FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS
Charles University

New measurement of the radiative decay $K^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu \gamma$ at the NA62 experiment at CERN

Michal Koval

michal.koval@cern.ch

Charles University in Prague

on behalf of the NA62 Collaboration

PANIC 2021 Conference

8 September 2021

$K^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu \gamma$ decay



DE



IB

+ INT

[Kubis et al., EPJ C 50, 557]



Inner Bremsstrahlung (IB) decay amplitude:

$\to$ divergent for $E_\gamma \to 0$ and $\theta_{e,\gamma} \to 0$

Theoretical predictions and experimental measurements for **3 sets** of cuts: minimal E_γ and $\theta_{e,\gamma}$ (in K^+ rest frame)

$$R_j = \frac{\mathcal{B}(Ke3\gamma^j)}{\mathcal{B}(Ke3)} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(K^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu \gamma \mid E^j_\gamma,\ \theta^j_{e,\gamma})}{\mathcal{B}(K^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu (\gamma))}$$

	E_γ cut	$\theta_{e,\gamma}$ cut	$O(p^6)$ *ChPT* [EPJ C 50, 557]	ISTRA+	OKA
R_1 $(\times 10^2)$	$E_\gamma > 10$ *MeV*	$\theta_{e,\gamma} > 10^\circ$	1.804 ± 0.021	$1.81 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.07$	$1.990 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.021$
R_2 $(\times 10^2)$	$E_\gamma > 30$ *MeV*	$\theta_{e,\gamma} > 20^\circ$	0.640 ± 0.008	$0.63 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03$	$0.587 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.015$
R_3 $(\times 10^2)$	$E_\gamma > 10$ *MeV*	$0.6 < \cos\theta_{e,\gamma} < 0.9$	0.559 ± 0.006	$0.47 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03$	$0.532 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.012$

Most recent theoretical calculation [Khriplovich et al., PAN 74, 1214]: $R_2 = (0.56 \pm 0.02)\%$

$K^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu\gamma$ decay: T-asymmetry

T-odd observable ξ
(in the K^+ rest frame):

$$\xi = \frac{\vec{p_\gamma} \cdot (\vec{p_e} \times \vec{p_\pi})}{m_K^3} \; ; \; A_\xi = \frac{N_+ - N_-}{N_+ + N_-}$$

Experimental status:

$$A_\xi^{ISTRA+}(R_3) = (1.5 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-2}$$

No measurements for R_1 and R_2

[Muller et al., EPJ C 48, 427]
Non-zero A_ξ values due to NLO (1-loop) electromagnetic corrections



$$|A_\xi^{SM\ and\ beyond}| < 10^{-4}$$

The NA62 experiment at CERN

- Detector installation completed in 2016
- Physics runs in 2016, 2017 and 2018
- Main goal: $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ measurement; NA62 program: full K^+ physics and more
- Measurement of $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ from full 2016+2017+2018 data set recently published: [JHEP 06 (2021) 093]
- Data taking resumed in July 2021, approved up to CERN LS3



NA62: located at CERN in the *North Area*
$\to$ fixed-target experiment
$\to$ using 400 GeV/c SPS proton beam

NA62 beam



- SPS beam: 400 GeV/c proton on beryllium target
- Secondary hadron 75 GeV/c beam
- 70% pions, 24% protons, 6% **kaons**
- Nominal beam particle rate (at GTK3): 750 *MHz*
- Average beam particle rate during 2018 data-taking: 450 – 500 *MHz*

NA62 detector



- KTAG: Cherenkov threshold counter
- GTK: Si pixel beam tracker
- CHANTI: stations of plastic scintillator bars
- LAV: lead glass ring calorimeters
- STRAW: straw magnetic spectrometer
- RICH: Ring Imaging Cherenkov counter
- CHOD: planes of scintillator pads and slabs
- IRC: inner ring shashlik calorimeter
- LKr: electromagnetic calorimeter
- MUV1,2: hadron calorimeter
- MUV3: plane of scintillator pads for muon ID
- SAC: small angle shashlik calorimeter

Measurement of R_j: strategy

$$R_j = \frac{\mathcal{B}(Ke3\gamma^j)}{\mathcal{B}(Ke3)} = \frac{N^{obs}_{Ke3\gamma^j} - N^{bkg}_{Ke3\gamma^j}}{N^{obs}_{Ke3} - N^{bkg}_{Ke3}} \cdot \frac{A_{Ke3}}{A_{Ke3\gamma^j}} \cdot \frac{\epsilon^{trig}_{Ke3}}{\epsilon^{trig}_{Ke3\gamma^j}}$$

- Background estimation performed using both data and MC.
- Acceptances: evaluated by MC.
- Signal (Ke3γ) and normalization (Ke3) channels share most of the selection criteria (except for the radiative photon): first-order-cancellation of systematics effects.
- Trigger efficiencies: measured with data. Almost equal for signal and normalization (within per mill precision) since trigger conditions refer to the presence of the e^+ only.
- Only statistical uncertainty of $N^{obs}_{Ke3\gamma^j}$ and N^{obs}_{Ke3} is propagated as statistical uncertainty to the R_j measurement, all the rest is considered as systematic.
- Full 2017 and 2018 data sets have been analyzed $\rightarrow$ preliminary results presented.

$K^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu\gamma$ selection criteria

- K^+ track reconstructed in GTK, positively identified in KTAG, e^+ track reconstructed in STRAW, identified using associated signals in RICH and LKr
- $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ identified selecting two energy clusters in LKr
- Radiative γ identified selecting an in-time isolated energy cluster in LKr
- In-time extra activity in photon veto system (LKr, LAV, IRC, SAC) not allowed
- In-time signal in MUV3 not allowed
- Dedicated kinematic cuts to reject $K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0\pi^0$ and $K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$ backgrounds
- Kinematic selection using the two main observables:

$$m^2_{miss}(Ke3\gamma) = (P_K - P_e - P_{\pi^0} - P_\gamma)^2 = m^2(\nu)$$

$$m^2_{miss}(Ke3) = (P_K - P_e - P_{\pi^0})^2 = m^2(\nu\gamma)$$

Normalization (Ke3): selected events



Main background source of Ke3γ selection: *accidentals*



Accidental event:

$\rightarrow$ $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu$ decay
(or $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$ with π^+ mis-ID)

$\rightarrow$ In-time accidental signal in LKr: mimics the radiative photon

- Dedicated cut in signal selection using $m^2_{miss}(Ke3)$ observable
- Background in signal region estimated with data from the out-of-time side-bands

Signal (Ke3γ): selected events, R_1



Signal (Ke3γ): selected events, R_2



Signal (Ke3γ): selected events, R_3



Ke3γ analysis: statistics

Selection	N^{obs}	Acceptance [%]
$Ke3$ (norm)	$66.378 \cdot 10^6$	3.839 ± 0.002
$Ke3\gamma(R_1)$	$129.6 \cdot 10^3$	0.443 ± 0.001
$Ke3\gamma(R_2)$	$53.6 \cdot 10^3$	0.513 ± 0.002
$Ke3\gamma(R_3)$	$39.1 \cdot 10^3$	0.431 ± 0.002

- Factor 3 improvement in statistical uncertainty wrt previous measurements
- Acceptance uncertainties limited by MC sample statistics
- Background: $B/S < 1\%$, small contribution to the R_j error budget

Bkg source	R_1	R_2	R_3
Accidentals	$(4.9 \pm 0.2 \pm 1.3) \cdot 10^2$	$(2.3 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^2$	$(1.1 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.5) \cdot 10^2$
$K^+ \to \pi^0\pi^0 e^+\nu$	$(1.1 \pm 1.1) \cdot 10^2$	$(1.1 \pm 1.1) \cdot 10^2$	$(0.07 \pm 0.07) \cdot 10^2$
$K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0\pi^0$	< 20	< 20	< 20
$K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0\gamma$	< 2	< 2	< 2
Total	$(5.9 \pm 1.7) \cdot 10^2$	$(3.4 \pm 1.1) \cdot 10^2$	$(1.1 \pm 0.6) \cdot 10^2$
B/S	0.46%	0.64%	0.29%

NA62 preliminary R_j measurements

	$O(p^6)$ *ChPT*	ISTRA+	OKA	**NA62 preliminary**
R_1 $(\times 10^2)$	1.804 ± 0.021	$1.81 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.07$	$1.990 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.021$	$\mathbf{1.684 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.010}$
R_2 $(\times 10^2)$	0.640 ± 0.008	$0.63 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03$	$0.587 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.015$	$\mathbf{0.599 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.005}$
R_3 $(\times 10^2)$	0.559 ± 0.006	$0.47 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03$	$0.532 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.012$	$\mathbf{0.523 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.003}$

- R_j measurement relative precision: $\leq 1\%$
- State of the art improved by a factor between 2.0 and 3.6
- Relative discrepancy with theory of 6-7% in all three measurements
- NA62 result for R_2 is half way between the two latest theoretical predictions [Kubis et al., EPJ C 50, 557] and [Khriplovich et al., PAN 74, 1214]

Uncertainty source	$\delta R_1/R_1$	$\delta R_2/R_2$	$\delta R_3/R_3$
Statistical	0.3%	0.5%	0.6%
Acceptances from MC	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%
Background estimation	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
LKr response modeling	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%
Theoretical model	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%
Total systematic	0.6%	0.9%	0.6%
Total stat+syst	0.7%	1.0%	0.8%

NA62 preliminary A_ξ measurements

$$A_\xi = A_\xi^{Data} - (A_\xi^{MCreco} - A_\xi^{MCgene}) \simeq A_\xi^{Data} - A_\xi^{MCreco}$$

	R_1 selection	R_2 selection	R_3 selection
A_ξ^{Data} $(\times 10^2)$	0.2 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.4	-0.6 ± 0.5
A_ξ^{MCgene} $(\times 10^2)$	-0.01 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.02	-0.01 ± 0.02
A_ξ^{MCreco} $(\times 10^2)$	0.3 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.5
A_ξ $(\times 10^2)$	$-0.1 \pm 0.3_{stat} \pm 0.2_{MC}$	$-0.3 \pm 0.4_{stat} \pm 0.3_{MC}$	$-0.9 \pm 0.5_{stat} \pm 0.4_{MC}$

- R_3 T-asymmetry precision improved by a factor greater than 3:

$$A_\xi^{ISTRA+}(R_3) = (1.5 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-2}$$

- First measurements ever performed for R_1 and R_2 T-asymmetry







Conclusions

- New preliminary results from the NA62 experiment from the study of the $K^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu\gamma$ process using 2017 and 2018 data sets
- Measurements of Ke3γ branching fraction ratio (R_j) have been performed, showing 6-7% relative discrepancy with *ChPT* $O(p^6)$ calculations
- Experimental relative uncertainties of R_j measurements $\leq$ 1%, improved by a factor between 2.0 and 3.6
- T-asymmetry measurements have been performed: still compatible with zero, experimental sensitivity far from the theoretical expectations
- First T-asymmetry measurements for R_1 and R_2, improvement by a factor greater than 3 for R_3

SPARES



References

1 Kubis et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 50 (2007), pp. 557–571
2 Khriplovich et al., Phys. Atom. Nucl. 74 (2011), pp. 1214–1222
3 Braguta et al., Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002), p. 054038
4 Braguta et al., Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003), p. 094008
5 Muller et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 48 (2006), pp. 427–440
6 Akimenko et al. (ISTRA+ Collaboration), Phys. Atom. Nucl. 70 (2007), p. 702
7 Polyarush et al. (OKA Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 81.2 (2021), p. 161
8 Gatti, Eur. Phys. J. C 45 (2006), pp. 417–420

T-odd observable plots