Search for new interactions in the top quark sector

1st IGFAE/LIP Workshop | Braga · May 4, 2018

Maura Barros · Nuno Castro · **Ana Peixoto**

LIP

Universidade do Minho
Escola de Ciências

FCT Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia
MINISTÉRIO DA CIÊNCIA, TECNOLOGIA E ENSINO SUPERIOR Portugal

COMPETE 2020
PORTUGAL 2020
UNIÃO EUROPEIA
Fundo Europeu de Desenvolvimento Regional

Motivation
FCNC limits overview

- Flavour Changing Neutral Currents (FCNC): change in the fermion flavour through the emission of a neutral boson
- Many types of New Physics (NP) lead to FCNC, often at tree level



- More than 10 orders of magnitude left for FCNC $\mathcal{BR}(t \to u|cZ)$ before Standard Model (SM) suppression

Signal Simulation

- MC production via left-handed utZ and ctZ tensor couplings (K_{ut}^{L}, K_{ct}^{L}) at LO QCD using TopFCNC UFO model within MadGraph 5
- EFT Lagrangian using dimension-6 operators in LO QCD

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{LO} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{q\in\{u,c\}} \Bigg[& \frac{g_s}{2m_t}\bar{q}T^a\sigma^{\mu\nu}\left(\zeta_{qt}^{L}P_L + \zeta_{qt}^{R}P_R\right)tG_{\mu\nu}^{a} + \frac{e}{2m_t}\bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\left(\lambda_{qt}^{L}P_L + \lambda_{qt}^{R}P_R\right)tA_{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{g_w}{2c_w}\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\left(X_{qt}^{L}P_L + X_{qt}^{R}P_R\right)tZ_{\mu} + \frac{g_w}{4c_wM_Z}\bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\left(K_{qt}^{L}P_L + K_{qt}^{R}P_R\right)tZ_{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{q}\left(\eta_{qt}^{L}P_L + \eta_{qt}^{R}P_R\right)tH \Bigg] + h.c.\end{aligned}$$

Motivation
qtZ anomalous coupling

FCNC u|c+g → tZ:

- In decay: $t\bar{t}$ production
- In production: single-top
- Clean 3ℓ signature: $e^+e^- \,|\, \mu^+\mu^- + e \,|\, \mu + b$-jet + $\not{E}_t$
- *utZ* and *ctZ* anomalous couplings considered
- Main backgrounds: $t\bar{t}$, $t\bar{t}Z$, Z+jets and diboson processes



tZ production via FCNC



$t\bar{t}$ decay via FCNC

Analysis with decay mode
Summary

- $t\bar{t}$ production where a top quark decays to a *W* boson and a *b*-quark and the other decays to a *Z* boson and an up or charm quark
- Final state with three charged leptons and at least two jets where one of them is *b*-tagged
- One signal region and five control regions focusing on the $t\bar{t}Z$, *WZ*, *ZZ* processes



https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.09923

Analysis with production mode

Choice of discriminant variable

- *tZ* production particularly sensitive to *utZ* coupling
- Harder distribution of the transverse momentum of the *Z* boson compared with the background processes



- For a low value of $m_T(\ell, \nu)$, the Z+jets background can be isolated
- Two complementary regions separated by low and high $m_T(\ell, \nu)$ regions defined

Signal and Control Regions

Event selection

- Three signal regions
- Two control regions: $t\bar{t}$ and WZ diboson backgrounds

Selection	SR			CR	
	$m_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{W}}$-low	$m_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{W}}$-high	2-jet	$\mathrm{t\bar{t}}$ 2	WZ
No. leptons	$= 3$	$= 3$	$= 3$	$= 3$	$= 3$
No. jets	$= 1$	$= 1$	$= 2$	≥ 2	$= 1$
No. b-jets	$= 1$	$= 1$	$= 1$	≥ 1	$= 0$
Z candidate	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	< 1	≥ 1
$m_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{W}}$	< 50 GeV	> 50 GeV	–	–	–

- Definition of the transverse mass of the W boson:

$$m_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{W}} = \sqrt{2\not{E}_{\mathrm{t}} p_{\mathrm{t}}^{\ell} \left(1 - \cos\left(\Delta\varphi(\not{E}_{\mathrm{t}}, p_{\mathrm{t}}^{\ell})\right)\right)}$$

Signal Regions

Post-fit plots









Results

95% expected upper limits on cross-sections, couplings and BRs

Limit for K^L_{ut}



Limit for K^L_{ct}



Coupling	$\sigma_{\text{FCNC tZ}}$ [pb]	K/Λ_{NP} [TeV^{-1}]	$\mathcal{BR}(t\to qZ)$ ATLAS	$\mathcal{BR}(t\to qZ)$ CMS
	***utZ* anomalous coupling**			
Expected	0.284	0.0171	2.25×10^{-4}	1.50×10^{-4}
Observed	0.318	0.0183	2.52×10^{-4}	2.40×10^{-4}
	***ctZ* anomalous coupling**			
Expected	0.369	0.0547	2.34×10^{-3}	3.70×10^{-4}
Observed	0.401	0.0581	2.54×10^{-3}	4.50×10^{-4}

Limits on $\mathcal{B}(t \to uZ)$ comparable to CMS results

Interference Studies
Production and Decay

- FCNC at production and decay can have the same final state
- Interferences effects between both modes should be considered when combined limits are obtained
- Feynman diagrams for the decay (left) and production (right)





Interference Studies

Production and Decay

- Phenomological study being currently done using Monte Carlo generation with MadGraph5 importing the TopFCNC UFO model
- Only *qtZ* anomalous coupling is considered at this stage





Photoproduction via FCNC

Prospects

- Probing $ut\gamma$ and $ct\gamma$ couplings
- Final state composed by proton remnants, a top quark and a proton
- An interesting possibility is using the data collected by the ATLAS Forward Proton (AFP) detector in the forward region



Summary

- Distinct analyses for decay and production implemented

Expected upper limits			
$\sqrt{s}$ [TeV]	Luminosity [fb^{-1}]	Mode	$\mathcal{BR}(t \to qZ)$
13	35.9 - CMS	Decay and production	$1.5{\cdot}10^{-4}$ $(q = u)$
13	35.9 - CMS	Decay and production	$3.7{\cdot}10^{-4}$ $(q = c)$
13	36.1 - ATLAS	Decay	$2.4{\cdot}10^{-4}$ $(q = u)$
13	36.1 - ATLAS	Decay	$3.2{\cdot}10^{-4}$ $(q = c)$
13	36.1 - This analysis	Production	$2.2{\cdot}10^{-4}$ $(q = u)$
13	36.1 - This analysis	Production	$2.3{\cdot}10^{-3}$ $(q = c)$

- Study of the interferences between both channels is under way
- Photoproduction via FCNC processes is an interesting possibility for a future analysis

Appendix

Motivation

FCNC suppression in the SM

- Flavour Changing Neutral Currents (FCNC): change in the fermion flavour through the emission of a neutral boson
- Many types of New Physics (NP) lead to FCNC, often at tree level
- Predictions for the branching ratios of FCNC top decays by the SM and some NP models

Process	SM	QS	2HDM	FC 2HDM	MSSM	$\not{R}$ SUSY
$t \to gu$	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	—	—	$8 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-4}$
$t \to Zu$	$8 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	—	—	$2 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3 \cdot 10^{-5}$
$t \to \gamma u$	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$7.5 \cdot 10^{-9}$	—	—	$2 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$t \to Hu$	$2 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	—	$\approx 10^{-5}$	$\approx 10^{-6}$
$t \to gc$	$4.6 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$\approx 10^{-4}$	$\approx 10^{-8}$	$8 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-4}$
$t \to Zc$	$1 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$\approx 10^{-7}$	$\approx 10^{-10}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3 \cdot 10^{-5}$
$t \to \gamma c$	$4.6 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$7.5 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$\approx 10^{-6}$	$\approx 10^{-9}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$t \to Hc$	$3 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$\approx 10^{-5}$	$\approx 10^{-5}$	$\approx 10^{-6}$

Common Selection

Event selection

- Common cuts for the objects:
  - $p_{\mathrm{t}}(\ell_1) > 27$ GeV
  - $p_{\mathrm{t}}(\ell_2) > 25$ GeV
  - $p_{\mathrm{t}}(\ell_3) > 15$ GeV
  - $p_{\mathrm{t}}(j) > 30$ GeV
  - 1 b-jet (77% WP, $|\eta| < 2.5$)
  - no other jet (77% WP, $|\eta| < 4.5$)
  - $|m(\ell\ell)$ - $m(Z)| < 10$ GeV
- Definition of the transverse mass of the W boson:

$$m_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{W}} = \sqrt{2\not{E}_{\mathrm{t}} p_{\mathrm{t}}^{\ell} \left(1 - \cos\left(\Delta\varphi(\not{E}_{\mathrm{t}}, p_{\mathrm{t}}^{\ell})\right)\right)}$$

Signal and Control Regions

Event selection

- Three signal regions
- Two control regions: $t\bar{t}$ and WZ diboson backgrounds

Selection	SR			VR			CR	
	$m_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{W}}$-low	$m_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{W}}$-high	2-jet	Z+jets 1	Z+jets 2	$t\bar{t}$ 1	$t\bar{t}$ 2	WZ
No. leptons	$= 3$	$= 3$	$= 3$	$= 2$	$= 2$	$= 2$	$= 3$	$= 3$
No. jets	$= 1$	$= 1$	$= 2$	$= 1$	$= 2$	$= 2$	≥ 2	$= 1$
No. b-jets	$= 1$	$= 1$	$= 1$	$= 1$	$= 2$	$= 2$	≥ 1	$= 0$
Z candidate	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	< 1	< 1	≥ 1
$m_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{W}}$	< 50 GeV	> 50 GeV	–	–	–	–	–	–

Signal Regions

Event yields

SR1 m_T^W-low	Pre-fit	Post-fit	Ratio
$tZ\,K_L^{ut}$	11.5 ± 1.2	11.5 ± 1.2	1.00 ± 0.10
$tZ\,K_L^{ct}$	12.7 ± 1.3	12.7 ± 1.3	1.00 ± 0.10
tZj	1.5 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.5	1.00 ± 0.32
$t\bar{t}V, t\bar{t}H$	1.3 ± 0.4	1.3 ± 0.4	1.04 ± 0.32
$t\bar{t}, tW$	8 ± 8	13.5 ± 3.2	1.74 ± 0.41
$Z+$jets	35 ± 38	52 ± 14	1.46 ± 0.40
VV	23 ± 7	26 ± 5	1.12 ± 0.20
Total	69 ± 40	94 ± 15	1.36 ± 0.22
Data	78		

SR2 m_T^W-high	Pre-fit	Post-fit	Ratio
$tZ\,K_L^{ut}$	20.5 ± 1.5	20.5 ± 1.3	1.00 ± 0.07
$tZ\,K_L^{ct}$	25.2 ± 2.1	24.9 ± 1.9	0.99 ± 0.07
tZj	3.3 ± 1.1	3.4 ± 1.0	1.04 ± 0.31
$t\bar{t}V, t\bar{t}H$	3.2 ± 1.1	3.4 ± 1.0	1.04 ± 0.30
$t\bar{t}, tW$	13 ± 13	25 ± 5	1.85 ± 0.41
$Z+$jets	0.9 ± 1.0	1.0 ± 0.7	1.20 ± 0.75
VV	39 ± 13	46 ± 7	1.19 ± 0.19
Total	60 ± 19	78 ± 8	1.32 ± 0.13
Data	83		

SR3 2-jets	Pre-fit	Post-fit	Ratio
$tZ\,K_L^{ut}$	28.8 ± 2.0	28.4 ± 1.8	0.99 ± 0.06
$tZ\,K_L^{ct}$	34.3 ± 2.1	34.3 ± 2.0	1.00 ± 0.06
tZj	30 ± 9	31 ± 9	1.04 ± 0.30
$t\bar{t}V, t\bar{t}H$	18 ± 6	19 ± 5	1.04 ± 0.29
$t\bar{t}, tW$	15 ± 15	27 ± 6	1.80 ± 0.39
$Z+$jets	19 ± 20	30 ± 11	1.59 ± 0.57
VV	48 ± 35	59 ± 17	1.23 ± 0.36
Total	130 ± 45	166 ± 15	1.28 ± 0.12
Data	170		

Signal Extraction Fit
Strategy

Use a binned likelihood function with a signal strength, $\mu = \sigma_{\text{FCNC}}/1\,\text{pb}$ (not multiplied by $\mathcal{B}(tZ \to 3\ell\nu b)$), and nuisance parameters (NPs) for systematic uncertainties. The $p_{\text{t}}(Z)$ distributions in the 3 signal and 2 control regions with 5 bins each used as discriminant variable

- Find μ such that p-value on B-only Asimov dataset is 0.05
  - ► expected upper limit
- Fit B-only model to B-only Asimov dataset
  - ► expected estimates of NPs
- Fit S+B model to S+B Asimov dataset
  - ► expected approximate impact of uncertainties
- Fit B-only model to parts of observed data
  - ► Estimates of NPs for post-fit plots, modelling checks

Signal Extraction Fit

Nuisance Parameters

B, Asimov

B, observed