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## 1. TOTAL SOLAR ECLIPSE

The apparent sizes of SUN and MOON are more or less the same. Therefore we see occasionaly a total solar eclipse or an anular eclipse (when the moon is far or the Sun is near). $\alpha_{\text {sun }}=2 r_{\text {sun }} / R_{\text {sun }}=1.4 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~m} / 150 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~m} \approx 0.01 \approx 30^{\text {c }}$ $\alpha_{\text {moon }}=2 r_{\text {moon }} / R_{\text {moon }}=3.5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m} / 380 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m} \approx 0.01 \approx 30^{6}$


Casper, Wyoming,USA, August 21, 2017 10:22-11:42:39

- 11:45:09-13:09
(photo: Zorko Vičar)



## Our enthusiastic "Solar expedition" 2017 counted 16 participants.

The temperature dropped by $6^{0}$, then it rose by $9^{0}$ (Zorko Vičar)


## We visited several national parks in the Western USA



Rocky Mountains NP Kolorado


Zion NP Utah


Yellowstone NP Wyoming/ Montana / Idaho


Grand Teton NP Wyoming


Bryce Canyon NP Utah


Horseshue Bend (Colorado river) Arizona

Great Salt Lake Utah


Grand Canyon Arizona

## c

TOTAL SOLAR ECLIPSE IN TURKEY 29.3.2006

PHOTO: Zorko Vičar and his team


##  <br> unuereat $29,3,06$

Vladimir Brezar: Solar eclipse in Antalya, Turkey 2006


Ivan Generalić: Pomrčenje sunca (1961)

## 2. TOTAL LUNAR ECLIPSE 21.1.2000

The brilliance of the moon Was estimated by comparison with the church
$\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{in}}=10 \mathrm{~lx}$
( 1000 cd reflector at 10 m ).
Albedo:
$a($ wall $)=0.6, \quad a($ roof $)=0.04$
$\mathrm{j}_{\text {out }}(\mathrm{wall})=6 \mathrm{~lx}$
$\mathrm{j}_{\text {out }}($ roof $)=0.4 \mathrm{~lx}$
$\mathrm{j}_{\text {out }}($ moon $)=$ inbetween

## Surprise: Why is the eclipsed Moon so brilliant?

 Answer: The air layer around the illuminated ring facing the Moon acts as a ring lense and the Moon happens to be near the focus.For a spherical lense $f=R / 2(n-1)$. With $R($ earth $)=6400 \mathrm{~km}$ and $\mathrm{n}=1,0003$ we get $\mathrm{f}=10^{7} \mathrm{~km}$. TOO MUCH!

For a ring lense $f=\sqrt{R h / 2 \pi} /(n-1)$.
$\mathrm{h}=7.7 \mathrm{~km}$ is the effective thickness of Earth atmosphere (exponential decrease assumed). We get $\mathrm{f}=300000 \mathrm{~km}$. FINE!

Illumination of the Moon
Sun illuminates Earth with $\mathrm{j}_{0}=100000 \mathrm{~lx}$.
The incoming light on the ring is distributed around the focus to an area of Earth cross section or more (an estimate from drawing the rays).
The Moon gets
$j_{\text {in }}<j_{0} \times\left(2 \pi R h / \pi R^{\wedge} 2\right)=j_{0}(2 h / R)=240 l x$.
The Moon shines (albedo $=0,08$ )
$\mathrm{J}_{\text {out }}<20 \mathrm{Ix}$ (or less, depending on the weather).

## 3. TROUT IN A DIVERGENT CURRENT

I was surprised to see a trout swimming at a fixed point in a rapid river. The explanation is easy:
The trout was swimming at a constant speed, the same but oposite as the water. If she came forward, she was carried back by the faster water. If she stayed backward, She overtook the slower water. Note that we are also used to walk or swim at a constant speed.


## 4. ENERGY SOURCE OXYGEN

The oxygen double bond $(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O})$ is a covalent bond. The bond energy is only as large as a single bond energy for light atoms in the $(2 s, 2 p)$ shells and in the hydrogen molecule.

| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | bonds $=1$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E} /$ bond $=106 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}=4.6 \mathrm{eV}$ |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-$ | bonds $=1$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E} /$ bond $=100 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}=4.3 \mathrm{eV}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | bonds $=4$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E} /$ bond $=98 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}=4.3 \mathrm{eV}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | bonds $=2$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E} /$ bond $=96 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}=4.2 \mathrm{eV}$ |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | bonds $=4$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E} /$ bond $=106 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}=4.6 \mathrm{eV}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | bonds $=2$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E} /$ bond $=59 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}=2.4 \mathrm{eV}$ |

One speaks of fossil fuel energy sources and means coal, gas and oil. The energy is, however, stored in atmospheric oxygen! Example: $\mathrm{CH}_{4}+2 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The number of covalent bonds (8) remains constant, as the four weak oxygen bonds are replaced by four stronger ones. $\Delta E=190 \mathrm{kcal} \approx 4 \times 2 \mathrm{eV}$.
Photosynthesis, which separates oxygen from carbon, has stored the energy in the weak bond in oxygen.

## 5. DIRECTIONALITY OF THE COVALENT BOND IN p SHELL


$\mathrm{NH}_{3} \quad 106.7^{0}$
$\mathrm{PH}_{3} \quad 93.3^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{AsH}_{3} \quad 91.8$
$\mathrm{SbH}_{3} \quad 91.3^{0}$
$\mathrm{CH}_{4} \quad 109.5^{0}$
$\mathrm{SiH}_{4} \quad 109.5^{0}$
$\mathrm{GeH}_{4} \quad 109.5^{0}$
$\mathrm{SnH}_{4} \quad 109.5^{0}$

## Water molecule.

Two orthogonal $2 p$ orbitals are maximally correlated at an angle of $90^{\circ}$. A superposition of $2 \mathrm{~s}-2 \mathrm{p}$ may be at any angle between $90^{\circ}$ and $120^{\circ}$. Admixture of 2 s is less favoured by electrons but more by repulsion of ions.

1. Large electric dipole moment ( $\mu_{\mathrm{e}}=0.068$ e $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ ) $\rightarrow$ High refraction
2. Large electric dipole moment
$\rightarrow$ Good solvent
3. Forms rings $\rightarrow$ Ice is lighter than water
4. Forms rings $\rightarrow$ Large specific heat ( 9 k instead of $6 \times \mathrm{k} / 2=3 \mathrm{k}$ )

## 6. EVAPORATION OF NEUTRONS FROM FISSION PRODUCTS

During the fission of uranium sufficient number of neutrons for the chain reaction is evaporated. The temperature of the two fission fragments is just high enough to evaporate 2-3 neutrons.
${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{n} \rightarrow{ }^{236} \mathrm{U}^{*} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}{ }^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{\gamma}\right)+\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}{ }^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{\gamma}+\mathrm{\gamma}\right)$
$\ln \frac{d n_{n} / d E}{\sqrt{E}}$

> Slope $=$ „thermometer"
> $\mathrm{dn}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{dE}=\sqrt{\mathrm{E}} \exp (-\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{kT})$
> $\mathrm{kT}=1.3 \mathrm{MeV}$

Richardson: $n_{n}=\left(m_{n} / 2 \pi^{2} \hbar^{3}\right)(k T)^{2} \exp \left(-W_{i} / k T\right) \times S \times t$
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}=7.5 \mathrm{MeV}$,
$S=$ surface area of both nuclei, $t=$ lifetime
Stefan-Boltzmann: $E_{Y}=\sigma T^{4} \times S \times t$
$\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}} \times 3 \mathrm{kT} / 2\right) / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{y}} \approx 1$
Experiment: $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}} \times 3 \mathrm{kT} / 2\right)=5 \mathrm{MeV}, \quad\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}=2,6\right]$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}} \quad=6 \mathrm{MeV}
$$

(These values are consistent with the above formulas and nuclear model assumptions.)

## 7. MUON CATALYSED FUSION

Muons can catalyse over 150 fusions of
deuteron and
triton into helium, because the energy balance for the resonant formation of a
 combined muonicelectronic molecule can be adjusted to meV precision at $0,3 \mathrm{keV}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (t \mu)+(\text { dee } d) \rightarrow((t \mu d) e d)^{+}+e^{-} \\
& (t \mu)+(\text { dee } d) \rightarrow\left(\left(t_{\mu} d\right) e e \quad d\right)^{*}{ }_{(\text {resonanca })}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
E_{E_{\mu \mu}+E_{\text {deed }}+E_{k_{k i}}=E_{\left(\ell_{(\mu t) \text { oed } d)}\right.}+\varepsilon_{j v}+\varepsilon_{d v}}^{\left.\Delta E+E_{\text {km }}=\varepsilon_{j v}+\varepsilon_{j v}\right\}}
$$

## 8. THE SYNTHESIS OF CARBON FROM HELIUM IS HELPED BY RESONANCES

The synthesis of carbon and heavier elements in older stars is possible because an excited state in ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ is just at the right place to enhance the resonant synthesis.
"Without this resonance we would not be here!" (Fred Hoyle)

Middle-aged stars (our Sun): $4{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}+2 \mathrm{e}^{+}+2 \mathrm{v}$
Old stars ( $\rho=10^{9} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, \mathrm{~T}=10^{8} \mathrm{~K}$ ):
${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }^{4} \mathrm{He} \leftrightarrow{ }^{8} \mathrm{Be}-0.092 \mathrm{MeV}$
${ }^{8} \mathrm{Be}+{ }^{4} \mathrm{He} \leftrightarrow{ }^{12} \mathrm{C} *-0.288 \mathrm{MeV}$
${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{*} \rightarrow{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+2 \mathrm{Y}+7.654 \mathrm{MeV}$
$\rho=10^{9} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, \mathrm{~T}=10^{8} \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{kT}=8.6 \mathrm{keV}, \mathrm{n}_{4}=1.5 \times 10^{35} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$

$$
\mu_{4}+\mu_{4}=\mu_{8}+\Delta E_{8} ; \quad n_{8} / n_{4}=6.6 \times 10^{-9}
$$

$\mu_{8}+\mu_{4}=\mu^{*}{ }_{12}+\Delta \mathrm{E}_{12} ; \quad \mathrm{n}^{*}{ }_{12} / \mathrm{n}_{4}=3.7 \times 10^{-27}$
$d n_{12} / d t=n^{*}{ }_{12} w, \quad w=5.6 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$(\mathrm{d} \mathrm{n} 12 / \mathrm{dt}) / \mathrm{n}_{4}=2.1 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=\left(1.5 \times 10^{6} \text { years }\right)^{-1}$
9. THE ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ NUCLEUS HAS A NINE ORDERS OF MAGNITUDE LONGER HALFLIFE THAN THE MIRROR NUCLEUS ${ }^{14} \mathrm{O}$

PLEASURE FOR ARCHEOLOGISTS!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1 / 2}=5700 \text { years } \\
& =1.8 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~S} \\
& t_{1 / 2}=71 \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

The ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{14} \mathrm{O}$ nuclei can decay into the ground state of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ through everal intermediate states. The accidental destructive interference is almost perfect in ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$, but not in ${ }^{14} \mathrm{O}$. Moreover, the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{O}$ decay has a larger phase space, and can also decay into excited states of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$.

The beta-decay matrix element in ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ is retarded to $0.1 \%$ while in ${ }^{14} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ it is retaded to $1 \%$.
It misses the "perfect cancellation" by $1 \%$.
Anyway, $1 \%$ is the precision of isospin symmetry

## 10. THE MASS OF THE STRANGE QUARK IS SUFFICIENT TO PREVENT THE COLLAPSE INTO STRANGE MATTER

The Pauli Principle would favour a nuclear matter composed of several flavours of quarks (for example s quarks in addition to u and d quarks) if $s$ quarks were not sufficiently heavy.
Experimental hint:
There are no $\Lambda \wedge=$ uds+uds bound states;
The ${ }_{\wedge}{ }^{6} \mathrm{He}$ decays weakly.
Nuclear matter estimate: $\mathrm{g}=2 \times 2 \times 3=12$ (spins, isospins, colours)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W=(3 / 5)\left(p_{F}^{2} / 2 m\right), N=3 n_{q} \\
& E / N=3 m+3 W=3(223+87) M e V=930 \mathrm{MeV}
\end{aligned}
$$

Strange matter estimate: $\mathrm{g}=2 \times 3 \times 3=18$ (spins, flavours, colours)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}-\mathrm{m} \approx \mathrm{~m}_{\Lambda}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}} \approx \mathrm{~m}_{\equiv}-\mathrm{m}_{\Lambda} \approx 180 \mathrm{MeV} \text { (from experiment) } \\
& \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{N}=[2(223+87 \sqrt[3]{12 / 18})+1(403+87 \sqrt[3]{12 / 18}(223 / 403)] \mathrm{MeV} \\
& \\
& =1043 \mathrm{MeV} . \text { WE ARE SAFE !!! }
\end{aligned}
$$

