Probing CP couplings in $t\bar{t}x$ production at the Run3 of the LHC.



Supervised by: Prof. Dr. Antonio Onofre (University of Minho) Prof. Dr. Miguel Fiolhais (The City University of New York) Prof. Dr. Ricardo Gonçalo (University of Coimbra).

Motivation: CP-odd component of Higgs

Higgs coupling with SM particles $\mathcal{L} = -y_t \bar{t} (\kappa + i \gamma^5 \tilde{\kappa}) th$



Figure 1: Exclusion limits for Higgs bosons interactions associated with the top quark (right), Feynman diagram for the production of the Higgs boson in association with a pair of top quarks (left). (ATLAS col.) [1].

What can we say for the $t\bar{t}x$ case?

Spoiler alert: Dark Matter

Dark Matter models



Figure 2: Landscape for particle-like DM models (ATLAS col.) [2].

Simplified Dark Matter models (SDMm)

- Dark matter simplified models: around 5-7 parameters: $(g_q, g_\ell, g_\chi, \Gamma, M_X, M_{V,\phi}, \Lambda)$
- New observables has been introduced for the Higgs pseudoscalar component [3].

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_t \overline{t} (\kappa + i\gamma^5 \widetilde{\kappa}) t \phi \qquad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_t \gamma^\mu \overline{t} (\kappa + i\gamma^5 \widetilde{\kappa}) t \phi_\mu \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{1}{4\Lambda} [i\overline{t} (\gamma^\mu \partial_\nu + \gamma^\nu \partial_\mu) t - i(\gamma^\mu \partial_\nu \overline{t} + \gamma^\mu \partial_\nu \overline{t}) t] \phi_\mu^\nu \qquad (3)$$

Total cross-section can be decomposed:

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}\phi}^{T} = \sigma_{\text{CP-even}} \cos^{2}(\alpha) + \sigma_{\text{CP-odd}} \sin^{2}(\alpha) \quad \text{LO}$$
(4)

Parton level distributions are used to test observables such as:

$$b_{2} = \frac{\vec{p}_{t} \cdot \vec{p}_{\bar{t}}}{|\vec{p}_{t}||\vec{p}_{\bar{t}}|} \quad , \quad b_{3} = \frac{p_{t}^{x} p_{\bar{t}}^{x}}{|\vec{p}_{t}||\vec{p}_{\bar{t}}|} \quad , \quad b_{4} = \frac{p_{t}^{z} p_{\bar{t}}^{z}}{|\vec{p}_{t}||\vec{p}_{\bar{t}}|} \tag{5}$$

 $\mathcal{L} = -$

How do I simulated events with theses models?

MC simulation of DM models

However, for a fast Monte Carlo simulation (MC) pipeline for modeling DM passes by the following steps:

Events generation and parton level reconstruction

- Models: (i.e. UFO, DMsimp, etc.)
- Events generation: MadGraph
- Detector parameters Delphes
- Reconstruction of the kinematic variables (i.e. likelihood estimators) [4].

Confidence limits determination

- Input distributions for reconstructed variables.
- Transformation in number of events, including branching ratios.
- Addition of background previously estimated.
- Likelihood computation (varying parameters).
- Confidence regions.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}=b+s} = \prod_{i}^{N} \text{Pois}(N_i | s_i + b_i) P_n(\theta)$$
(6)

• Signal:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}=s} = \prod_{i}^{N} \text{Pois}(N_{i}|s_{i}) P_{n}(\theta)$$
(7)

Goal: Propose new observables for real measurements.

• Background + Signal:

Higgs CP-sensitive observables results



Figure 3: Events distributions for the b_2 (upper left), b_3 (upper middle), b_4 (upper right) in the laboratory frame, against the b_2 (lower left), b_3 (lower middle), b_4 (lower right) in the $t\bar{t}X$ reference frame for a SDMm with mediator mass of 1 GeV for several cases of CP and mediator spin.



Figure 4: Events distributions for the b_2 (upper left), b_3 (upper middle), b_4 (upper right) in the laboratory frame, against the b_2 (lower left), b_3 (lower middle), b_4 (lower right) in the $t\bar{t}X$ reference frame for a SDMm with mediator mass of 10 GeV for several cases of CP and mediator spin.



Figure 5: Events distributions for the b_2 (upper left), b_3 (upper middle), b_4 (upper right) in the laboratory frame, against the b_2 (lower left), b_3 (lower middle), b_4 (lower right) in the $t\bar{t}X$ reference frame for a SDMm with mediator mass of 100 GeV for several cases of CP and mediator spin.



Figure 6: Events distributions for the b_2 (upper left), b_3 (upper middle), b_4 (upper right) in the laboratory frame, against the b_2 (lower left), b_3 (lower middle), b_4 (lower right) in the $t\bar{t}X$ reference frame for a SDMm with mediator mass of 1000 GeV for several cases of CP and mediator spin.

MC simulation of DM models: CP-nature (LO)



Figure 7: Example of an exclusions limit for the HC-UFO at leading order. The particle mediator considered is spin 1 and limits are compute using the b_4 observable in the $t\bar{t}X$ frame after adding the SM background.

How useful are observables?

Machine Learning

Very much. ML method can be used to optimize a given observable (Kamenich et. al.: [5]):

$$loss(\alpha) = \left(\frac{mean(\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{X};\alpha))}{std(\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{X};\alpha))/\sqrt{N}}\right)^{-2},$$
(8)

$$\omega_6 \sim \left[\left(p_{\ell^-} \times p_{\ell^+} \right) \cdot \left(p_b + p_{\bar{b}} \right) \right] \left[\left(p_{\ell^-} - p_{\ell^+} \right) \cdot p_b + p_{\bar{b}} \right) \right] \tag{9}$$

$$\omega_{14} \sim [(p_{\ell^{-}} \times p_{\ell^{+}}) \cdot (p_{b} - p_{\bar{b}})] [(p_{b} - p_{\bar{b}}) \cdot (p_{\ell^{-}} - p_{\ell^{+}})]$$
(10)



Figure 8: Scanning for the loss function for a sample o Higgs events with coupling constants to quarks normalized to 1 $\kappa, \tilde{\kappa} = 1$ inside a artificial Neutral Network for the CP-odd observables ω_6 and ω_{14} .

(Esteban Chalbaud LIP-Coimbra)

Summary

What we have learned:

- It is possible to probe the CP components in the context of simplified Dark Matter models (LO).
- Monte Carlo simulation pipeline based in parton-level distribution is fully functional.
- Some of the Higgs related variables (i.e. b_2 , b_3 , b_4) show sensitivity for the simplified dark matter models mass and spin.
- Some observables are not really sensitive but still useful.
- New observables (ongoing work: stay tuned!)

What we will learn:

- Explore exclusion limits for NLO variables.
- Phenomenological studies in ATLAS.
- Classifying events from Backgrounds (ML).
- How to improve parameter space coverage (ML).
- Recovering the input given parameters by using regression algorithms (ML).

Thanks!

Backup

WIMP-like DM

WIMP-like (i.e., particle-like) DM production relies on one or more visible particles produced in association with the invisible DM candidate.

- Axions.
- Two-Higgs-doublet.
- Pseudoscalar/vector/tensor mediators to DM.
- Supersymmetry.
- Gravitinos, etc.



Figure 9: Allowed parameter space of the currently most popular dark matter candidates[6].

Many more complicated models!

Detection of DM

- Indirect detection: CTA, HESS, AMS, PAMELA.
- Direct detection: Zeplin, Xenon, LUX, CoGeNT, DAMA/LIBRA.
- Collision: FCC, LHC, 100-TeV collider.
- Astrophysical observation: Galaxy surveys.



Figure 10: Production of DM particles and possible detection methods [7].

Detection of DM



Figure 11: WIMP-nucleon spin-independent cross section limits for current DM direct detection experiments [8].

Direct detection: ATLAS



Figure 12: WIMP-nucleon scattering cross-section results compared with direct detection limits to date from Dark-Side-50, XENON1T and PandaX experiments [9].

Simplified Dark Matter models

Short description	Acronym	Symbol	J^P	Charge	Signatures (Sec. 4)
Vector/axial-vector mediator	V/AV	$Z_{\rm V}^\prime/Z_{\rm A}^\prime$	1 [∓]	×	jet/ $\gamma/W/Z + E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$, difermion resonance
Vector baryon-number-charged mediator	VBC	$Z'_{\rm B}$	1-	baryon-number	$h + E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$
Vector flavour-changing mediator	VFC	$Z'_{\rm VFC}$	1-	flavour	$tt, t + E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$
Scalar/pseudo-scalar mediator	S/PS	φla	0^{\pm}	×	$jet+E_{T}^{miss},\ t\bar{t}/b\bar{b}+E_{T}^{miss}$
Scalar colour-charged mediator	$SCC_{q/b/t}$	$\eta_{q/b/t}$	0+	colour, 2/3 electric-charge	$jet+E_{T}^{miss},$ $b+E_{T}^{miss},$ $t+E_{T}^{miss}$
Two-Higgs-doublet plus vector mediator	2 HDM+ Z'_V	$Z'_{ m V}$	1-	×	$h + E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$
Two-Higgs-doublet plus pseudo-scalar mediator	2HDM+a	а	0-	×	$ \begin{array}{c} W/Z/h + E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}, \\ t\bar{t}/b\bar{b} + E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}, \\ h({\rm inv}), t\bar{t}t\bar{t} \end{array} $
Dark energy	DE	$\phi_{ ext{DE}}$	0+	×	$jet+E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}, t\bar{t} +E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$

Figure 13: DM mediator-based simplified models considered by ATLAS col. [10]

Maximum likelihood estimators

Likelihood profiles are Poisson-like distributions for two different cases:

• Background + Signal:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}=b+s} = \prod_{i}^{N} \text{Pois}(N_{i}|s_{i} + b_{i})P_{n}(\theta) \quad (11)$$

• Signal:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}=s} = \prod_{i}^{N} \text{Pois}(N_{i}|s_{i}) P_{n}(\theta) \qquad (12)$$

Where N_i is the number of events in the i-bin, b_i is the background signal, s_i is the signal and $P_n(\theta)$ is the distribution for nuisance parameters.

Confidence level determination criteria:

$$CDF: Z = \Phi^{-1}(1-p)$$
 (13)



Figure 14: P-val determination from a distribution [11].

12/14

MC simulation of DM models (LO)

Constrain the couplings in the lagrangian for scalar mediators:



Figure 15: Exclusions limits for the HC-UFO at leading order for the spin 0 mediator in the total cross-section signal for b_4 distribution in the $t\bar{t}X$ frame in signal only case.

MC simulation of DM models (LO)

For axial/vector mediators:



Figure 16: Exclusions limits for the HC-UFO at leading order for the spin 1 mediator in the total cross-section signal for b_4 distribution in the $t\bar{t}X$ frame in signal only analysis.

MC simulation of DM models: CP-nature (LO)

Constraining the couplings in the Lagrangian for scalar mediators:



Figure 17: Exclusions limits for the HC-UFO at leading order for the spin 0 mediator in the total cross-section signal for b_4 distribution in the $t\bar{t}X$ frame in signal+SM background.

MC simulation of DM models

We can use parton level distributions for simplified Dark Matter models (i.e HC-UFO) [12]:



Figure 18: Normalized differential cross-section for the HC-UFO@LO for the b_4 observable in the $t\bar{t}\phi$ frame against the SM case for the same observable.

References I

- [1] ATLAS collaboration, CP Properties of Higgs Boson Interactions with Top Quarks in the $t\bar{t}H$ and tH Processes Using $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ with the ATLAS Detector, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125** (2020) 061802 [2004.04545].
- J. Abdallah et al., Simplified Models for Dark Matter Searches at the LHC, Phys. Dark Univ. 9-10 (2015) 8 [1506.03116].
- [3] E. Gouveia, R. Gonçalo, A. Onofre and D. Azevedo, Measuring the CP structure of the top Yukawa coupling in ttH events at the LHC, in 11th International Workshop on Top Quark Physics, 2, 2019, 1902.00298.
- [4] H. Casler, M. Manganel, M. C. N. Fiolhais, A. Ferroglia and A. Onofre, Reconstruction of top quark pair dilepton decays in electron-positron collisions, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 054011 [1902.01976].
- [5] B. Bortolato, J. F. Kamenik, N. Košnik and A. Smolkovič, Optimized probes of CP -odd effects in the tth process at hadron colliders, Nucl. Phys. B 964 (2021) 115328 [2006.13110].
- [6] I. de Martino, S. S. Chakrabarty, V. Cesare, A. Gallo, L. Ostorero and A. Diaferio, Dark matters on the scale of galaxies, Universe 6 (2020) 107 [2007.15539].
- [7] E. Bertoldo, Development of new cryogenic detectors to extend the physics reach of the CRESST experiment, Ph.D. thesis, Munich U., 2020. 10.5282/edoc.27305.

References II

- [8] L. Baudis, WIMP Dark Matter Direct-Detection Searches in Noble Gases, Phys. Dark Univ. 4 (2014) 50 [1408.4371].
- [9] ATLAS COLLABORATION collaboration, *Dark matter summary plots for s-channel and 2HDM+a models*, tech. rep., CERN, Geneva, Dec, 2021.
- [10] ATLAS collaboration, Constraints on mediator-based dark matter and scalar dark energy models using $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collision data collected by the ATLAS detector, JHEP **05** (2019) 142 [1903.01400].
- [11] ATLAS COLLABORATION collaboration, Overview of Dark Matter Searches by the ATLAS Experiment, .
- [12] C. Degrande, C. Duhr, B. Fuks, D. Grellscheid, O. Mattelaer and T. Reiter, UFO -The Universal FeynRules Output, Comput. Phys. Commun. 183 (2012) 1201 [1108.2040].