The upgrade of the ATLAS experiment: physics potential and new detector features

Elliot Lipeles University of Pennsylvania





Sept 5th, 2021

LHC Plan





~80 collisions/x-ing

~200 collisions/x-ing

Outline



Phase-I : Summary of upgrade

Phase-2 (HL-LHC) :

- Physics Prospects
- Sub-system upgrades:
 - Tracker
 - Trigger+DAQ
 - Calorimeters + Muons
 - New timing detector

This talk focusses on the physics goals and detector performance, the following three talks will give info of the technologies and status



Phase-I

2x nominal LHC luminosity Main Challenge is trigger rate related Trigger menu dominated by e and μ lepton triggers

Phase-I Upgrade

Electron and photon triggers

New Liquid Argon (LAr) calorimeter trigger path with finer granularity





Phase-I LAr TDR

Phase-I Upgrade



Improving muon triggers requires new chambers

New end-cap muon chambers: New Small Wheel (NSW)



Two technologies: <u>Phase-I Muon TDR</u>

- sTGC: timing resolution for triggering
- Micromegas: high resolution (100 μ m) for offline momentum resolution





Phase-2

5-7.5x nominal LHC luminosity
20x data (=big reach)
Demanding 200 collisions per crossing (aka pile-up)
High particle fluence challenge for detector radiation tolerance

Physics Prospects

 Many sensitivity studies, but just scratches the surface of what will be done

Detector Upgrades





High precision Higgs Coupling



Strong indirect constraints on BSM Higgs decays (without reconstructing the decay!)

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-054



SM

Stat. Syst.

2.2 2.4 2.6

ATLAS Preliminary Syst. Total ⊢•--Stat. High precision Projection from Run 2 data \sqrt{s} = 14 TeV, 3000 fb⁻¹ Stat Syst Total comparing to HL-LHC ggF ± 0.024 ($\pm 0.008 \pm 0.022$) limits by VBF ± 0.042 ($\pm 0.020 \pm 0.036$) production $\pm 0.077 (\pm 0.041 \pm 0.065)$ WН process ZΗ $\pm 0.049 (\pm 0.034 \pm 0.035)$ \pm 0.053 (\pm 0.019 \pm 0.050) tŦH 0.6 0.8 1.6 2 1.2 1.8 1 Cross section norm. to SM value ATLAS **⊢**● Total Stat. Syst. \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV, 24.5 - 79.8 fb⁻¹ $m_H = 125.09 \text{ GeV}, |y_{\mu}| < 2.5$ Run 2 $p_{_{\rm SM}} = 76\%$ Total limits by $\pm 0.09 (\pm 0.07, +0.07) \pm 0.09 (\pm 0.07, -0.06)$ 1.04 ggF $1.21 \quad {}^{+0.24}_{-0.22} \ \left({}^{+0.18}_{-0.17} \ , \ {}^{+0.16}_{-0.13} \right)$ production VBF $^{+0.40}_{-0.38}$ $(^{+0.28}_{-0.27}$ $, ^{+0.29}_{-0.27}$)process WH 1.30 $^{+\ 0.31}_{-\ 0.29}\ (\ \pm\ 0.24,\ ^{+\ 0.19}_{-\ 0.17}\)$ 1.05 ZΗ 1.21 $^{+0.26}_{-0.24}$ (± 0.17 , $^{+0.20}_{-0.18}$) ttH+tH 0.6 2 0.8 1.8 .2 1.6 4 Cross section normalized to SM value

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-054

Run 2

Higgs self-coupling

Higgs self-coupling is an unmeasured parameter of SM

Fully predicted in SM $\lambda_{HHH} = \kappa_{HHHH} = \frac{m_H^2}{2v^2}$.

Directly probes shape of Higgs potential







10



$$V(\phi^{\dagger}\phi) = \mu^{2}\phi^{\dagger}\phi + \lambda(\phi^{\dagger}\phi)^{2}$$

$$\supset \lambda v^{2}H^{2} + \lambda vH^{3} + \frac{\lambda}{4}H^{4}$$

$$\stackrel{2}{\swarrow} Mass Self-coupling$$

term

Can be probed through pair production

... or indirectly in single Higgs couplings



Higgs self-coupling from Higgs Pair Production



ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-053

11

UNIVERSITY of PENNSYLVANIA

Broad Physics Program

Electroweak Probes

Many SM probes including vector boson scattering (VBS), quartic couplings, sin θ_w , W mass, etc.

E.g. In WWVBS, it is still unknown whether the discovered Higgs boson preserves unitarity of the longitudinal VV scattering amplitude at all energies,

6% measurement of the cross-section

1.8 σ significance for $W_L W_L \Rightarrow W_L W_L$ scattering at SM level

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-053

Expected Significance √s=14 TeV $pp \rightarrow W_{I}^{\pm}W_{I}^{\pm}jj$







UNIVERSITY of PENNSYLVANIA

Penn University of Pennsylvania

New particle (BSM) Reach

SUSY lives on, also a proxy for other resonant BSM searches Broad variety of signatures in BSM modes requires flexible detectors Large increase in reach for classic SUSY signatures



UNIVERSITY of PENNSYLVANIA



New particle (BSM) Reach

.. and for compressed spectra searches

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-031



 $\Delta m(\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0},\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})$ [GeV]

UNIVERSITY of PENNSYLVANIA

Intense environment More performance required

- Radiation Tolerance
 - Sensor, electronics and mechanical must survive to 4000 fb⁻¹
 - Inner pixel layers replaced at 2000 fb⁻¹
- High efficiency with low fake rate in 200 pile-up (~x10 Run 1)
- Higher trigger rate
- Higher η coverage
- Minimize material for track and calorimeter performance







High efficiency with low fake rate in 200 pile-up ($\sim x 10$ Run 1)

Coverage to $|\eta| > 4.0$



17







Tracking Performance



good z_0 resolution gives similar pile-up rejection at low η , better rejection in the forward region

b-jet tagging, better or similar to Run 2 + with ITK b-tagging in forward region

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-014

Elliot Lipeles







Physics rate increases from Run-2 by roughly ~3.75x, but...

- Would like to return Acceptance thresholds to ~Run-I level to expand acceptance and limit related systematics
- Pile-up makes discrimination harder



Approach

- Increase total rate limit from 100 kHz in Run-1/2/3 to I MHz
- Add even more discrimination compared to Phase-I upgrade
 - Full granularity calorimeter info with a zerosuppression threshold
 - Use of MDT chambers in L0 Muon system
 - "Global" trigger is a time-multiplexed system that gets full granularity calorimeter + reconstructed muon info into one very large FGPA

Trigger+DAQ





Elliot Lipeles

Calorimeters and Muons



Trigger upgrades require 1 MHz readout with 10µs L0 latency support and readout out of back to back bunches

Both the Liquid Argon and Tile Cal are responding to this using full 40 MHz readout with the event boundary defined in off-detector electronics

Muons are also reading out at 40 MHz plus adding new chamber to improve trigger efficiency



Forward Timing Detector



3

0.95

HS efficiency

3.5

true track m

High Granularity Timing Detector 10⁵ ס(z₀)[μm] ATLAS Simulation Single μ , ITk Layout, 50 x 50 μ m², analogue clustering Based on "Low-Gain Avalanche Detector" (LGAD) 10⁴ 🗕 p_ = 1 GeV sensors 📥 p_ = 10 GeV Covers 2.4< $|\eta|$ <4.0 where tracking resolution is worse 10° (order Imm, while we expect I.8 collisions/mm on average) 10 Located in front of the calorimeters in the forward 2.5 region 0 1.5 2 0.5 PU rejection 160 ATLAS Simulation VBF H \rightarrow invisible, $\langle \mu \rangle$ =200 ITk+HGTD (self-tagging only) 140 [−]30 GeV < p^{jet} < 50 GeV ITk+HGTD (t only) 2.4 < |ŋ_| < 4.0 ITk+HGTD 120 Timing scenario "Initial" 100 80 60 40 20 ratio 1.5 Timing resolution 30 ps (start of run) to 50 ps (end of run) 0.8 0.85 0.9 Improves forward pile-up reject by ~40% ATLAS-TDR-031

Conclusion



ATLAS is upgrading the detector to maximize physics output from Run 3 and HL-LHC

- Phase-I for Run 3 is in installation and commissioning focused on triggers
- Phase-2 for HL-LHC is a broad upgrade maximizing tracking performance, trigger acceptance, and robust pile-up rejection
- The following three talks will cover more on the technologies that are designed to achieve these goals and their status.



Backup

Elliot Lipeles

Phase-I backup: rates









Radiation Tolerance



Sensor, electronics and mechanical must survive to 4000 ab⁻¹ ~ I0x nominal LHC

Pixels need to survive from 53 to 1300 MRad and 1 to IIxI0¹⁵ neutrons/cm² depending on layer

Inner pixels will need to be replaced at 2000 ab⁻¹

Readout rates are also high due to the shear number of charged particles especially for inner pixels





Pen UNIVERSITY of PENN



Elliot Lipeles

Forward Timing Detector



