

An enhanced gamma/hadron discrimination using next-generation water Cherenkov detectors powered by Machine Learning techniques

Borja S. González (LIP/IST)

Ruben Conceição (LIP/IST), Alberto Guillén (UGR), Mário Pimenta (LIP/IST), Bernardo Tomé (LIP/IST)

Contact: borjasg@lip.pt

Fourth Joint Workshop IGFAE / LIP Lisbon, Portugal, April 13th, 2023







Very high-energy gamma-rays

O Extremely energetic photons

- From few hundreds of GeV up to the PeVs
- They point to their production source
- Gamma-rays are related to some of the most extreme and energetic nonthermal events taking place in the Universe such as Gamma-ray Bursts (GRBs)
- Test the existence of new physics at fundamental scales beyond the standard model as for example Dark matter indirect searches



Indirect gamma-ray detection techniques



Source: https://www.swgo.org/

Indirect gamma-ray detection techniques



Source: https://www.swgo.org/

Current EAS arrays



Current EAS arrays



Southern Wide-field Gamma-ray Observatory: SWGO

~3-year R&D project to design and plan the next generation wide field-of-view gamma-ray able to survey and monitor the Southern sky

- Southern Wide-field Gamma-ray Observatory: SWGO
 - → Formed at July 1st 2019
 - → 14 Countries
 - → ~ 50 institutes
 - → More than 100 scientists
 - To be built in South America at a latitude between 10 and 30 degrees south.



The Southern Wide-field Gamma-ray Observatory





Source: https://www.swgo.org/

Energy range covered with SWGO



From a few hundreds of GeV to many tens of PeV.

Energy range covered with SWGO



From a few hundreds of GeV to many tens of PeV.

Energy range covered with SWGO



From a few hundreds of GeV to many tens of PeV.

Explore different array layout configurations



Possible WCD options



WCD design is essential for muon tagging and gamma/hadron discrimination

Possible WCD options



WCD design is essential for muon tagging and gamma/hadron discrimination

The Mercedes WCD



Dimensions optimised for Single Muon identification: Maximisation of the signal asymmetry.

ML model



A 1-dimensional Convolution Neural Network

provides the probability that a muon has passed through the WCD.

Build a quantity to evaluate the gamma/hadron discrimination power and the muon quantity in the shower.



Single station perfomance

 Build a quantity to evaluate the gamma/hadron discrimination power and the muon quantity in the shower.

 $n_{stations}$



Single station perfomance

 Build a quantity to evaluate the gamma/hadron discrimination power and the muon quantity in the shower.

 $n_{stations}$



Excellent gamma/hadron discrimination at E ~ 1 TeV $\frac{S}{\sqrt{B}}$ ~ 4 for S=0.8 (similar to HAWC)



Sensitive to the overall number of muons in the shower event Small bias and the method has a resolution of ~20%

Conclusions

- Studies at few TeV show that it is possible to perform an excellent muon tagging/counting using a small WCD with multiple PMTs provided that the analysis is performed using ML techniques.
 - → Excellent gamma/hadron discrimination using stations with 3 PMTs.
 - → The method works in vertical/inclined showers and compact/sparse.
 - → One of the candidate designs for SWGO.
- On-going work and future steps:
 - → Test the performance of the method up to \sim 60 TeV.
 - \rightarrow γ /h discrimination combining the WCD muon info with shower patterns.
 - → Optimisation studies to be conducted: WCD dimensions, array fill factor.

Thanks for your attention.

Acknowledgements: IDPASC PhD grant PRT/BD/151553/2021





SwcGo The Southern Wide-field Gamma-ray Observatory





An enhanced gamma/hadron discrimination using next-generation water Cherenkov detectors powered by Machine Learning techniques

Borja S. González (LIP/IST)

Ruben Conceição (LIP/IST), Alberto Guillén (UGR), Mário Pimenta (LIP/IST), Bernardo Tomé (LIP/IST)

Contact: borjasg@lip.pt

Fourth Joint Workshop IGFAE / LIP Lisbon, Portugal, April 13th, 2023







Reference configuration for SWGO



Fig. 1. Left: Reference Configuration layout. Right: zoom of the boundary between core array and outriggers.

Anticipated schedule for SWGO

Figure 5 illustrates the anticipated R&D project schedule in terms of expected dates for milestones to be met. In case of slippage, original dates are marked with a \rightarrow .

| Milestone | 2019 | | 2020 | | 2021 | | | 2022 | | | 2023 | | | 2024 | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|----|------|---------------|---------------|----|---------------|---------------|---------------|----|---------------|----|---------------|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Milestone | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| R&D Phase Plan | | M1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Science Benchmarks | | | M2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reference Configuration | | | | \rightarrow | M3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Site Shortlist Complete | | | | | \rightarrow | | | | | | M4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Candidate Configurations | | | | | | | \rightarrow | | | M5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Perf. of Candidates Evaluated | | | | | | | | \rightarrow | | | | | | M6 | | | | | | | |
| Preferred Site Identified | | | | | | | | | \rightarrow | | | | | | | M7 | | | | | |
| Design Finalised | | | | | | | | | | | \rightarrow | | | | | | | M8 | | | |
| CDR Ready | | | | | | | | | | | | | \rightarrow | | | | | | M9 | | |

Figure 5: Indicative schedule for the milestones of the R&D phase. An arrow indicates a shift from the originally indicated date. Those milestones in orange are complete as of this revision of the R&D Plan.

EAS vs IACTs

| | EAS-D | IACT | | | | |
|----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Duty-Cycle | High (≈100%) | Low (≈10-15%) | | | | |
| Field-of-View | Large (2 sr) | Small (4-5 deg) | | | | |
| Sensitivity | Good Sensitivity (5- 10% Crab flux) | High Sensitivity (< mCrab flux) | | | | |
| Maximum Energy | ~ PeV | <100 TeV | | | | |
| Energy Resolution | Modest (~30-40%) | Very Good (~15%) | | | | |
| Energy Threshold | High (~TeV) | Very Low (~10 GeV) | | | | |
| Angular resolution | Good (0.2-0.8 deg) | Excellent (≈0.05 deg) | | | | |
| Effective Area | decrease with zenith | increase with zenith | | | | |
| Background rejection | Good (~80%) | Excellent (>99%) | | | | |
| Zenith dependence | Very Strong ([cosə/]7) | Weak ([cos ϑ] ^{2.7}) | | | | |

Source: CTA & future astroparticle experiments. D. della Volpe. LHC days 2018.

Typical structure of a CNN



WCD with 4 photo-sensors

Approach using 4 PMTs

- Dimensions based on Single Muon identification.
 - No blind spots. →
 - SM seen at most by 2 PMTs. →
 - Maximisation of the signal asymmetry → to find muons.
- Taking a base diameter: 4 m \bigcirc
 - Height: 1.7 m. →
 - Distance of the PMTs to the center: → 1.5 m.
 - Less water. →
- White walls made of Tyvek.
 - Lower the energy threshold. →
 - Shower geometry reconstruction taken → from the direct Cherenkov light



Simulation (4 PMTs)

Simulations:

- Detector simulation using Geant4.
- → CORSIKA showers at 5200 m.
- → Proton: $E_0 \in [0.7,6]$ TeV.
- → Gammas: $E_0 \in [1, 1.6]$ TeV.
- Events with similar signal at the ground.
- → $\theta \in [5^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}]$ and $[25^{\circ}, 35^{\circ}]$.
- → Dense (FF=80%) and sparse (FF=30%) arrays covering an area of 80000 m².



Events with equivalent reconstructed energy ~ 1 TeV

ML model (4 PMTs)



Analyse signal to get the probability that a muon has passed through the WCD.

Inclined showers and sparse array



Performances nearly independent of the shower inclination

Stations in the sparse and dense array have the same performance.

 Build a quantity to evaluate the gamma/hadron discrimination power and the muon quantity in the shower.



Excellent gamma/hadron discrimination at E ~ 1 TeV S/sqrt(B) ~ 4 (similar to HAWC)



Sensitive to the overall number of muons in the shower event Small bias and the method has an intrinsic resolution of 2%

 $\hat{N}_{\mu} = 1.67 P_{\gamma h} - 3.22$