# **RARE BEAUTY DECAYS**

Maria Carolina Faria

Supervisor:

Ozlem Ozcelik

#### Introduction

**Goal-** Study how the upcoming upgrades of the CMS detector will help to improve the measurements related with the rare decays:

- $B^{o}_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$
- $B^{\circ} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$

These decays are highly suppressed in the SM :

- $BF(B_{s}^{o} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-})_{SM} = (3.66 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-9}$
- BF(B<sup>o</sup>  $\rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-})_{SM}$  = (1.06±0.09)×10<sup>-10</sup>









#### Analysis

In our analysis we use data from simulations and perform two different types of studies:

- Mass studies
- Toy studies

We calculate the branching fractions using the formula:

$$B(B_{s,d} \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = \frac{N(B_{s,d} \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}{N(B^{\pm} \to J/\psi K^{\pm})} \times B(B^{\pm} \to J/\psi K^{\pm}) \times \frac{\epsilon(B^{\pm})}{\epsilon(B_{s,d})} \times \frac{f_u}{f_{s,d}}$$

where we use  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm}$  as a normalization channel.

## MASS STUDIES

#### Run 2 vs Phase 2 – $B_{s}^{\circ}$ and $B_{s}^{\circ}$ Peak Separation



#### In Phase 2:

- The mass distribution width is narrower
- The B°<sub>s</sub> and B° peak separation is better
- The background effect of  $B^o{}_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  in  $B^o \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  is lower

#### Run 2 vs Phase 2 – $B_s^\circ$ and $B^\circ$ Peak Separation (Discrimination between two $\eta$ regions)



#### Mass Resolution as a Function of $\eta$



Pile Up



The mass resolution is lower for Phase 2 and for lower  $\eta$  regions.

The increase in pile up doesn't affect the mass resolution significantly.

Products of proton-proton collisions that aren't the interaction of interest.

#### Run 2 vs Phase 2 – Contamination from Semileptonic Background



- No contamination in the region
  5.3 < m < 5.5 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- Decrease of about 30 % in the region 5.2 < m < 5.3 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>



## TOY STUDIES

#### What are toy studies?

- We perform toy MC studies to estimate the sensitivity of the branching fraction and the effective lifetime measurements.
- It is carried out by generating the toy events based on the model and expected yields.
- The model includes different PDF shapes, including mass and mass resolutions for signal and background components.
- The expected yields are scaled from the Run 2 analysis expectations.

#### Mass Projections and sPlot Technique



τ [ps]

#### Toy Performance – Branching Fractions



### Toy Performance – Effective Lifetime of B<sup>o</sup><sub>s</sub>



#### Conclusions

The upcoming upgrades of CMS will enable us to improve significantly the measurements related with the decays  $B^o_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  and  $B^o \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ .

From our mass studies we conclude that Phase 2 will allow us to:

- Lower the mass resolutions
- Lower the background effect of  $B^{o}_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$  in the decay  $B^{o} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$
- Lower the contamination from semileptonic background

And from our toy studies we conclude that Phase 2 will also allow us to:

- Observe  $B^{\circ} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$  with a statistical significance of ~ 7  $\sigma$
- Lower the uncertainties in the branching fraction measurements
- Lower the absolute uncertainty on the effective lifetime of  $B_{s}^{\circ}$ : (1.61±0.05) ps