Little Higgs (in a nutshell)

Diogo S. Gorgulho

High Energy Physics

May 30, 2023



< A > <

∃ ⇒

Contents

The Higgs mechanism

2 The hierarchy problem

- Supersymmetry as a solution
- Little Higgs models
 - The simplest little Higgs
 - Other models
 - Experimental tests

3 Summary



The Higgs mechanism

• Spontaneous breaking of the electroweak symmetry $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ leads to mass terms for the W^{\pm} , Z^0 and Higgs (H) bosons

Masses of the W^{\pm} , Z^{0} and H bosons

$$\begin{cases} m_W = \frac{g}{2} v \\ m_Z = \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + {g'}^2}}{2} v & (v \text{ is the VEV of the Higgs field}) \\ m_H = \sqrt{2\lambda} v \end{cases}$$
(1)



The hierarchy problem

- We know from experiment that $m_W, m_Z, m_H \sim 100$ GeV (before the Higgs was discovered, this was already expected)
- $\bullet\,$ The Standard Model (SM) is an effective theory, valid up to some cut-off scale $\Lambda \sim\, TeV$
- Hence, m_H^2 receives one-loop radiative corrections proportional to Λ^2 , i.e. $m_H^2 = m_{H,\text{bare}}^2 + \delta m_H^2$, with $\delta m_H^2 \sim \text{TeV}^2$



• Either $m_{H,\text{bare}}^2$ is fine-tuned ($\sim -\Lambda^2$) or a BSM theory removes the one-loop corrections (via the embedding of the SM in some symmetry group)

• Each SM particle has a *superpartner* of the opposite statistics

Some examples of superpartners

top quark
$$(s = \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow$$
 stop squark $(s = 0)$
W boson $(s = 1) \longrightarrow$ Wino $(s = \frac{1}{2})$
Higgs boson $(s = 0) \longrightarrow$ Higgsino $(s = \frac{1}{2})$

- The SM one-loop corrections to m_H^2 are cancelled out exactly by their supersymmetric analogues $\implies m_H$ remains small
- However, no superpartners have been detected so far in particular, low-energy supersymmetric models ($m_{\rm SUSY} \sim {\rm TeV}$) can be tested using the LHC

- The Higgs boson is a pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone boson (PNGB) corresponding to some spontaneously broken approximate global symmetry
- Loop corrections involving interactions that explicitly break the symmetry generate a potential, including a mass term, for the Higgs
- If the symmetry is *collectively* broken, a Λ^2 -proportional one-loop contribution to the squared Higgs mass is *not* generated

 m_H remains small, even at loop level

• Why approximate? Why pseudo?

- The breakdown of an *exact* global symmetry leads to *massless*, proper NGBs (Goldstone's theorem), which only have derivative interactions
- Introduce terms that *explicitly* break the symmetry (e.g. gauge and Yukawa interactions)
- No mass term for the Higgs at tree level: it arises from one-loop corrections, which make it a PNGB with a small mass
- Why collective?
 - Later...

Let us consider an *exact* SU(3) globally invariant theory with a complex scalar triplet φ. This symmetry is spontaneously broken down to a global SU(2), which produces 8 - 3 = 5 NGBs

Ground state parametrization of ϕ and of the NGBs

$$\phi = e^{\frac{i}{f}\pi}\phi_0 \tag{2}$$

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} -\eta/2 & 0 & | \\ 0 & -\eta/2 & | \\ h^{\dagger} & | \eta \end{pmatrix} \qquad \phi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ f \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

• $f \sim \text{TeV}$ is the VEV of ϕ and h is an SU(2) complex doublet

• The most general *SU*(3)-invariant Lagrangian that we can write for this field theory is then

Lagrangians for ϕ and for h

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{const.} + f^2 |\partial_\mu \phi|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\partial^4) \approx$$

$$\approx \text{const.} + |\partial_\mu h|^2 + \frac{1}{f^2} |\partial_\mu h|^2 h^{\dagger} h + \dots$$
(4)

 Since the SU(3) symmetry is exact, we only have derivative interactions for h, which is then a strictly massless NGB → doesn't work: Higgs is massive and has other types of interactions

• Let us then introduce *SU*(2) gauge interactions for *h*, which explicitly break the global *SU*(3) symmetry of *L*

First attempt

Simply replace $\partial_{\mu} \longrightarrow D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - igW^{a}_{\mu}(x)Q^{a}$ in \mathcal{L} , with $Q^{a} \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$, leading to

$${\cal L} ~~ \supset ~~ |\partial_\mu h|^2 \ , ~~ |gW_\mu h|^2 \ , ~~ ...$$

(5)

• The additional interaction terms lead to one-loop diagrams that generate a mass term for *h*

Mass term from gauge one-loop diagrams $\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \Lambda^2 h^{\dagger} h \implies m_h^2 \propto \Lambda^2$ Failed!(6)High Energy PhysicsLittle Higgs (in a nutshell)May 30, 202310/20

• Let us try to gauge the full SU(3) symmetry instead

Second attempt

Replace $\partial_{\mu} \longrightarrow D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - igW^a_{\mu}(x)Q^a$, but now with $Q^a \in \mathfrak{su}(3)$

• This leads to the following one-loop contribution

One-loop contribution to $\ensuremath{\mathcal{L}}$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \Lambda^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi = \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \Lambda^2 f^2 = \text{const.}$$
(7)

 Hence, <u>no mass term</u> for h is generated by one-loop diagrams and all the NGBs are "eaten" by the five SU(3) gauge bosons that have now become massive

Failed!

High Energy Physics

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 The way to do it is via the introduction of *two* independent φ fields and hence *two* sets of NGBs (total of 10 NGBs)

Parametrization of two independent ϕ fields and NGBs

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{1} = e^{\frac{i}{f}\pi_{1}} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f \end{pmatrix} & \iff \begin{cases} \phi_{1} = e^{\frac{i}{f}\kappa}e^{\frac{i}{f}\eta} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f \end{pmatrix} \\ \phi_{2} = e^{\frac{i}{f}\kappa}e^{-\frac{i}{f}\eta} \begin{pmatrix} f \end{pmatrix} & (8) \end{cases}$$

• We now gauge a single SU(3) symmetry to get

Lagrangian for ϕ_1 and ϕ_2

$$\mathcal{L} = |D_{\mu}\phi_1|^2 + |D_{\mu}\phi_2|^2 \qquad (g_1 = g_2 \equiv g)$$
 (9)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• We find the following set of one-loop diagrams



• The first two lead to the constant contributions found in the second attempt, whereas the third leads to

One-loop contribution involving both ϕ_1 and ϕ_2

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{g^4}{16\pi^2} \log\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2}\right) |\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_2|^2$$
 (10)

13 / 20

• The global phase factor $e^{\frac{i}{f}\kappa}$ corresponds to the "eaten" NGBs and can be removed by an SU(3) gauge transformation. The relative phases $e^{\pm \frac{i}{f}\eta}$ are always kept, leading in particular to a mass term for h

Mass term for h

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{g^4}{16\pi^2} \log\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2}\right) f^2 h^{\dagger} h$$
 (11)

• For $f \sim$ TeV and $\Lambda \sim \mu \sim$ TeV, we find $m_h \sim M_{
m weak} \sim 100$ GeV

Success!

- Note! This was only possible because we took $g_1 = g_2 \equiv g$ in the SU(3) gauge covariant derivatives:
 - This ensures that there is a single SU(3) symmetry in \mathcal{L} , rather than two independent ones (one for each ϕ) the latter case would reduce to our second attempt
 - That is, the breakdown of the *SU*(3) symmetry must be done *collectively*, rather than independently

- A more realistic model should feature Yukawa couplings, hypercharge and colour, as well as a Higgs potential with a quartic coupling
- Moreover, little Higgs models can be based on other symmetry breaking patterns, among which are SU(3)_L × SU(3)_R → SU(3)_V (Minimal Moose) and SU(5) → SO(5) (Littlest Higgs)

- The spectrum of new particles varies between models
- However, all of them predict at least one vector-like quark at the TeV scale, as well as extra gauge bosons (both vector and scalar)
- In particular, heavy SU(2) gauge bosons $(m_{SU(2)} \gtrsim 2 \text{ TeV})$ are predicted to be produced in pp collisions at the LHC, mostly via $q\bar{q}$ annihilation
- So far, no experimental evidence for a little Higgs has been discovered

- It is possible to find a naturally small mass term for the Higgs boson by modelling it as a pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone boson arising from the collective breakdown of an approximate global symmetry, thus solving the hierarchy problem
- However, no experimental evidence has yet been found for little Higgs models

- M. Schmaltz and D. Tucker-Smith, "LITTLE HIGGS THEORIES," Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 229–270, dec 2005. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1146%2Fannurev.nucl.55.090704.151502
- M. Perelstein, "Little higgs models and their phenomenology," *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 247–291, jan 2007. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.ppnp.2006.04.001

19 / 20

The End

<ロト < 四ト < 三ト < 三ト

3