# Gauge-invariant TMD factorization for Drell-Yan hadronic tensor at small x

I. Balitsky

JLAB & ODU

PANIC 21 8 Sept 2021

#### DY hadronic tensor for electromagnetic current

DY cross section is given by the product of leptonic tensor and hadronic tensor. The hadronic tensor  $W_{\mu\nu}$  is defined as

$$W_{\mu
u}(p_A, p_B, q) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4x \ e^{-iqx} \langle p_A, p_B | J_\mu(x) J_\nu(0) | p_A, p_B \rangle$$



 $p_A, p_B$  = hadron momenta, q = the momentum of DY pair, and  $J_{\mu}$  is the electromagnetic or Z-boson current.

For unpolarized hadrons, the hadronic tensor  $W_{\mu\nu}$  for EM current is parametrized by 4 functions, for example in Collins-Soper frame

$$W_{\mu\nu} = -\left(g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{q^2}\right)\left(W_T + W_{\Delta\Delta}\right) - 2X_{\mu}X_{\nu}W_{\Delta\Delta} + Z_{\mu}Z_{\nu}\left(W_L - W_T - W_{\Delta\Delta}\right) - \left(X_{\mu}Z_{\nu} + X_{\nu}Z_{\mu}\right)W_{\Delta}$$

where X, Z are unit vectors orthogonal to q and to each other

The hadronic tensor in the Sudakov region  $q^2 \equiv Q^2 \gg q_{\perp}^2$  can be studied by TMD factorization. For example, functions  $W_T$  and  $W_{\Delta\Delta}$  can be represented as

$$W_{i} = \sum_{\text{flavors}} e_{f}^{2} \int d^{2}k_{\perp} \mathcal{D}_{f/A}^{(i)}(x_{A}, k_{\perp}) \mathcal{D}_{f/B}^{(i)}(x_{B}, q_{\perp} - k_{\perp}) C_{i}(q, k_{\perp})$$
  
+ power corrections + Y - terms (1)

- $\mathcal{D}_{f/A}(x_A, k_\perp)$  is the TMD density of a parton *f* in hadron *A* with fraction of momentum  $x_A$  and transverse momentum  $k_\perp$ ,
- $\mathcal{D}_{f/B}(x, q_{\perp} k_{\perp})$  is a similar quantity for hadron *B*,
- $C_i(q,k)$  are determined by the cross section  $\sigma(ff \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$  of production of DY pair of invariant mass  $q^2$  in the scattering of two partons.

4/18

There is, however, a problem with Eq. (1) for the functions  $W_L$  and  $W_\Delta$ .

 $W_T$  and  $W_{\Delta\Delta}$  are determined by leading-twist quark TMDs, but  $W_{\Delta}$  and  $W_L$  start from terms  $\sim \frac{q_{\perp}}{Q}$  and  $\sim \frac{q_{\perp}^2}{Q^2}$  determined by quark-quark-gluon TMDs.

The power corrections  $\sim \frac{q_{\perp}}{Q}$  were found more than two decades ago but there was no calculation of power corrections  $\sim \frac{q_{\perp}^2}{Q^2}$  until recently. Also, the leading-twist contribution is not EM gauge invariant. Sudakov variables:

$$p = \alpha p_1 + \beta p_2 + p_\perp, \qquad p_1 \simeq p_A, \ p_2 \simeq p_B, \ p_1^2 = p_2^2 = 0$$



The result of the integration over "central" fields in the background of projectile and target fields is a series of TMD operators made from projectile (or target) fields multiplied by powers of  $\frac{1}{O^2} \Rightarrow$  power corrections

#### Leading-N<sub>c</sub> power corrections

Power corrections are ~ leading twist 
$$\times \left(\frac{q_{\perp}}{Q} \text{ or } \frac{q_{\perp}^2}{Q^2}\right) \times \left(1 + \frac{1}{N_c} + \frac{1}{N_c^2}\right).$$

(Pleasant) surprise: most of the terms not suppressed by  $\frac{1}{N_c}$  are determined by the leading-twist TMDs due to QCD equations of motion

Leading twist:

Power correction:

$$= -k_i f_1(\alpha_q, k_\perp) + \alpha_q k_i [f_\perp(\alpha_q, k_\perp) + g^\perp(\alpha_q, k_\perp)],$$

(Mulders & Tangerman, 1996)

At small  $\alpha_q \equiv x_A$  one can drop the second term

Result:

$$W_{\mu
u}(q) = W^1_{\mu
u}(q) + W^2_{\mu
u}(q)$$

The first, gauge-invariant, part is given by

$$\begin{split} W^{1}_{\mu\nu}(q) &= W^{1F}_{\mu\nu}(q) + W^{1H}_{\mu\nu}(q), \\ W^{1F}_{\mu\nu}(q) &= \sum_{f} e_{f}^{2} W^{fF}_{\mu\nu}(q), \quad W^{fF}_{\mu\nu}(q) &= \frac{1}{N_{c}} \int d^{2}k_{\perp} F^{f}(q,k_{\perp}) \mathcal{W}^{F}_{\mu\nu}(q,k_{\perp}), \\ W^{1H}_{\mu\nu}(q) &= \sum_{f} e_{f}^{2} W^{fH}_{\mu\nu}(q), \quad W^{fH}_{\mu\nu}(q) &= \frac{1}{N_{c}} \int d^{2}k_{\perp} H^{f}(q,k_{\perp}) \mathcal{W}^{H}_{\mu\nu}(q,k_{\perp}) \end{split}$$

where  $F^{f}$  and  $H^{f}$  are ( $\alpha_{q} \equiv x_{A}, \beta_{q} \equiv x_{B}$ )

$$\begin{split} F^{f}(q,k_{\perp}) &= f_{1}^{f}(\alpha_{q},k_{\perp})\bar{f}_{1}^{f}(\beta_{q},(q-k)_{\perp}) + f_{1}^{f}\leftrightarrow\bar{f}_{1}^{f} \\ H^{f}(q,k_{\perp}) &= h_{1f}^{\perp}(\alpha_{q},k_{\perp})\bar{h}_{1f}^{\perp}(\beta_{q},(q-k)_{\perp}) + h_{1f}^{\perp}\leftrightarrow\bar{h}_{1f}^{\perp} \end{split}$$

Gauge-invariant structures

 $q^{\mu}\mathcal{W}^F_{\mu
u}=q^{\mu}\mathcal{W}^H_{\mu
u}=0$ 

$$\begin{split} m^{2}\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^{H}(q,k_{\perp}) \\ &= -k_{\mu}^{\perp}(q-k)_{\nu}^{\perp} - k_{\nu}^{\perp}(q-k)_{\mu}^{\perp} - g_{\mu\nu}^{\perp}(k,q-k)_{\perp} + 2\frac{\tilde{q}_{\mu}\tilde{q}_{\nu} - q_{\mu}^{\parallel}q_{\nu}^{\parallel}}{Q_{\parallel}^{4}}k_{\perp}^{2}(q-k)_{\perp}^{2} \\ &- \left(\frac{q_{\mu}^{\parallel}}{Q_{\parallel}^{2}}[k_{\perp}^{2}(q-k)_{\nu}^{\perp} + k_{\nu}^{\perp}(q-k)_{\perp}^{2}] + \frac{\tilde{q}_{\mu}}{Q_{\parallel}^{2}}[k_{\perp}^{2}(q-k)_{\nu}^{\perp} - k_{\nu}^{\perp}(q-k)_{\perp}^{2}] + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu\right) \\ &- \frac{\tilde{q}_{\mu}\tilde{q}_{\nu} + q_{\mu}^{\parallel}q_{\nu}^{\parallel}}{Q_{\parallel}^{4}}[q_{\perp}^{2} - 2(k,q-k)_{\perp}](k,q-k)_{\perp} - \frac{q_{\mu}^{\parallel}\tilde{q}_{\nu} + \tilde{q}_{\mu}q_{\nu}^{\parallel}}{Q_{\parallel}^{4}}(2k-q,q)_{\perp}(k,q-k)_{\perp} \end{split}$$

## Logarithmic estimates of angular coefficients

Take s = 8 TeV, Q = 90 GeV and  $q_{\perp} = 20$  GeV where  $x_A, x_B \sim 0.1$  and power corrections are small but sizable.

The differential cross section of DY process is parametrized as

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d^4q}\right)^{-1}\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega d^4q} = \frac{3}{4\pi(\lambda+3)}\left(1+\lambda\cos^2\theta+\mu\sin2\theta\cos\phi+\frac{\nu}{2}\sin^2\theta\cos2\phi\right)$$

Logarithmic estimates of angular coefficients

$$1 - \lambda = 2 \frac{W_L}{W_T + W_L} \simeq 2 \frac{1 + 2 \frac{\ln Q^2 / q_\perp^2}{\ln q_\perp^2 / m^2}}{\frac{Q^2}{q_\perp^2} - \frac{1}{2} + 2 \frac{\ln Q^2 / q_\perp^2}{\ln q_\perp^2 / m^2}} \simeq 0.19$$
$$\nu = \frac{2W_{\Delta\Delta}}{W_T + W_L} \simeq \frac{1}{\frac{Q^2}{q_\perp^2} - \frac{1}{2} + 2 \frac{\ln Q^2 / q_\perp^2}{\ln q_\perp^2 / m^2}} \simeq 0.05$$
$$\mu = \frac{W_{\Delta}}{W_T + W_L}, = 0 \qquad \text{if we use factorization models for TMDs.}$$

a . .

Approximately the same  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  values as in analysis of LHC data by Lambertsen and Vogelsang

I. Balitsky (JLAB & ODU)

## **Z-boson production at LHC**

The relevant terms of the Lagrangian for quark fields  $\psi^f$  are

$$\mathcal{L}_Z = e \int d^4x \ \mathcal{J}_\mu Z^\mu(x), \qquad \mathcal{J}_\mu = c_e \overline{e} (a_e - \gamma_5) e - \sum_{ ext{flavors}} c_f \overline{\psi}^f \gamma_\mu (a_f - \gamma_5) \psi^f$$

where

$$c_{u,c} = \frac{1}{4c_W s_W}, \quad a_{u,c} = 1 - \frac{8}{3} s_W^2, \quad c_{d,s} = -\frac{1}{4c_W s_W}, \quad a_{d,s} = 1 - \frac{4}{3} s_W^2,$$
  
$$c_e = \frac{1}{4c_W s_W}, \quad a_e = 1 - 4s_W^2, \qquad c_W \equiv \cos \theta_W, \quad s_W \equiv \sin \theta_W.$$

$$d\sigma = \frac{e^4}{16\pi^2 s N_c} \frac{dQ^2}{Q^2} dY d^2 q_{\perp} d\Omega_l \, \mathbb{W}(q,l,l')$$

l, l' - lepton momenta

#### Angular coefficients of Z-boson production

In CMS and ATLAS experiments s = 8 TeV, Q = 80 - 100 GeV and  $Q_{\perp}$  varies from 0 to 120 GeV.

Our analysis is valid at  $Q_{\perp} = 10 - 30$  GeV and  $Y \simeq 0$  ( $x_A \sim x_B \sim 0.1$ ) so that power corrections are small but sizable.

Angular distribution of DY leptons in the Collins-Soper frame ( $c_{\phi} \equiv \cos \phi$ ,  $s_{\phi} \equiv \sin \phi$  etc.)

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2 dy d\Omega_l} = \frac{3}{16\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2 dy} \Big[ (1+c_{\theta}^2) + \frac{A_0}{2} (1-3c_{\theta}^2) + A_1 s_{2\theta} c_{\phi} + \frac{A_2}{2} s_{\theta}^2 c_{2\phi} + A_3 s_{\theta} c_{\phi} + A_4 c_{\theta} + A_5 s_{\theta}^2 s_{2\phi} + A_6 s_{2\theta} s_{\phi} + A_7 s_{\theta} s_{\phi} \Big]$$

# Result with $\frac{1}{Q^2}$ , large- $N_c$ and " $f_1$ " accuracy

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{W}(q,l,l') &= c_e^2 c_f^2 \frac{Q^4}{|m_Z^2 - Q^2|^2 + \Gamma_Z^2 m_Z^2} \\ \times &\sum_f \left\{ (a_e^2 + 1)(a_f^2 + 1) \Big( \big[ \mathcal{W}^{\text{Ff}} - \frac{Q_\perp^2}{2Q^2} (\mathcal{W}^{\text{Ff}} - \mathcal{W}_L^{\text{Ff}}) \big] (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \\ &+ \frac{Q_\perp^2}{2Q^2} \mathcal{W}_L^{\text{Ff}} (1 - 3\cos^2 \theta) + \frac{Q_\perp}{Q} \mathcal{W}_1^{\text{Ff}} \sin 2\theta \cos \phi + \frac{Q_\perp^2}{2Q^2} \mathcal{W}^{\text{Ff}} \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \big] \Big) \\ &+ 8a_e a_f \Big[ \frac{Q_\perp}{Q} \mathcal{W}_3^{\text{Ff}} \sin \theta \cos \phi + \mathcal{W}_4^{\text{Ff}} \cos \theta \Big] \Big\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{F}f}(q) &= \int d^2 k_{\perp} F^f(q, k_{\perp}), \qquad \mathcal{W}_L^{\mathrm{F}f}(q) = \int dk_{\perp} \frac{(q-2k)_{\perp}^2}{q_{\perp}^2} F^f(q, k_{\perp}) \\ \mathcal{W}_1^{\mathrm{F}f}(q) &= \int d^2 k_{\perp} \frac{(q, q-2k)_{\perp}}{q_{\perp}^2} F^f(q, k_{\perp}) \\ \mathcal{W}_3^{\mathrm{F}f}(q) &= \int d^2 k_{\perp} \frac{(q, q-2k)_{\perp}}{q_{\perp}^2} \mathcal{F}^f(q, k_{\perp}), \qquad \mathcal{W}_4^{\mathrm{F}f}(q) = \int d^2 k_{\perp} \mathcal{F}^f(q, k_{\perp}), \\ \mathcal{F}^f(q, k_{\perp}) &= f_1^f(\alpha_q, k_{\perp}) \overline{f}_1^f(\beta_q, (q-k)_{\perp}) - f_1^f \leftrightarrow \overline{f}_1^f \end{split}$$

I. Balitsky (JLAB & ODU)

#### **Comparison with LHC results**

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{W} &\sim \sum_{f} \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{Ff}} \Big\{ (a_{e}^{2}+1)(a_{f}^{2}+1) \Big( \Big[ 1 - \frac{Q_{\perp}^{2}}{2m_{Z}^{2}} + \frac{Q_{\perp}^{2}}{2m_{Z}^{2}} \frac{\mathcal{W}_{L}^{\mathrm{Ff}}}{\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{Ff}}} \Big] (1 + \cos^{2}\theta) \\ &+ \frac{Q_{\perp}^{2}}{2m_{Z}^{2}} \frac{\mathcal{W}_{L}^{\mathrm{Ff}}}{\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{Ff}}} (1 - 3\cos^{2}\theta) + \frac{Q_{\perp}}{m_{Z}} \frac{\mathcal{W}_{L}^{\mathrm{Ff}}}{\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{Ff}}} \sin 2\theta \cos\phi + \frac{Q_{\perp}^{2}}{2m_{Z}^{2}} \sin^{2}\theta \cos 2\phi \Big] \Big) \\ &+ 8a_{e}a_{f} \Big[ \frac{Q_{\perp}}{m_{Z}} \frac{\mathcal{W}_{L}^{\mathrm{Ff}}}{\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{Ff}}} \sin \theta \cos\phi + \frac{\mathcal{W}_{L}^{\mathrm{Ff}}}{\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{Ff}}} \cos\theta \Big] \Big\} \end{split}$$

We can easily estimate  $A_0$  and  $A_2$  which depend on  $\frac{W_L^{\text{FT}}}{W^{\text{FT}}}$ .

Logarithmic estimate of  $\frac{w_L^{\rm Ff}}{w^{\rm Ff}}$ : if  $k_\perp^2 \gg m_N^2$  we can approximate

$$f_1(x,k_{\perp}^2) \simeq \frac{f(x)}{k_{\perp}^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad F(q,k_{\perp}) \simeq \frac{f(\alpha_q)\bar{f}(\beta_q) + f \leftrightarrow \bar{f}}{k_{\perp}^2(q-k)_{\perp}^2}$$

Performing integration over  $k_{\perp}$  in logarithmical approximation, one obtains

$$rac{\mathcal{W}_L^{
m Ff}}{\mathcal{W}^{
m Ff}} \simeq 1 + 2 rac{\ln m_z^2/Q_\perp^2}{\ln Q_\perp^2/m^2}$$

## **Comparison of** *A*<sup>0</sup> with LHC results

Logarithmic estimate of A<sub>0</sub>

$$\frac{\mathcal{W}_{L}^{\rm Ff}}{\mathcal{W}^{\rm Ff}} \simeq 1 + 2 \frac{\ln m_{z}^{2}/Q_{\perp}^{2}}{\ln Q_{\perp}^{2}/m^{2}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad A_{0} = \frac{Q_{\perp}^{2}}{m_{z}^{2}} \frac{1 + 2 \frac{\ln m_{z}^{2}/Q_{\perp}^{2}}{\ln Q_{\perp}^{2}/m^{2}}}{1 + \frac{Q_{\perp}^{2}}{m_{z}^{2}} \frac{\ln m_{z}^{2}/Q_{\perp}^{2}}{\ln Q_{\perp}^{2}/m^{2}}} \tag{*}$$



**Figure :** Comparison of prediction (\*) with lines depicting angular coefficient  $A_0$  in bins of  $Q_{\perp}$  and Y < 1 from CMS (arXiv:1504.03512) and ATLAS (arXiv1606.00689)

15/18

Logarithmic estimate of A2



**Figure :** Comparison of prediction (\*\*) with lines depicting angular coefficient  $A_2$  in bins of  $Q_{\perp}$  and Y < 1 from CMS (arXiv:1504.03512) and ATLAS (arXiv1606.00689)

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{W} &\sim \sum_{f} r^{f} \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{Ff}} \Big\{ 1 + \cos^{2} \theta + \frac{Q_{\perp}^{2}}{2m_{Z}^{2}} \frac{\mathcal{W}_{L}^{\mathrm{Ff}}}{\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{Ff}} r^{f}} (1 - 3\cos^{2} \theta) \\ &+ \frac{Q_{\perp}}{m_{Z}} \frac{\mathcal{W}_{1}^{\mathrm{Ff}}}{\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{Ff}} r^{f}} \sin 2\theta \cos \phi + \frac{Q_{\perp}^{2}}{2m_{Z}^{2} r^{f}} \sin^{2} \theta \cos 2\phi \Big] \\ &+ \frac{8a_{e}a_{f}}{(a_{e}^{2} + 1)(a_{f}^{2} + 1)} \Big[ \frac{Q_{\perp}}{m_{Z}} \frac{\mathcal{W}_{3}^{\mathrm{Ff}}}{\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{Ff}} r^{f}} \sin \theta \cos \phi + \frac{\mathcal{W}_{4}^{\mathrm{Ff}}}{\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{Ff}} r^{f}} \cos \theta \Big] \Big\} \end{split}$$

$$r^f\equiv 1-rac{Q_{\perp}^2}{2m_Z^2}+rac{Q_{\perp}^2}{2m_Z^2}rac{w_L^{
m Ff}}{w^{
m Ff}}$$

## Qualitative checks:

- Factorization of TMD  $f_1(x, k_{\perp}^2) \simeq f(x)f(k_{\perp}^2) \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}_1^{\text{F}f}(q) = 0$  $\Rightarrow A_1$  is smaller than  $A_2$
- $A_4$  does not depend on  $Q_{\perp}$  and increases with rapidity
- $A_3$  is smaller than  $A_4$
- $A_5, A_6, A_7$  are order of magnitude smaller than  $A_0, A_2, A_4$

#### Conclusions

# Conclusions

- The Drell-Yan hadronic tensor is calculated in the Sudakov region  $s \gg Q^2 \gg q_{\perp}^2$  in the tree approximation with  $\frac{1}{Q^2}$  accuracy.
- In the leading order in *N<sub>c</sub>* the higher-twist quark-quark-gluon TMDs reduce to leading-twist TMDs due to QCD equation of motion.
- The resulting hadronic tensor for unpolarized hadrons is (EM) gauge-invariant and depends on two leading-twist TMDs:  $f_1$  responsible for total DY cross section, and Boer-Mulders function  $h_1^{\perp}$ .
- Results for angular coefficients of Z-boson production seem to agree with LHC measurements at corresponding kinematics.
- 2 Outlook
  - Rapidity factorization at the one-loop level.

# Thank you for attention!

18/18