



### Searches for Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME) and Chiral Magnetic Wave (CMW) in Xe-Xe and Pb-Pb collisions with ALICE

## Andrea Danu (for the ALICE Collaboration) ~ Institute of Space Science - RO ~

#### OUTLINE

- Motivation
- ALICE Detector
- Results
- Summary









- Investigate parity violation (P) in the strong interaction (fundamental property)
  - Allowed by theory but never observed (strong-CP problem)

- D. Kharzeev et al, PPNP 88, 1 (2016)
- D. Kharzeev et al., NPA 797, 67 (2007)
- D. Kharzeev et al., NPA803 227 (2008)
- K. Fukushima et al., PRD 78, 074033 (2008)
- S. Voloshin, PRC 70, 057901 (2004)







- Investigate parity violation (P) in the strong interaction (fundamental property)
  - Allowed by theory but never observed (strong-CP problem)
- Heavy-ion collisions: strong magnetic field (B~10<sup>15</sup> T)

D. Kharzeev et al, PPNP 88, 1 (2016)
D. Kharzeev et al., NPA 797, 67 (2007)
D. Kharzeev et al., NPA803 227 (2008)
K. Fukushima et al., PRD 78, 074033 (2008)
S. Voloshin, PRC 70, 057901 (2004)
Gui-Rong Liang et al., arxiv 2004.04440









- Investigate parity violation (P) in the strong interaction (fundamental property)
  - Allowed by theory but never observed (strong-CP problem)
- Heavy-ion collisions: strong magnetic field (B~10<sup>15</sup> T)
- Theory: QCD domains with P and CP symmetries locally broken

D. Kharzeev et al, PPNP 88, 1 (2016)
D. Kharzeev et al., NPA 797, 67 (2007)
D. Kharzeev et al., NPA803 227 (2008)
K. Fukushima et al., PRD 78, 074033 (2008)
S. Voloshin, PRC 70, 057901 (2004)
Gui-Rong Liang et al., arxiv 2004.04440









- Investigate parity violation (P) in the strong interaction (fundamental property)
  - Allowed by theory but never observed (strong-CP problem)
- Heavy-ion collisions: strong magnetic field (B~10<sup>15</sup> T)
- Theory: QCD domains with P and CP symmetries locally broken

- D. Kharzeev et al, PPNP 88, 1 (2016)
  D. Kharzeev et al., NPA 797, 67 (2007)
  D. Kharzeev et al., NPA803 227 (2008)
  K. Fukushima et al., PRD 78, 074033 (2008)
  S. Voloshin, PRC 70, 057901 (2004)
  Gui-Rong Liang et al., arxiv 2004.04440
- Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME): interaction of quarks with the QCD domains and B
  - Experimental consequence: charge separation perpendicular to the reaction plane
  - Interpretation of the experimental results is complicated by background contributions









- Investigate parity violation (P) in the strong interaction (fundamental property)
  - Allowed by theory but never observed (strong-CP problem)
- Heavy-ion collisions: strong magnetic field (B~10<sup>15</sup> T)
- Theory: QCD domains with P and CP symmetries locally broken

- D. Kharzeev et al, PPNP 88, 1 (2016)
  D. Kharzeev et al., NPA 797, 67 (2007)
  D. Kharzeev et al., NPA803 227 (2008)
  K. Fukushima et al., PRD 78, 074033 (2008)
  S. Voloshin, PRC 70, 057901 (2004)
  Gui-Rong Liang et al., arxiv 2004.04440
- Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME): interaction of quarks with the QCD domains and B
  - Experimental consequence: charge separation perpendicular to the reaction plane
  - Interpretation of the experimental results is complicated by background contributions
- Chiral Magnetic Wave (CMW): combination of CME and Chiral Separation Effect (CSE)
  - CSE refers to the separation of chiral charge along the axis of the magnetic field



#### Anisotropic flow







Pressure gradients (larger in the x direction) push bulk "out"  $\rightarrow$  "flow"

More, faster particles seen in the x-direction



#### Anisotropic flow





Pressure gradients (larger in the x direction) push bulk "out"  $\rightarrow$  "flow"

More, faster particles seen in the x-direction

$$E_{\frac{d^{3}N}{d^{3}p}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d^{2}N}{p_{T}dp_{T}dy} (1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2v_{n} \cos(n(\varphi - \Psi_{n})))$$

- Anisotropic flow: initial spatial anisotropy → final momentum anisotropy via collective interactions
  - $v_n$  quantify the event anisotropy



#### Anisotropic flow





- Anisotropic flow: initial spatial anisotropy  $\rightarrow$  final momentum anisotropy via collective interactions
  - $v_n$  quantify the event anisotropy
- Characterize key QGP properties like viscosity
  - Nearly perfect fluid:  $1/4\pi < \eta/s < 3/4\pi$

0.05

10

20

30

40

50

70

60 Centrality (%)



### Observables for CME and CMW



charge separation



CME

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}\Delta\varphi_{\alpha}} \sim 1 + 2v_{1,\alpha}\cos(\Delta\varphi_{\alpha}) + 2a_{1,\alpha}\sin(\Delta\varphi_{\alpha}) + 2v_{2,\alpha}\cos(2\Delta\varphi_{\alpha}) + \dots,$ 

- 2-particle correlator

 $\delta_{ab} = \langle \cos(\varphi_a - \varphi_b) \rangle \approx \langle a_{1,a} a_{1,b} \rangle + B_{\text{in-plane}} + B_{\text{out-plane}}$ 

- 3-particle correlator

$$\gamma_{ab} = \langle \cos(\varphi_a + \varphi_b - 2\Psi_2) \rangle \approx - \langle a_{1,a} a_{1,b} \rangle + B_{\text{in-plane}} - B_{\text{out-plane}}$$

-  $B_{in}$  and  $B_{out}$  denote background contributions projected onto  $\Psi_2$  and perpendicular to it



### Observables for CME and CMW



charge separation





Y. Burnier et al., PRL 107, 052303 (2011)

#### September 2021

#### • CME

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}\Delta\varphi_{\alpha}} \sim 1 + 2v_{1,\alpha}\cos(\Delta\varphi_{\alpha}) + 2a_{1,\alpha}\sin(\Delta\varphi_{\alpha}) + 2v_{2,\alpha}\cos(2\Delta\varphi_{\alpha}) + \dots,$ 

- 2-particle correlator

 $\delta_{ab} = \langle \cos(\varphi_a - \varphi_b) \rangle \approx \langle a_{1,a} a_{1,b} \rangle + B_{\text{in-plane}} + B_{\text{out-plane}}$ 

- 3-particle correlator

 $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{ab} = \langle \cos(\varphi_a + \varphi_b - 2\Psi_2) \rangle \approx - \langle a_{1,a}a_{1,b} \rangle + B_{\text{in-plane}} - B_{\text{out-plane}}$ 

-  $B_{in}$  and  $B_{out}$  denote background contributions projected onto  $\Psi_2$  and perpendicular to it

#### • CMW

- Anisotropic flow difference vs charge asymmetry

$$\Delta v_{n} = v_{n}^{+} - v_{n}^{-} = r_{\Delta v_{n}}^{Norm} A_{\pm} \qquad A_{\pm} = \frac{N_{+} - N_{-}}{N_{+} + N}$$

• Normalized slope  $r_{\Delta v_n}^{Norm}$ 

Andrea Danu – PANIC 2021







- Inner Tracking System (ITS)
  - Tracking, triggering, vertexing







- Inner Tracking System (ITS)
  - Tracking, triggering, vertexing
- Time Projection Chamber (TPC)
  - Tracking, vertexing, particle identification based on specific energy loss



#### September 2021







- Inner Tracking System (ITS)
  - Tracking, triggering, vertexing
- Time Projection Chamber (TPC)
  - Tracking, vertexing, particle identification based on specific energy loss
- Time-of-Flight (TOF)
  - Particle identification based on the flight time



#### September 2021

Andrea Danu – PANIC 2021







- Inner Tracking System (ITS)
  - Tracking, triggering, vertexing
- Time Projection Chamber (TPC)
  - Tracking, vertexing, particle identification based on specific energy loss
- Time-of-Flight (TOF)
  - $-\,$  Particle identification based on the flight time
- V0
  - Triggering, centrality and  $\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$  determination



#### September 2021

Andrea Danu – PANIC 2021





#### Pb-Pb, 2015 run, Vs<sub>NN</sub>=5.02 TeV negative particles TPC signal (arb. units), 000 000 006 006 006 006 ALICE performance 20.04.2018 10<sup>6</sup> 10<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>4</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> 400 300 10<sup>2</sup> 200 10 100 10 2×10 56 $\frac{p}{7}$ (GeV/c) ALT-PERF-15202





- Inner Tracking System (ITS)
  - Tracking, triggering, vertexing
- Time Projection Chamber (TPC)
  - Tracking, vertexing, particle identification based on specific energy loss
- Time-of-Flight (TOF)
  - Particle identification based on the flight time
- V0
  - Triggering, centrality and  $\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$  determination
    - Track selection

       |η| < 0.8</li>
       0.2 < p<sub>T</sub> < 5 GeV/c</li>
    - Pb-Pb at √s<sub>NN</sub> = 5.02 TeV
       ~60M events
    - Xe-Xe at  $\sqrt{s_{_{\rm NN}}}$  = 5.44 TeV - ~1M events

#### September 2021





#### CME in Xe-Xe and Pb-Pb collisions



# 2- and 3-particle correlators in Xe-Xe collisions: centrality dependence





•  $\gamma_{ab}$ : stronger correlation for opposite charge pairs compared to same charge  $\rightarrow$  charge separation



- $y_{ab}$ : stronger correlation for opposite charge pairs compared to same charge  $\rightarrow$  charge separation
- $\gamma_{ab}$ (opp-same): indication of charge separation







- $y_{ab}$ : stronger correlation for opposite charge pairs compared to same charge  $\rightarrow$  charge
- $\gamma_{ab}$ (opp-same): indication of charge separation
- $\delta_{ab}$ : stronger correlation for opposite charge pairs compared to same charge  $\rightarrow$ background dominates

Andrea Danu – PANIC 2021



### 3-particle correlator in Xe-Xe collisions: differential analysis





•  $\gamma_{ab}(|\eta_a-\eta_b|)$ : strong dependence for same charge pairs and weak for opposite charge pairs



- $\gamma_{ab}(|\eta_a-\eta_b|)$ : strong dependence for same charge pairs and weak for opposite charge pairs
- $\gamma_{ab}(|p_{T,a}-p_{T,b}|)$ : no dependence for same charge and strong dependence for opposite charge pairs



#### 3-particle correlator in Xe-Xe collisions: differential analysis







- $y_{ab}(|\eta_a-\eta_b|)$ : strong dependence for same charge pairs and weak for opposite charge pairs
- $y_{ab}(|p_{T,a}-p_{T,b}|)$ : no dependence for same charge and strong dependence for opposite charge pairs
- $\gamma_{ab}((p_{T,a}+p_{T,b})/2)$ : strong correlation for same charge pairs and weak for opposite charge pairs

#### Andrea Danu – PANIC 2021



### 3-particle correlator in Xe-Xe collisions: model comparison





- Blast-Wave + Local Charge Conservation (LCC)
  - Tune the parameters in each centrality class to reproduce  $v_2$  and  $p_T$  spectra of  $\pi$ , K, p
  - Describes fairly well the measured data points
    - Background dominates measurements
    - Not observed in Pb-Pb collisions



### 3-particle correlator in Xe-Xe collisions: model comparison





S. Shi et al., Annals Phys. 394, 50 (2018) Y. Jiang et al., CPC 42 (2018) 011001

- Blast-Wave + Local Charge Conservation (LCC)
  - Tune the parameters in each centrality class to reproduce  $v_2$  and  $p_T$  spectra of  $\pi$ , K, p
  - Describes fairly well the measured data points
    - Background dominates measurements
    - Not observed in Pb-Pb collisions
- Anomalous Viscous Fluid Dynamics (AVFD)
  - EbyE IC + E/M fields (field lifetime as input)
  - Tune the parameters in each centrality class to reproduce  $v_2$  and multiplicity (arXiv: 2106.03537)
  - Good agreement with data points
    - Signal consistent with zero



#### 3-particle correlator: Xe-Xe vs Pb-Pb measurements





•  $\gamma_{ab}$  in Xe-Xe collisions has similar values as in Pb-Pb collisions when divided by  $v_2$ , except for peripheral collisions



#### 3-particle correlator: Xe-Xe vs Pb-Pb measurements





- $\gamma_{ab}$  in Xe-Xe collisions has similar values as in Pb-Pb collisions when divided by  $v_2$ , except for peripheral collisions
- *γ*<sub>ab</sub> (opp-same) in Xe-Xe collisions has similar values as in Pb-Pb collisions within uncertainties → background dominates





#### CMW in Pb-Pb collisions









h±

 $\pi^{\pm}$ 

# Normalized slope for charged hadrons and pions: centrality dependence





Comparison with CMS and STAR results



Good agreement with CMS and STAR results

h±

π<sup>±</sup>

#### Andrea Danu – PANIC 2021



#### Summary



- First measurement of CME in Xe-Xe collisions
  - $y_{ab}$  consistent with charge separation
  - $y_{ab}$  (opp-same) similar values as in Pb-Pb collisions
    - · Large background contribution
    - Reproduced by background model (BW+LCC) and AVFD with signal values consistent with 0
- Measurements of normalized  $\Delta v_2$  and  $\Delta v_3$  slope of charged hadrons and pions in Pb-Pb collisions
  - $r_{\text{Norm}}_{\Delta v2}$  is compatible with  $r_{\text{Norm}}_{\Delta v3}$
  - Good agreement with CMS and STAR results





## Backup



#### Glauber +B configurations



- Perform Glauber simulations tuned to ALICE data
  - MC Glauber v3.2 from TGlauberMC
    - Pb-Pb: σ = 67.6 mb
    - Xe-Xe:  $\sigma$  = 68.4 mb,  $\beta_2$  = 0.18,  $\beta_4$  = 0
    - 1M events for each configuration
  - Centrality determination using simulated V0M multiplicity → NBD distributions (f\*Npart + (1-f)\*Ncoll)
    - NBD and f parameters from ALICE public notes
- B determination from proton spectators (arxiv:0711.0950)

$$eoldsymbol{B}^{\pm}_{s}( au,\eta,oldsymbol{x}_{\perp}) = \pm Zlpha_{EM}\sinh(Y_{0}\mp\eta)\int\mathrm{d}^{2}oldsymbol{x}_{\perp}'
ho_{\pm}(oldsymbol{x}_{\perp}')[1- heta_{\mp}(oldsymbol{x}_{\perp}')] \ imesrac{(oldsymbol{x}_{\perp}'-oldsymbol{x}_{\perp}) imesoldsymbol{e}_{z}}{[(oldsymbol{x}_{\perp}'-oldsymbol{x}_{\perp})^{2}+ au^{2}\sinh(Y_{0}\mp\eta)^{2}]^{3/2}},$$

- $\tau = 0.1 \text{ fm}$
- Y<sub>0</sub> = 8.672 (Xe-Xe) and 8.592 (Pb-Pb)
- x = y = 0 fm

Expected smaller CME contribution in Xe-Xe than in Pb-Pb collisions

ALI-SIMUL-327188



Andrea Danu – PANIC 2021



### 3-particle correlator in Xe-Xe collisions: model comparison





S. Shi et al., Annals Phys. 394, 50 (2018) Y. Jiang et al., CPC 42 (2018) 011001

- Blast-Wave + Local Charge Conservation (LCC)
  - Tune the parameters in each centrality class to reproduce  $v_2$  and  $p_{\rm T}$  spectra of  $\pi,\,K,\,p$
  - Each source generates *M* particles of opposite charge
    - M depends on centrality
    - Randomly oriented with the same boost
  - · Describes fairly well the measured data points
    - Background dominates measurements
    - Not observed in Pb-Pb collisions
- Anomalous Viscous Fluid Dynamics(AVFD)
  - EbyE IC + E/M fields (field lifetime as input)
    - Anomalous transport  $\rightarrow$  CME signal
    - VISH2+1  $\rightarrow$  hydro evolution
    - Hadronisation + LCC
    - UrQMD
  - Tune the parameters in each centrality class to reproduce v<sub>2</sub> and multiplicity (https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.03537)
  - Good agreement with data points
    - Signal consistent with zero



#### Model calculation: BW+LCC



