# **Tutorial on Data Analysis**

#### LIP internship program, 2024

#### perform a simple data analysis

- visualise the data
- manipulate data ntuples
- produce, process, and display data histograms
  - select different physics signals
  - plot kinematic distributions, inspect detector/trigger effects
- extract physics parameters from data
  - measure signal yields by performing a likelihood fit
  - inspect statistical and systematic errors

### Typical detector at collider



#### calorimeters:

measure particle's energy by absorbing it

#### trackers:

detect trajectory of charged particles muons: detected in outer detector layers

#### The CMS detector



# How do particles interact?



#### Two-muon events in CMS



# First look at the code and data

- Open the notebook in google colab
  - Follow link (also in indico agenda)
  - If you never used google colab, follow instructions to set it up (simple login with your google account)
  - save a copy of the code in your area, so you can modify and run it
  - run the first blocks to set up root and open file with data
  - Let's have a look at the content of the file!

#### Two-muon invariant mass



#### particle identification

- signal in muon chambers
- → it's a muon!
- $\implies$  m = m(µ) ~ 106MeV/c<sup>2</sup>

#### particle trajectory

- muon chambers but especially the silicon tracker
- Inear momentum, <u>p</u>≡(p<sub>x</sub>,p<sub>y</sub>,p<sub>z</sub>)
- form 4-momentum of each muon:  $\mathbf{P}_{\mu} \equiv (E, p_x, p_y, p_z)$
- $\blacksquare$  that of the di-muon pair  $\mathbf{P}_{\mu\mu} = \mathbf{P}_{\mu 1} + \mathbf{P}_{\mu 2} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mu \mu}$
- invariant mass  $\mathbf{P}_{\mu\mu} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\mu\mu} = \mathbf{M}_{\mu\mu}^2 = (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}})^2$

## The dimuon spectrum



### Back to the code: plot the dimuon invariant mass



## What are the peaks?



Check their measured properties at <a href="http://pdglive.lbl.gov">http://pdglive.lbl.gov</a>

# Fit the data!

- Choose your favourite peak (other than the  $J/\psi$ )
- Establish a fit model. Starting point:
  - signal: Gaussian function
  - background: exponential function
- Inspect quality of fit
  - can model be improved?
  - hint: final state radiation ( $\mu \rightarrow \mu \gamma$ ) may distort shape
- Extract signal parameters
  - yield (N ±  $\sigma_{\text{N}}$ )
  - mass (m ±  $\sigma_m$ )
- Estimate systematic errors
  - does the choice of fit model affect the measured results?
  - quantify the systematic variations by employing different models



 $\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$  mass spectrum with exponential and Gauss

- Quote final measurements
  - N ±  $\sigma_{stat}$  ±  $\sigma_{syst}$

## What do we learn from the yield?

#### Cross section

$$\frac{d^2\sigma(Q\overline{Q})}{dp_T dy}\mathcal{B}\left(Q\overline{Q} \to \mu^+\mu^-\right) = \frac{N_{fit}(Q\overline{Q})}{\mathcal{L} \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot \epsilon \cdot \Delta p_T \cdot \Delta y}$$





an effective area of interaction unit: barn,  $1b = 10^{-28} \text{ m}^2 = 100 \text{ fm}^2$ 



• N: fitted signal yield

22

- A: detector acceptance from simulation
- E: detector reconstruction and trigger efficiencies (simulation or data-driven)
- L: integrated sample luminosity