# Jetography in Heavy Ion Collisions

André Cordeiro LIP-Pheno

**Supervisors:** Liliana Apolinário (LIP/IST) Guilherme Milhano (LIP/IST) Néstor Armesto (USC)

7<sup>th</sup> IDPASC/LIP Students Workshop Coimbra, July 2022



### Why we study heavy ion collisions

- Quantum matter in extreme conditions: explore the QCD phase diagram
- **Collectivity:** emergent behaviour from fundamental d.o.f.
- **Cosmology:** the QGP filled the early universe



### Why we study heavy ion collisions

- Quantum matter in extreme conditions: explore the QCD phase diagram
- **Collectivity:** emergent behaviour from fundamental d.o.f.
- **Cosmology:** the QGP filled the early universe





# Why we study heavy ion collisions

- Quantum matter in extreme conditions: explore the QCD phase diagram
- **Collectivity:** emergent behaviour from fundamental d.o.f.
- **Cosmology:** the QGP filled the early universe





Main Challenge: the QGP is extremely short lived (10<sup>-24</sup> s)

**Solution:** Probe it with (high-momentum) particles produced concurrently with the collision!

Particles created during the collision radiate until

the hadronisation scale: This is a multi-scale object!



Particles created during the collision radiate until

the hadronisation scale: This is a multi-scale object!



In-medium cascades encode the QGP evolution!

Particles created during the collision radiate until

the hadronisation scale: This is a multi-scale object!



In-medium cascades encode the QGP evolution!

Decreasing Energy Scale

#### **Experimental access is restricted to**

#### final state hadrons

Particles created during the collision radiate until

the hadronisation scale: This is a multi-scale object!



In-medium cascades encode the QGP evolution!

#### **Experimental access is restricted to**

#### final state hadrons



Particles created during the collision radiate until

the hadronisation scale: This is a multi-scale object!



In-medium cascades encode the QGP evolution!

Decreasing Energy Scale

**Experimental access is restricted to** 

#### final state hadrons

We begin by focusing on the iets



Sequential algorithms: minimise distance between pairs

• Use the generalised-k<sub>T</sub> measure:

$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{t,i}^{2p}, p_{t,j}^{2p}) \frac{\Delta R_{ij}^2}{R^2} \qquad \Delta R^2 = \Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \phi^2$$



Sequential algorithms: minimise distance between pairs

• Use the generalised-k<sub>T</sub> measure:

$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{t,i}^{2p}, p_{t,j}^{2p}) \frac{\Delta R_{ij}^2}{R^2} \qquad \Delta R^2 = \Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \phi^2$$

• Different choices available:

p = -1 Anti-k<sub>T</sub> : Tags hard partons

p=0 Cambridge/Aachen (C/A) : Angular ordering



Sequential algorithms: minimise distance between pairs

• Use the generalised- $k_T$  measure:

$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{t,i}^{2p}, p_{t,j}^{2p}) \frac{\Delta R_{ij}^2}{R^2} \qquad \Delta R^2 = \Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \phi^2$$

• Different choices available:

$$p = -1$$
 Anti- $k_T$ : Tags hard partons

p=0 Cambridge/Aachen (C/A) : Angular ordering

• A different choice:

$$p = 0.5 \Rightarrow d_{ij} \sim p_{t,i} \frac{\Delta R_{ij}^2}{R^2} \sim p_{t,i} \theta^2 \sim \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm form}}$$



Sequential algorithms: minimise distance between pairs

• Use the generalised-k<sub>T</sub> measure:

$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{t,i}^{2p}, p_{t,j}^{2p}) \frac{\Delta R_{ij}^2}{R^2} \qquad \Delta R^2 = \Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \phi^2$$

• Different choices available:

$$p = -1$$
 Anti- $k_T$ : Tags hard partons

p=0 Cambridge/Aachen (C/A) : Angular ordering

• A different choice:

$$p = 0.5 \ \Rightarrow \ d_{ij} \sim p_{t,i} \frac{\Delta R_{ij}^2}{R^2} \sim p_{t,i} \theta^2 \sim \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm form}}$$

**Clusters jets according to splitting formation time!** 

This is the τ algorithm



- Consider the jet formation time  $(1^{st} splitting)$ :
  - Harder fragmentation means longer  $\tau$ .
  - Medium sample biased towards larger times.

0.18 0.16 (1/N dN/drog (1/10 0.06 0.04 0.02 0<u></u>6



- Consider the jet formation time  $(1^{st} splitting)$ :
  - Harder fragmentation means longer  $\tau$ .
  - Medium sample biased towards larger times.
- We can select two jet populations:
  - "Early" jets :  $\tau < 1$  fm/c (strongly modified)
  - "Late" jets :  $\tau > 3$  fm/c (weakly modified)

0.16 1/N 0.06 0.04 0.02



- Consider the jet formation time (1<sup>st</sup> splitting):
  - Harder fragmentation means longer  $\tau$ .
  - Medium sample biased towards larger times.
- We can select two jet populations:
  - "Early" jets :  $\tau < 1$  fm/c (strongly modified)
  - "Late" jets :  $\tau > 3$  fm/c (weakly modified)

•  $R_{AA} \approx 1$  (almost no suppression)

$$R_{\rm AA}(p_t) = \frac{1/N_{\rm evt}^{\rm AA} \ {\rm d}N_{\rm jets}^{\rm AA}/{\rm d}p_t}{1/N_{\rm evt}^{\rm pp} \ {\rm d}N_{\rm jets}^{\rm pp}/{\rm d}p_t}$$



- Consider the jet formation time (1<sup>st</sup> splitting):
  - Harder fragmentation means longer  $\tau$ .
  - Medium sample biased towards larger times.
- We can select two jet populations:
  - "Early" jets :  $\tau < 1$  fm/c (strongly modified)
  - "Late" jets :  $\tau > 3$  fm/c (weakly modified)

•  $R_{AA} \approx 1$  (almost no suppression)

A jet quenching classifier: Important step towards a tomographic analysis of the QGP!

#### [Apolinário, Cordeiro, Zapp :: EPJC 81, 561 (2021)]



R<sup>leading</sup> jet AA



# Next, consider the parton cascade



The building blocks

# Next, consider the parton cascade



[On-going work...]



The gluon emission spectrum: 

$$\omega \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{k}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{(2\pi)^2 \omega^2} \ 2\mathrm{Re} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t' \int_0^{t'} \mathrm{d}t \int_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{q} \ \mathcal{K}(t',\boldsymbol{q};t,\boldsymbol{p}) \ \mathcal{P}$$



[On-going work...]



The gluon emission spectrum: 

$$\omega \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{k}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{(2\pi)^2 \omega^2} \ 2\mathrm{Re} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t' \int_0^{t'} \mathrm{d}t \int_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{q} \ \mathcal{K}(t',\boldsymbol{q};t,\boldsymbol{p}) \ \mathcal{P}$$

**Emission kernel : During the gluon formation** 



[On-going work...]



The gluon emission spectrum: 

$$\omega \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{k}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{(2\pi)^2 \omega^2} \ 2\mathrm{Re} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t' \int_0^{t'} \mathrm{d}t \int_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{q} \ \mathcal{K}(t',\boldsymbol{q};t,\boldsymbol{p}) \ \mathcal{P}$$

- **Emission kernel : During the gluon formation**
- **Broadening factor: After gluon emission**



[On-going work...]



The gluon emission spectrum: 

$$\omega \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{k}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{(2\pi)^2 \omega^2} \ 2\mathrm{Re} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t' \int_0^{t'} \mathrm{d}t \int_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{q} \ \mathcal{K}(t',\boldsymbol{q};t,\boldsymbol{p}) \ \mathcal{P}$$

- **Emission kernel : During the gluon formation**
- **Broadening factor: After gluon emission**
- **Assumptions:** 
  - Time independent scattering potentials
  - No momentum broadening for the quark (eikonal limit)



[On-going work...]



The gluon emission spectrum:

$$\omega \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{k}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{(2\pi)^2 \omega^2} \ 2\mathrm{Re} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t' \int_0^{t'} \mathrm{d}t \int_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{q} \ \mathcal{K}(t',\boldsymbol{q};t,\boldsymbol{p}) \ \mathcal{P}$$

- **Emission kernel : During the gluon formation**
- **Broadening factor: After gluon emission**
- **Assumptions:** 
  - Time independent scattering potentials
  - No momentum broadening for the quark (eikonal limit)

Lifting these restrictions is a crucial step in improving our theoretical description of in-medium cascades



[On-going work...]



### Summary

- Heavy ion collisions are the perfect laboratory to study the Quark-Gluon Plasma.
  - The QGP is a hot, dense state of matter exhibiting collective behaviour.
  - Jets are <u>multi-scale objects</u> encoding the time-evolution of the QGP.

# b study the Quark-Gluon Plasma.

## Summary

- Heavy ion collisions are the perfect laboratory to study the Quark-Gluon Plasma.
  - The QGP is a hot, dense state of matter exhibiting collective behaviour.
  - Jets are <u>multi-scale objects</u> encoding the time-evolution of the QGP.
- By carefully clustering jets, we can estimate their formation times!
  - This is a <u>quenching classifier</u> an important step towards QGP tomography!

# Summary

- Heavy ion collisions are the perfect laboratory to study the Quark-Gluon Plasma.
  - The QGP is a hot, dense state of matter exhibiting collective behaviour.
  - Jets are <u>multi-scale objects</u> encoding the time-evolution of the QGP.
- By carefully clustering jets, we can estimate their formation times!
  - This is a <u>quenching classifier</u> an important step towards QGP tomography!
- The theoretical description of in-medium parton cascades must be extended:
  - To time-dependent media.
  - Beyond the soft limit.



#### **Jet-Shower Correlation**

C/A: Unclustering vs Parton Shower, 1st Emission



**Diagonal:** True Correlation Vertical Band: Emissions outside jet cone

**τ: Unclustering vs Parton Shower, 1st Emission** 

#### **Jet-Shower Correlation**



**τ: Unclustering vs Parton Shower, 1st Emission** 

#### Jet-Shower Correlation - Groomed jets [Apolinário, Cordeiro, Zapp :: EPJC 81, 561 (2021)]

C/A: Unclustering vs Parton Shower, 1st Emission



Jet grooming improves the correlation considerably!

**τ: Unclustering vs Parton Shower, 1st Emission** 

# $\tau$ algorithm: Estimating $\tau_{\text{form}}$

• Correlation between parton shower and unclustering:

$$\Delta \tau_{\rm form} = \tau_{\rm form}^{\rm Parton \ Shower} - \tau_{\rm form}^{\rm Unclustering}$$

## $\tau$ algorithm: Estimating $\tau_{\text{form}}$

• Correlation between parton shower and unclustering:



Characterise it by the median and quartiles

$$\Delta \tau_{\rm form} = \tau_{\rm form}^{\rm Parton \ Shower} - \tau_{\rm form}^{\rm Unclustering}$$

# $\tau$ algorithm: Estimating $\tau_{form}$



Characterise it by the median and quartiles

# $\tau$ algorithm: Estimating $\tau_{form}$



Characterise it by the median and quartiles

[Apolinário, Cordeiro, Zapp :: EPJC 81, 561 (2021)]

#### An unbiased estimator of formation time!

# $\Delta \tau_{form}$ : Vacuum vs Medium samples



[Apolinário, Cordeiro, Zapp :: EPJC 81, 561 (2021)]

14

#### **Dyson-Schwinger equations**

$$\omega \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{k}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{(2\pi)^2 \omega^2} \ 2\mathrm{Re} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t' \int_0^{t'} \mathrm{d}t \int_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}} \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{q} \ \mathcal{K}(t',\boldsymbol{q};t,\boldsymbol{p}) \ \mathcal{P}$$

- Emission kernel (complicates numerical treatment)  $\mathcal{K}(t', \boldsymbol{q}; t, \boldsymbol{p}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}} e^{-i(\boldsymbol{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{p})} \int_{\boldsymbol{r}(t) = \boldsymbol{x}}^{\boldsymbol{r}(t') = \boldsymbol{y}} \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{r}(t) \exp\left\{\int_{t}^{t'} \mathrm{d}s \left(\frac{i\omega}{2}\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}^2 - \frac{1}{2}n(s)\sigma(\boldsymbol{r})\right)\right\}$
- Broadening factor

$$\mathcal{P}(t'', \boldsymbol{k}; t, \boldsymbol{q}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{z}} e^{-i\boldsymbol{z}\cdot(\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{p})} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t'}^{t''} \mathrm{d}s \, n(s)\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{r})\right\}$$

• Evaluation can be simplified by using Dyson-Schwinger type equations:

$$\mathcal{K}(t', \boldsymbol{q}; t, \boldsymbol{p}) = (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{p}) e^{-i\frac{\boldsymbol{p}^2}{2\omega}(t'-t)} - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t'} \mathrm{d}s \, n(s) \int_{\boldsymbol{k'}} \sigma(\boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{k'}) \sigma(\boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{k'})$$
$$\mathcal{P}(t'', \boldsymbol{k}; t', \boldsymbol{q}) = (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{q}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t'}^{t''} \mathrm{d}s \, n(s) \int_{\boldsymbol{k'}} \sigma(\boldsymbol{k'} - \boldsymbol{q}) \mathcal{P}(t'', \boldsymbol{k}; s, \boldsymbol{k'})$$



[Andrés, Apolinário, Dominguez :: JHEP 2020, 114 (2020)]