



Badge Report

Congratulations! The following badge has been awarded



[Verify](#) [Go to Badgr's award page](#)

[Learn more about the EOSC-Synergy badging approach](#)

Bronze badge	Silver badge	Gold badge
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Code Accessibility Licensing Documentation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Versioning Code metadata 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Security Code Style

Criteria Report

Code Accessibility	100	<div style="width: 100%;"><div style="width: 100%;"></div></div>
Documentation	80	<div style="width: 80%;"><div style="width: 80%;"></div></div>
Licensing	100	<div style="width: 100%;"><div style="width: 100%;"></div></div>
Code metadata	100	<div style="width: 100%;"><div style="width: 100%;"></div></div>
Security	0	<div style="width: 0%;"><div style="width: 0%;"></div></div>
Code Style	0	<div style="width: 0%;"><div style="width: 0%;"></div></div>
Versioning	33	<div style="width: 33%;"><div style="width: 33%;"></div></div>

Code Accessibility

- QC.Acc01** Is the source code managed with a Version Control System?
 - Source code uses Git for version control

Documentation

- QC.Doc06.1** Is the software scope outlined in the code repository?
 - A README file is present in the code repository
- QC.Doc06.3** Does the project establish a code of conduct for its participants?
 - A CODE_OF_CONDUCT file is present in the code repository
- QC.Doc06.2** Is there a clear path or guidelines on how to contribute to the code?
 - A CONTRIBUTING file is present in the code repository
- QC.Doc01.1** Are docs managed in the same repository as code?
 - Documentation resides in the same repository as code
- QC.Doc02.X** Are docs following a style for the Markdown markup language?
 - Docs are not fully compliant with markdownlint standard
 - Docs are not fully compliant with restructuredtext-lint standard

Licensing

- QC.Lic01** Is code licensed to be freely used, modified and distributed by others?
 - An Open Source license found in the code repository: MIT
- QC.Lic01.1** Is LICENSE file placed in the root path of the code repository?
 - LICENSE file is visible at the root path of the code repository: LICENSE
- QC.Lic02** Does the code use a license approved by Open Source Initiative?
 - License MIT is approved by the Open Source Initiative
- QC.Lic02.1** Does the code use an Open Source Initiative's popular-recognized license?
 - License MIT is listed under the Open Source Initiative popular category

Code metadata

- QC.Met01** Is the software citable?
 - Software metadata successfully validated

Security

- QC.Sec02** Is the source code passing Static Analysis Security Testing (SAST)?
 - Found security weaknesses when performing SAST checks with bandit tool

Code Style

- QC.Sty01** Is the software product following a style standard for Python files?
 - Python files are not fully compliant with flake8 (pycodestyle, pyflakes, mccabe) standard
 - Dockerfile files are compliant with hadolint standard
 - JSON files are compliant with jsonlint standard

Versioning

- QC.Ver01.0** Are tags being used for releasing software
 - The code repository does not use tags for releasing new software versions
- QC.Ver01** Is the latest release compliant with Semantic Versioning (SemVer) specification?
 - Latest release tag None found, but is not SemVer compliant
- QC.Ver02** Are all release tags with Semantic Versioning (SemVer) specification?
 - All release tags are SemVer compliant



EOSC-synergy receives funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 857647.



Unless otherwise indicated, all materials created by the EOSC-synergy consortium are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Privacy Policy](#)
[Terms of Service](#)
[News & Events](#)

