Single or double? Liquid detectors at a crossroads

Vitaly Chepel

For LXe R&D group

Motivation

Two-phase liquid detectors are probably the most versatile detectors for low energies and rare events

Liquid surface – inconvenient but worth of suffering (at least it was until now) Problems associated with it:

- Should be in a strong E field for electron extraction → between two multiwire electrodes at ~5 mm between them → wire sagging
- Undersurface charge drift/diffusion
- Possible ripples, acoustic effects, instabilities in a strong E field





The bigger the detector the bigger the problem

Alternatives: dive or float

"No surface, no problem" – back to single (liquid) phase.

- "We are one" Electrodes & surface
- floating electrodes:



Outline

- **1. Our efforts on development of floating electrodes**
- 2. Single phase: Work on electroluminescence in liquid xenon
 - a) Light on narrow strips of a Microstrip plate (base for MSGC microstrip gas chamber)
 - b) Light on narrow strips of a VCC Virtual Cathode Chamber

Collaboration: LIP and Weizmann Institute of Science

1. Floating electrodes – THGEM

LXe density 2.9 g/cm3 FR4 density 2.0 ± 0.2 g/cm3 - dielectric material used to make THGEM If copper cladding is not too heavy \rightarrow THGEM should float on the surface of LXe THGEM electroluminescence α –source extraction 0.1 (in -0.1 -0.2 S1 -0.30.4 mm holes drift ₩ -0.4 S2 -0.5 -0.6 copper FR4 Time (µs)

Chepel e.a. JINST 18(2023)P05013

1. Floating electrodes – devil in details





Generated in the hole:

~1 phe/drifting electron

~20-50 ph/drifting electron in 4π

Not impressive...

Chepel e.a. JINST 18(2023)P05013

LXe in the hole: is it really like this?

1. Floating electrodes – devil in details



1. Floating electrodes – wettability studies (ongoing)



Xe gas bubble in LXe showing high wettability of FR4 by LXe:



Modelling is crutial – COMSOL badly needed



1. Floating electrodes – remaining questions/further work

Prove of principle – successful. Open questions:

- 1. Opacity for VUV (S1 problem) CsI photocathode? Quartz substrate?
- 2. Physics meniscus profile, wettability, field effects, electron transmission efficiency
- 3. Structure optimization thicker THGEM? Bigger holes?
- 4. Works in LAr (1.4 g/cm^3) ?

Many plastics float in LAr. The question is whether one can make a THGEM-like electrode from them.



Other possible configurations:



2a. Microstrip plate in LXe (WIS)

Cathode strip

Cathode 400 μm

1 mm

Anode 8 μm

Cathode strip 400 μm



5x5 cm² D263 Schott glass 0.5 mm thick (same as we used to observe electron multiplication in LXe back in 1995)







0.0

x (mm)

500

-0.5

0.5

1.0

1.5

1.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.0

-0.2

-0.4

-1.5

(mm) z

E

-1.0

0

Ó

35.5 \pm 2.6 VUV phot/drifting e⁻ in 4π

Martinez-Lema e.a. JINST 19(2024)P02037

1500

2000

1000

V_{anode} (V)

drift cathode

drift space

an. & cath.

strips

substrate

cathode plane

MSGC

2b. Virtual Cathode Chamber (VCC) vs Microstrip plate



2b. VCC – who is in details, remember?





~ 30 photons/drifting e⁻

At more or less stable conditions (in small steps, after waiting a few min)

$\text{Max} \sim 500 \text{ photons/electron observed}$

Fixed drift field $(V_{\alpha} - V_{back} \neq 0)$ $\Delta V = 0 \rightarrow 2 \ kV \ (t = 0)$ $S_{1}^{50} \qquad S_{2}^{50} \qquad S_{2}^{50} \qquad S_{1}^{50} \qquad S_{2}^{50} \qquad S_{2}^{50} \qquad S_{1}^{50} \qquad S_{2}^{50} \qquad S_{2}^{5$



Charging up? – 40 Bq source, $G \leq 10 \dots$ Effect of glass conductivity? (~10¹¹ $\Omega \cdot cm$ at room T, × 10³ – 10⁴ in LXe) Effect of glass polarization? Glass – kind of Pestov black glass

One thing is clear – the substrate matters

Martinez-Lema e.a. LIDINE2024

EL amplification in LXe

- Thresholds
 - Charge multiplication ~ 725 kV/cm <u>Aprile et al</u>
 - Electroluminiscence ~ 412 kV/cm Aprile et al
 - Microstrips measurements in agreement Martinez-Lema et al
- Thin wires (5-25 μm)
 - ~ 290 photons/ie, ~x14 charge gain @ 6.75 kV Aprile et al
 - 17 photons/ie @ 3.6 kV & single electron sensitivity <u>Qi</u>
 et al
 - 29 photons/ie @ 4.4 kV Tönnies et al
- Microstrips
 - ~x10 e⁻ multiplication @ 1.7 kV Policarpo et al
 - ~33 photons/ie @ 2 kV Martinez-Lema et al





2. Microstrips: what next

Prove of principle – successful.

Advantage - No liquid-gas interface

- Reduced instabilities (interface ripples)
- No delayed e⁻ emission or e⁻ transfer inefficiency through interface
- No gate-interface-anode alignment problems
- Potential improvement for S2-only events (e.g. lower background)

Drawbacks

- Electric fields ~few 100 kV/cm required for electroluminescence (EL)
- So far, lower light yield than dual-phase detectors (except VCC right after applying the voltage)

Open questions:

- 1. Substrate polarization/charging up/...?
- 2. VUV transparent substrate
- 3. Works in LAr ?



Breskin JINST 17 (2022) P08002

Acknowledgements

LIP LXe R&D group:

Vitaly Chepel Vladimir Solovov Francisco Neves

Also thanks to Sandro Saltão

WIS Detector group members:

Amos Breskin Gonzalo Martinez-Lema Arindam Roy

Framework and funding support:

RD51/DRD1, DRD2

Fundo CERN (FCT) 2019, 2022, 2024

RD51 Common Fund 2022-2024