Phase-2 Tracking for ITk based on ACTS and Higgs self-coupling

Luis Falda Coelho, Noemi Calace, Ricardo Gonçalo

7th IDPASC/LIP PhD Students Workshop

Jul 7th, 2022

Supported by CERN doctoral grant



The Higgs Boson and Search for New Physics

- On July 2012, ATLAS and CMS announced the discovery of a scalar particle consistent with the Higgs boson
- 10 years later significant improvements in our knowledge of the Higgs properties, but still very little understanding of the shape of the Higgs potential

Determination of the Higgs potential has implications for a several fundamental phenomena:

- Nature of the EW phase transition in the early universe (which defines the viability of models of EW baryogenesis)
- Meta-stability of the EW vacuum
- **Constraints on BSM** (such as some inflation models that require H-gravity coupling)





7th IDPASC/LIP PhD Students Workshop

Electroweak Symmetry Breaking and Trilinear Coupling



SM Higgs mechanism demands a Higgs self-coupling

The High-Luminosity LHC

- SM HH cross-section is ~1000x smaller than single Higgs Ο production
- HL-LHC plans to collect **4000** fb⁻¹ 0
- Require excellent tracking performance despite **harsh pile-up** Ο environment
- The ATLAS detector will need significant improvements and Ο upgrades to cope with the HL-LHC integrated luminosity





2026 2028 2030 2032 2034 2036 2038 2040

2

1000

500

Λ

HL-LHC lumi profile

- The current Inner Detector (ID) will be completely replaced by an all-silicon Inner Tracker (ITk) with improved granularity and an extended track-reconstruction coverage up to $|\eta| < 4$.
- Tracking of charged particles is one of the the **most complex and CPU consuming** phases of event reconstruction
- Will become even greater computational challenge during HL-LHC:
 - Require more **efficient algorithms** that can provide excellent tracking performance
- Tracking is essential for high sensitivity on HH production:
 - Crucial for b-tagging efficiency important final states containing b quarks: $HH \rightarrow bbbb$, $HH \rightarrow bb\tau\tau$, $HH \rightarrow bb \&\&$
 - $\circ ~~ \text{Tau identification: HH} \rightarrow \text{bb}\tau\tau$
 - $\circ \quad \mbox{Good vertex definition and pile-up mitigation extending the} \\ track-to-vertex matching to the very-forward region in \eta \\ \mbox{}$





A Common Tracking Software (ACTS)

Experiment-independent toolkit for (charged) particle track reconstruction in HEP experiments [arXiv:2106.13593]

- \circ Implemented in modern C++17
- $\circ \quad \ \ {\rm Thread-safe \ for \ parallelization/vectorization}$
- Fully agnostic to detection technologies, detector design, and the event processing framework
- Highly customizable and extendable

ATLAS decided to extensively use ACTS software and profit of thread-safety and high-performing software during HL-LHC

Requires **optimization of ACTS for the ITk** track reconstruction in ATLAS!

Github: https://github.com/acts-project/acts



7th IDPASC/LIP PhD Students Workshop

Implementation of ITk Seeding in ACTS

- Implement ITk seeding algorithm developed in Athena (current ATLAS algorithm) in ACTS
- Port all **ITk optimizations** required to run in a high pile-up environment from Athena to ACTS
- Integrate into Athena and validate the performance
- Guarantee equivalent physics performance while significantly increasing
 CPU performance

The Seeding Algorithm:

- Starts by forming track seeds consisting of triplets of space-points (SP) in either Pixel or Strip detectors based on geometrical assumptions relative to the interaction point
- Apply geometric and compatibility cuts to reduce the number of potential seeds that may not lead to high quality tracks
- Apply a seed confirmation procedure to rank seeds based on a weight and reject the ones that do not satisfy the quality criteria



Implementation of ITk Seeding in ACTS

ITk seeding algorithm was implemented in ACTS and validated against the ATLAS algorithm:

- Comparing seed variables in every seeding stage, as well as reconstructed track parameters and tracking efficiency
- Excellent agreement for both Pixel and Strip seeds!
- CPU validation is still ongoing

Check out my poster for more information!





7th IDPASC/LIP PhD Students Workshop

Phase-2 Tracking for ITk based on ACTS and Higgs self-coupling

Luis Falda Coelho, Noemi Calace, Ricardo Gonçalo

7th IDPASC/LIP PhD Students Workshop

Jul 7th, 2022

Supported by CERN doctoral grant





HH Decay Channels

Trade-off between large branching ratio vs clean final state

$HH \rightarrow bbbb$:

- Largest branching fraction for SM Higgs
- Suffers from a large multi-jet background

$HH \rightarrow bb\gamma\gamma$:

- Excellent trigger and reconstruction efficiency of photons, and excellent invariant mass resolution
- Low branching fraction

$HH \rightarrow bb\tau\tau$:

- Compromise between rate and background contamination
- Yields stringent limits on HH production from an individual channel

	bb	ww	ττ	ZZ	ΥY
bb	34%				
ww	25%	4.6%			
ττ	7.3%	2.7%	0.39%		
ZZ	3.1%	1.1%	0.33%	0.069%	
ΥY	0.26%	0.10%	0.028%	0.012%	0.0005%

HH Production at the LHC

HH cross-section is ~1000x smaller than single Higgs production

Gluon Fusion (ggF) $\rightarrow \sigma_{ggF}(pp \rightarrow HH) = 31.05 \text{ fb}$



Vector Boson Fusion (VBF) $\rightarrow \sigma_{VBF}(pp \rightarrow HH) = 1.73 \text{ fb}$





HH Production at the LHC





원통 0.12

0.

-ib

 $q q \rightarrow H H$

ATLAS Simulation Preliminar

13/27

κ_λ=0

– κ_λ=1

Run 2 Improvements - Flavour Tagging

- Good b-jet Identification is critical for most HH analysis
- Exploit relatively long lifetimes of b-hadrons and b-decay displaced from interaction point
- Several correlated variables are combined in multivariate discriminant
- Multi-dimensional outputs **P(light)**, **P(c-jet)**, **P(b-jet)** corresponding to the probability of a jet to be classified as a **b-jet**, **c-jet** or a **light-flavor jet**

MV2 (BDT) from 2015+2016 replaced by a new Deep feed-forward neural network (DL1R) using same inputs

All HH analyses moved to a higher efficiency working point

b-jet tagging efficiency increased $70\% \rightarrow 77\%$



Run 2 Improvements - Tau Identification

- $\circ \quad \text{Important for HH} \rightarrow bb\tau\tau \text{ analysis}$
- \circ ~ Short decay length, typically decay before they reach the Inner Detector
- \circ ~ Only the decay products of the tau leptons can be observed
- Mostly decays hadronicaly (65%)
- Almost all hadronic tau lepton decays include one (72%) or three (22%)
 charged pions and the majority (68%) include one or more neutral pions
- $\circ \quad {\rm Novel \ algorithm \ to \ identify \ decay \ products \ of \ hadronic \ tau \ decays}$
- \circ ~ RNN exploit the physical order of the reconstruction chain
- Employing information from reconstructed charged-particle tracks and clusters of energy in the calorimeter associated to tau candidates as well as high-level discriminating variables

New recurrent neural network (RNN) with factor 2 performance improvement compared to BDT (from 2015 + 2016)



True $\tau_{had-vis}$ efficiency 5/27