]	[0 1 0]	0.113	0.095
	[0 0 2]	[0 0 0]	−0.116	−0.140
K	[0 1 1]	[0 0 0]	−0.034	−0.042
	[0 1 1]	[0 1 0]	0.058	0.056
	[0 0 1]	[0 0 0]	0.075	0.074

Proton and Meson Spectrum













pion **kaon** **nucleon**

- 24ID ensemble (a=0.20 fm)
- Two-state fits + priors from large-t_{min} one-state fits

Extraction of Matrix Elements

32ID

W0



- Two-state fits with energies fixed from spectrum fits: *no signs of excited-state sys.errors*

Nonperturbative Renormalization

- symmetry-allowed mixing

	$\mathcal{S}=-1$	$\mathcal{S}=+1$
$\mathcal{P}=-1$	$SS,\ PP,\ AA$	$VV,\ TT$
$\mathcal{P}=+1$	$SP,\ PS,\ AV$	$VA,\ TQ$

- *symmMOM* scheme : $p{+}q{+}r=0,\ p^2{=}q^2{=}r^2{=}\mu^2$

$$Z^{3q}_{IK}(\mu)\ \mathrm{Proj}_J\left[\langle \bar{q}_1(p)\bar{q}_2(q)\bar{q}_3(r)\,\mathcal{O}^{3q}_K\rangle_{\mathrm{amp}}\right]=\delta_{IJ}$$



- symmMOM(p)→MSbar(2 GeV) perturbative conversion at $O(\alpha^3)$ [J.Gracey, JHEP09:052 (2012)]





- *chiral symmetry suppresses mixing of L⟺R fields & operators*

Momentum and Continuum Extrapolation



- linear momentum extrapolation $Q^2 \rightarrow m_e^2, m_\mu^2$ to the decay kinematics
- Continuum extrapolation $A(a^2) \sim (A_0 + A_2\, a^2)$; *conservative* sys.error = $|A_0 - A_{[\mathrm{a=0.14fm}]}|$

Proton Annihilation Amplitudes



$$\langle \mathrm{vac}|\epsilon^{abc}(\bar{u}^{aC}d^b)_R u^c_L|N\rangle = \alpha\, P_L U_N$$
$$\langle \mathrm{vac}|\epsilon^{abc}(\bar{u}^{aC}d^b)_L u^c_L|N\rangle = \beta\, P_L U_N$$

- connected to $\langle \pi/\mathrm{K}|O^{3q}|N\rangle$ by soft-pion theorem
- $(\alpha+\beta)=0$ [within errorbars] implying

$$\epsilon^{abc}(\bar{u}^{aC}d^b)\gamma_5 u^c\,|N\rangle \stackrel{?}{\approx} 0$$

parity (–) (–) (+)





Comparison to Previous Work



- New results:
  (stat+sys) precision ~ 10-20%
- No FVE study, mpi*L~3.4
- physical-point results agree with prev. calculations at mπ ≳300 MeV

  [S.Aoki et al (2000)]
  [Y.Aoki et al (2006)]
  [Y.Aoki et al (2013)]

NO suppression of nucleon decay due to chiral skyrmion topology

Summary & Conclusions

- *Proton decay amplitudes at the physical point with chiral symmetric quarks and continuum extrapolation*

- *No topological suppression of nucleon decay found; limits on Grand-Unified Theories* ***stand***

- *Sys+Stat. precision O(10-20%) ; may be improved with more statistics, finer lattice spacing, finite-volume study*

BACKUP