# Neutrinoless double beta decays with SNO+

# Gersende Prior (LIP) on behalf of the SNO+ Collaboration

# LIP seminar – July 3<sup>rd</sup> 2014





LABORATÓRIO DE INSTRUMENTAÇÃO E FÍSICA EXPERIMENTAL DE PARTICULAS

SNQ



## Outline

- 1. Introduction: neutrino properties and today's questions
- 2. Neutrinoless double beta decay
- 3. The SNO+ experiment
- physics goals
- detector description
- calibration and deployment systems

Recent SNO+ presentations by LIP members:

- J. Maneira (IDPASC2014)
- S. Andringa (Jornadas do LIP 2014)
- A. Maio (CALOR2014)
- L. Seabra (CALOR2014)
- backgrounds and sensitivity to neutrinoless double beta decay
- 4. Status
- LIP activities and experiment status
- run plan

### **Introduction: neutrino properties and today's questions**

- Neutrinos in the Standard Model are
  - neutral leptons present in three flavours ( $v_e$ ,  $v_{\mu}$ ,  $v_{\tau}$ )
  - with no charge and no mass
  - interacting via the weak interactions
- Results (among the many) from solar v experiments
  - Y. Fukuda et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 Number 6 page 1158 (1998)

The first results of the solar neutrino flux measurement from Super-Kamiokande are presented. The results shown here are obtained from data taken between 31 May 1996, and 23 June 1997. Using our measurement of recoil electrons with energies above 6.5 MeV, we infer the total flux of <sup>8</sup>B solar neutrinos to be  $2.42 \pm 0.06(\text{stat})^{+0.10}_{-0.07}(\text{syst}) \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . This result is consistent with the Kamiokande measurement and is 36% of the flux predicted by the BP95 solar model. The flux is also measured in 1.5 month subsets and shown to be consistent with a constant rate. [S0031-9007(98)06804-5]



Less solar (v<sub>e</sub>) neutrinos measured than predicted by the Standard Solar Model (SSM)

• Q.R. Ahmad et al. (SNO), Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 011301 (2001)

Observations of neutral-current  $\nu$  interactions on deuterium in the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory are reported. Using the neutral current (NC), elastic scattering, and charged current reactions and assuming the standard <sup>8</sup>B shape, the  $\nu_e$ component of the <sup>8</sup>B solar flux is  $\varphi_e = 1.76^{+0.05}_{-0.05} (\text{stat})^{+0.09}_{-0.09} (\text{syst}) \times 10^6 \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  for a kinetic energy threshold of 5 MeV. The non-  $\nu_e$  component is  $\varphi_{\mu\tau} = 3.41^{+0.45}_{-0.45} (\text{stat})^{+0.48}_{-0.45} (\text{syst}) \times 10^6 \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , 5.3 $\sigma$  greater than zero, providing strong evidence for solar  $\nu_e$  flavor transformation. The total flux measured with the NC reaction is  $\varphi_{NC} = 5.09^{+0.44}_{-0.43} (\text{stat})^{+0.46}_{-0.43} (\text{syst}) \times 10^6 \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , consistent with solar models. Neutrinos change flavo

Total v flux (all flavours) consistent with the SSM

Neutrinos change flavour on their way from Sun to Earth and therefore have a mass !

#### Juy 3rd 2014

#### LIP seminar

## Introduction: neutrino properties and today's questions

• Weak eigenstates versus mass eigenstates (PMNS matrix)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{e} \\ \mathbf{v}_{\mu} \\ \mathbf{v}_{\tau} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{12}\mathbf{c}_{13} & \mathbf{s}_{12}\mathbf{c}_{13} & \mathbf{s}_{13}\mathbf{e}^{i\delta} \\ -\mathbf{s}_{12}\mathbf{c}_{23} - \mathbf{c}_{12}\mathbf{s}_{23}\mathbf{s}_{13}\mathbf{e}^{i\delta} & \mathbf{c}_{12}\mathbf{c}_{23} - \mathbf{s}_{12}\mathbf{s}_{23}\mathbf{s}_{13}\mathbf{e}^{i\delta} & \mathbf{s}_{23}\mathbf{c}_{13} \\ \mathbf{s}_{12}\mathbf{s}_{23} - \mathbf{c}_{12}\mathbf{c}_{23}\mathbf{s}_{13}\mathbf{e}^{i\delta} & -\mathbf{c}_{12}\mathbf{s}_{23} - \mathbf{s}_{12}\mathbf{c}_{23}\mathbf{s}_{13}\mathbf{e}^{i\delta} & \mathbf{c}_{23}\mathbf{c}_{13} \\ \mathbf{v}_{\tau} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}^{i\alpha 1/2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}^{i\alpha 2/2} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{1} \\ \mathbf{v}_{2} \\ \mathbf{v}_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $c_{ij} = \cos(\theta_{ij})$  and  $s_{ij} = \sin(\theta_{ij})$  CP violation occurs in the quark sector, it is natural to expect a similar situation in the lepton sector 3 mixing angles  $\theta_{ij}$ , 1 CP violation phase  $\delta$ , 2 Majorana phases  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ 

• Oscillation probability (in vacuum)

 $P(\mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sum \Re \left( W_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \right) \cdot \sin^{2} \left( \Delta m_{ij}^{2} \cdot L/4E \right) + 2\sum \Im W_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \cdot \sin^{2} \left( \Delta m_{ij}^{2} \cdot L/2E \right)$ with  $\Delta m_{ij}^{2} = m_{i}^{2} - m_{j}^{2}$  and  $W_{ij}^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} = U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^{*}$  ( $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$  Kronecker symbol)

2 squared mass difference  $\Delta^2 m_{ij}$ 

Oscillation probability depends on the neutrino travel length (L) and energy (E)

## Introduction: neutrino properties and today's questions

- Mixing angles
  - $\theta_{12} \sim 34 \circ (solar)$
  - $\theta_{23} \sim 40^{\circ}$  (atmospherics)
  - $\theta_{13} \sim 9^{\circ}$  (short baseline reactors)
- Squared mass differences
  - $|\Delta m^2_{23}| \sim 2.4 \text{ x } 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$  (accelerators)
  - $\Delta m_{12}^2 \sim 7.5 \text{ x } 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$  (long baseline reactors)

Neutrinos are Majorana (being equal to its own anti-particle) or Dirac particles ?

What is the neutrino absolute mass?

 $0\nu\beta\beta$  experiments will explore those three questions

Mass hierarchy: Normal or Inverted ?





#### Juy 3rd 2014

• Double-beta decays  $(2\nu\beta\beta)$ 

 $\beta$ - $\beta$ -: X(A,Z)  $\rightarrow$  Y(A,Z+2) + e<sup>-</sup> + e<sup>-</sup> +  $\overline{\nu}_e$  +  $\overline{\nu}_e$ 

usually even-Z, even-A nuclei for which the single beta decay is forbidden or highly suppressed 35 naturally occuring isotopes can decay via  $\beta$ - $\beta$ - emission Observed in 11

 $\beta^{+}\beta^{+}: X(A,Z) \to Y(A,Z-2) + \nu_{e} + \nu_{e} + \begin{vmatrix} 2e^{-} \text{ capture} \\ e^{-} \text{ capture} + e^{+} \text{ emission} \\ 2e^{+} \text{ emission} \end{vmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ p \\ c \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $\beta+\beta+$  theoretically predicted but not yet observed

• Neutrinoless double-beta decays  $(0\nu\beta\beta)$ 



If the neutrino is a Majorana particle and one of the neutrinos has a nonzero mass then  $0\nu\beta\beta$  can exist. In that case the lepton number is violated

- Decay rate
   Nuclear matrix elements (NME)
   Phase space factor
  - $\Gamma^{0\nu} = (T^{0\nu}_{1/2})^{-1} = G_{0\nu}(Q_{\beta\beta}, Z) \cdot |M_{0\nu}|^2 \cdot \langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle^{2/m_e^2}$
- NME calculations

 $< m_{\beta\beta} > = \Sigma m_i . U_{ei}^2$ effective Majorana mass

 $0\nu\beta\beta$  experiments measure or set a limit to  $T^{0\nu\beta\beta}_{1/2} \sim 10^{25}$  yr

- J. Barea et al. Phys. Rev. C 87 014315, (2013)



NME models calculations not always in agreement or, for some nucleus, not calculated by all models.

IBM-2 microscopic interacting boson model QPRA-Tü quasi-particle random phase approximation ISM interacting shell model





$$T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \propto \frac{1}{n_{\sigma}} \cdot \left(\frac{\epsilon \cdot a}{W}\right) \sqrt{\left(\frac{M \cdot t}{b \cdot \Delta(E)}\right)}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} n_{\sigma} \text{ number of standard deviation} \\ \epsilon \text{ detection efficiency} \\ a \text{ isotopic abundance} \\ W \text{ molecular weight of the material} \\ M \text{ total mass of the source} \\ \Delta(E) \text{ spectral width of the energy} \\ b \text{ background rate in count/(keV.kg.yr)} \end{array}$ 



The Telegraph, animal camouflage gallery

- The choice of the isotope depends on
  - NME knowledge/accuracy
  - Q<sub>ββ</sub>
  - Isotope natural abundance (small detectors or less enrichment)
  - World availability (production and costs)
  - Ratio to the "irreducible"  $(2\nu\beta\beta)$  background
  - Other backgrounds presents in the ROI (Region of Interest) such as U/Th, solar neutrinos,...



World production rates/cost <sup>130</sup>Te - 150 tons/year - 1000 \$/kg <sup>136</sup>Xe - 50 tons/year - 360 \$/kg



- The choice of detector technology depends on:
  - detector material cleaniness and shielding requirements
  - achievable energy resolution in the ROI
  - ability to scale the detector up
  - choice of isotope (crystal arrays versus HPG or liquids)
  - ability to change isotope
  - tracking ability (if source  $\neq$  detector)





Semi-conductors: MAJORANA/GERDA HP <sup>76</sup>Ge modules ~30 kg isotope mass



Liquid scintillators: KamLAND-Zen/SNO+ <sup>136</sup>Xe ~300 kg isotope mass <sup>130</sup>Te ~800 kg isotope mass



Bolometers: CUORE TeO<sub>2</sub> array ~700 kg isotope mass



TPC: EXO-200 <sup>136</sup>Xe ~ 200 kg isotope mass

#### Juy 3rd 2014

#### LIP seminar

## Neutrinoless double beta decay: liquid scintillator

- Large mass
  - large volume detectors, so large mass possible even at small loading
- Low background
  - intrinsic radiopurity very good

- SNO+ has the highest isotope mass (ton-scale)
- rejection of external background via fiducial volume cuts
- purification of cosmogenically activated contaminants
- for  $Q_{\beta\beta} > 2$  MeV many backgrounds can be identified with delayed coincidence tagging
- Poor energy resolution
  - harder to distinguish background  $\gamma$  lines
  - higher background from  $2\nu\beta\beta$  spectrum
- Background from solar neutrinos
  - detector mass larger than isotope mass

<sup>130</sup>Te highest  $0\nu\beta\beta/2\nu\beta\beta$  ratio

R. Raghavan, Borexino yellow book (1991), PRL72, 10 (1994)

### • Where do we stand



Claim (--X--) from part of the Heidelberg-Moscow Collaboration:  $T^{0v}_{1/2}$  (<sup>76</sup>Ge) = 2.23 x 10<sup>25</sup> yr Corresponds to m<sub> $\beta\beta$ </sub> ~ 0.32 eV [H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus et al. Mod. Phys. Lett. A 21 **20** p 1547 (2006)]

Lower limit from <sup>136</sup>Xe (EXO-200):  $T^{0v}_{1/2}$  (<sup>136</sup>Xe) > 1.6 x 10<sup>25</sup> yr Corresponds to m<sub> $\beta\beta$ </sub> < 140-380 meV [M. Auger et al. PRL 109 032505 (2012)]

Experiments expect to reach the top of the inverted mass region by  $\sim 2018$ 

### The SNO+ experiment: purpose and phases

- SNO+ physics goals
  - search for Majorana neutrinos
  - anti-v from reactors
    (3 nuclear plants @240-350 km)
  - geoneutrinos
  - solar v (pep,CNO, low-E <sup>8</sup>B)
  - supernova neutrinos (SNEWS)
  - exotics (e.g. nucleon decay)
- SNO+ phases
  - water phase
  - liquid scintillator phase (LS)
  - isotope loaded liquid scintillator phases at different loading concentrations (starting at 0.3%)





Neutrino Energy in MeV

Juy 3rd 2014

10

### **The SNO+ experiment: detector**

• The SNO+ detector

SNO heavy water replaced by 780 tons of LS

New readout cards

New hold-down rope system

New DAQ system

New calibration systems



SNO operator

7 kt ultra pure water shield

~9500 PMTs (54% coverage) ~17 m diam. structure

12 m diam.5 cm thicknessacrylic vessel (AV)

Creighton mine, Sudbury, ON (Canada), 2 km (6000 m.w.e) depth

LIP seminar

### The SNO+ experiment: isotope loading options

- Initially explored <sup>150</sup>Nd
  - natural abundance 5.6%
  - $T^{2\nu\beta\beta}_{1/2} = 6.7 x 10^{18} yr$
  - $Q_{\beta\beta} = 3.37 \text{ MeV}$
  - light yield  $\sim$ 8400  $\gamma$ /MeV (0.5% Nd)
- New isotope <sup>130</sup>Te: advantages
  - higher natural abundance (34.08 %)
  - higher half-life  $T^{2\nu\beta\beta}_{1/2} = 7.9 \times 10^{20} \text{ yr}$
  - higher light yield ~9400  $\gamma$ /MeV (0.5% Te)
  - $2\nu\beta\beta$  rate ~100 lower than of <sup>150</sup>Nd
  - no inherent optical absorption lines
- New isotope <sup>130</sup>Te: constraints
  - lower end-point ( $Q_{\beta\beta} = 2.53 \text{ MeV}$ )

R&D on water-based liquid scintillators led to the choice of <sup>130</sup>Te (2013)



## The SNO+ experiment: liquid scintillator + <sup>130</sup>Te

 $H_3C(CH_2)_x$ 

- Liquid Scintillator
  - solvent Linear Alkylbenzene (LAB) -
  - fluor 2 g/l 2,5 diphenyloxazole (PPO)
- <sup>130</sup>Te loading
  - new technique by dissolving telluric acid (H<sub>6</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Te) in water
  - combine with LAB with the help of a surfactant
  - attenuation length changing as the concentration increases (will be measured)
  - $\Leftrightarrow$  Chemically compatible with acrylic
  - $\Leftrightarrow$  High radiopurity
  - $\Leftrightarrow$  Good optical properties (attenuation length > 10 m at 0.3%)
  - $\Leftrightarrow$  Safe: low toxicity and high flashpoint (140°C)
  - $\Leftrightarrow$  Stability > 1 yr explicitly demonstrated at 0.3% loading.

Higher loading (~3%) under study



M. Yeh et al. (BNL)



### The SNO+ experiment: AV and rope system

- SNO rope system (hold-up)
  - during SNO the AV was negatively buoyant
  - suspension ropes were enough to "hang" the AV
- SNO+ rope system (hold-down net)
  - 130 T added buoyancy due to LS
  - installation of an hold-down rope net
  - turnbuckle/loadcell anchors installed at the cavity bottom



Float-the-boat procedure under final review



**Float-the-boat**: tension the hold-down net to 284,000 lb (total load LS) by floating the AV filled to the equator in cavity water, and to hold the tension there for ~2 weeks. A "partial float-the-boat" will occur at the AV bottom (applying a 80,000 lb load to the rope net) to confirms the hold-down turnbuckles adjustment. The rope tension will be monitored during the procedure.

### **The SNO+ experiment: detection system**

- SNO+ Photomultipliers
  - 9522 20 cm (8") PMTs (Hamamatsu R1408) operating at ~ 2000 V
  - 850 PMTs with short circuits in the base electronics or other failures
- Repair campaign
  - dark box tests: resistance+capacitance, signal quality at operational voltage, monitoring PMT + concentrator (damaged petals) of breakdown while ramping voltage up
  - base dis-assembly and circuit board replacement
  - base re-assembly and test in dark box
  - PMT cleanup rinsing and bagging





150 PMT removed
for repair (top)
480 PMT remaining
to be replaced
220 PMT repaired
and replaced (bottom)
Failures: 10% tubes
90% base electronics





## The SNO+ experiment: DAQ and software

- System overview
  - 9728 channels (19 crates, 16 Front End Cards per crate, 32 Channels per FEC)
  - Data for each event (trigger types, trigger ID number, clocks, digitized trigger pulses, hit information)
  - Data for each hit (integrated charges, hit times, status tags)
- Upgrades
  - need to accommodate for the increased data rate
    - SNO solar neutrino average  $\sim 40$  PMTs illuminated
    - SNO+  $2\nu\beta\beta$  average ~1500 PMTs illuminated
    - backgrounds rate of several Hz
  - SNO software aging or incompatible with SNO+ needs
    - new DAQ software (SHaRC became ORCA)
    - new database (old SNO was based on HEPDB)
    - new monitoring tools (couchDB and webpage)
    - new slow-control/alarm vizualization tools

SNO "polling" system: reads one crate at a time **2-250 kB/s** bandwidth SNO+ "pushing" system: sending data in parallel nominal data rate ~**2.5 MB/s** 

> Airfill runs and water commissioning runs to tests the full system

### The SNO+ experiment: water system

- Water system
  - water provides initial leach/wash of the AV
  - SNO experiment water system reconditioned
  - new configuration to supply water inside the AV

Achieved water purity is comparable to SNO

Water replacement by scintillator will be performed by adding purified scintillator to the top of the neck while water is withdrawn from the bottom of the AV



### The SNO+ experiment: scintillator system

- Scintillator plant system
  - stainless steel transport and circulation system
  - 15 L/min LAB distillation improves optical path length, removes <sup>7</sup>Be, Pb, Th, U with > 90% efficiency
  - N<sub>2</sub>/steam stripping columns remove Rn, O<sub>2</sub>, Kr and Ar
  - Rn analysis facility (cryo trapping + Lucas cell)
  - 150 L/min water Scheibel extraction column remove <sup>40</sup>K, Ra and <sup>210</sup>Pb



Solar phase: more constraints on radio-purity requirements

LIP seminar

## The SNO+ experiment: <sup>130</sup>Te purification

- Te(OH)<sub>6</sub> crystals ethanol rinsing
  - purification technique factor reduction of 10<sup>3</sup> (one pass) to 10<sup>5</sup> (after second pass) demonstrated by spike tests
  - technique to purify large quantities of ethanol under development
- Purification in a nutshell
  - need to accommodate ~4.5 tons of  $Te(OH)_6$  for 0.3% loading
  - main purification to be done on surface due to SNOLAB restrictions on ethanol
  - transporting material rapidly underground ( $< \sim 5$  hrs)
  - cosmogenic re-growth still requires "polishing" purification underground with lower yield (no ethanol used)

### Bottom line: 45 days purification time

Te(OH)<sub>6</sub> Feed

Surface Plant

**UG** Polishing

Plant

SNO+

50kg

50kg

50kg

100kg

- Calibration purpose
  - measurements and validation of the detector parameters
- Simulation: need to validate models of
  - light production
  - light transport
  - light detection
- Reconstruction: measurements and algorithms cross-check of
  - energy
  - position
  - particle ID

Light yield from different particles across the detector energy range with radioactive sources

Optical calibration for light transport models through different media (LS, H<sub>2</sub>O)

PMT timing calibration for light detection

- Optical calibration
  - embedded (fixed) fiber-based system
    - laser/LED based light injection system installed on the PMT array
  - deployed light source (laserball)
  - deployed cherenkov light source
- Radioactive (deployed) sources
  - several  $(\beta, \gamma)$  radioactive sources being developed
- Sources deployment system
  - deploy several type of sources from the top of the AV
  - off-axis deployment
  - avoid scintillation contamination
  - radon tight: fully sealed system



- Fiber-based optical system
  - LEDs pulses (510 nm) in 92 points
    - PMTs timing and gain calibration,
    - scintillator transparency monitoring
  - laser pulses (4 wavelengths, 3 angles) in 4 points
    - measure scattering properties of the medium
  - LEDs pulses (*≠* wavelengths, 2 angles) in 4 points
    - monitor the optical attenuation length

Fiber-based system advantages:

- constant calibration permitted
- dead-time reduced





Courtesy: S. Andringa (LIP Jornadas)



LIP design and construction (with Sussex for LED/electronics, Oxford for laser/quartz fibers)

- Deployed optical systems
  - Laserball
    - 10 cm diameter quartz flask + nitrogen laser
    - use of dyes to change wavelengths
    - very low radon emanation (< 30 atoms per day)
    - neck diameter reduced (minimise shadowing)
    - PMTs angular response (and light concentrators) calibration
    - liquid scintillator monitoring (extinction length)
    - relative PMT efficiency
    - position dependence of the optical response

New laserball design based on SNO experience

Strong LIP participation in analysis

PMT hits time for laserball pulses / at two wavelengths 10

10<sup>2</sup>



0.3% Te-loaded scintillator + perylene

320

340

360

Wavelength: 500 nm Wavelength: 450 nm

300

280

260

laserball flask

Time (ns)

400

380

- Optical calibration: Cherenkov source
  - <sup>8</sup>Li source hosted in a hollow acrylic sphere
  - PMT to tag the <sup>8</sup>Li decays by the scintillation light from the 2-α emitted.
  - high energy e- from the <sup>8</sup>Li decay enters the acrylic wall and produce Cherenkov γ
  - PMT efficiency calibration
- Radioactive sources







### The SNO+ experiment: deployment systems

• Umbilical Retrieval Mechanism (URM) and Universal Interface (UI)



Design and construction at LIP Coimbra

### **The SNO+ detector: backgrounds**

• Backgrounds zoo

#### Cosmogenics

- 11C
- <sup>39</sup>Ar
- 14C
- **-** 13C

- Te activated isotopes (e.g. <sup>60</sup>Co)

### Natural sources

- U/Th chains
- <sup>40</sup>K
- <sup>85</sup>Kr

(α,n) γ

### 2νββ decay

Solar <sup>8</sup>B



External radioactivity (in regions outside the scintillator volume but propagate into it)

- AV
- PMTs
- H2O
- ropes

#### Internal radioactivity (scintillator volume)

- scintillator
- AV leaching
- ropes/pipes inside the AV

### The SNO+ detector: backgrounds

Backgrounds mitigation

#### **2v**ββ (irreducible)

Energy resolution fundamental (separation between the two decays)



#### **8**B v ES (irreducible)

Flux well known Can use high energy tail to estimate background in ROI

#### Cosmogenics

Removal via multi-stage distillation of the LS, re-crystallisation of the telluric acid using nitric acid, rinsing with ethanol

Constant monitoring of the water/LS radiopurity with ex-situ measurements

### **The SNO+ detector: backgrounds**

- Internal U/Th decay chains
  - <sup>238</sup>U chain target level ~2.5x10<sup>-15</sup> g<sub>U</sub>/g<sub>cocktail</sub>
    - $\beta$ - $\alpha$  delayed coincidence technique to tag •  $^{214}\text{Bi}-^{214}\text{Po} (\tau^{1/2} = 164 \text{ }\mu\text{s})$
  - <sup>232</sup>Th chain target level  $\sim 3x10^{-16}$  g<sub>Th</sub>/g<sub>cocktail</sub>
    - $\beta$ - $\alpha$  delayed coincidence technique to tag ullet $^{212}\text{Bi}-^{212}\text{Po} (\tau^{1/2} = 299 \text{ ns})$
    - $\alpha$ - $\beta$  delayed coincidence technique to tag ullet $^{212}\text{Bi}-^{208}\text{Tl} (\tau^{1/2} = 3.05 \text{ min})$
- External gammas
  - 2.6 MeV  $\gamma$ s from external <sup>208</sup>Tl
    - suppressed with fiducial volume cut
    - from AV can be removed via PMT time residuals analysis •
- $(\alpha,n)$  gammas
  - delayed neutron capture tagging





4% B- 2.25 ·

 $0.30 \mu s$ 

a 8.95

212p

232Th

### **The SNO+ experiment: sensitivity**

Counts/5 y/20 keV bin

18

16

14

12

10

8

6

2

- SNO+ sensitivity 0.3 % <sup>130</sup> Te loading
  - R < 3.5 m (20%) fiducial volume cut</li>
  - 5 years of data-taking
  - > 99.99% efficiency <sup>214</sup>Bi tag
  - 98% efficiency internal <sup>208</sup>Tl tag
  - factor 50 reduction <sup>214</sup>BiPo (pile-up)
  - negligible cosmogenics
  - $m_{0\nu\beta\beta} = 200 \text{ meV}$

Juy 3rd 2014

- [J. Barea et al. Phys. Rev. C 87 (2013) 014315] [J. Kotila, F. Iachello Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 034316]
  - Can reach mass sensitivity of 80-100 meV after 5 years of data taking at 0.3% <sup>130</sup>Te loading



### The SNO+ experiment: LIP activities

- Calibration
  - design, construction, installation of the PMTs calibration system
  - design and construction of the URM (source deployment system)
  - leadership and strong participation in optical calibration analysis
- Physics data-taking and analysis
  - DAQ and data-quality checks
  - backgrounds for double beta decay
  - leadership and strong participation in the anti-neutrinos physics

### The SNO+ experiment: status

#### • Status

- on-going installation of the scintillator plant
- on-going construction of the calibration systems
- efforts also on-going in the DAQ/database/monitoring software
- water plant is now operational
- water level reached ~18 ft (just below the AV)



Validation of the detector stability ("float the boat") in presence of water both in and out the acrylic vessel to come

> SNO+ collaboration is preparing for the water-phase: exciting times !

### The SNO+ experiment: run plan

• Run plan Courtesy F. Descamps Jinping Workshop (June 2014)	Light Water filling now!	nucleon decay			
	Scintillator loading (spring 2015) Te-loaded scintillator (end 2015)	backgrounds		Re	Supernova
		ββ	ieo neutrinos	actor neutrino	a monitoring
	Pure scintillator phase	solar neutrinos		Š	

SNO+ neutrinoless double-beta decay physics program will be to probe the neutrino mass in the top of the inverted hierarchy mass region (80-100 meV) starting with 0.3% <sup>130</sup>Te loading in the first phase.

### Acknowledgments



This work was partially funded by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT, Portugal) through project grant PTDC/FIS/115281/2009

# **BACKUP SLIDES**

### **Backup slides**

• Radioactive sources

AmBe  $(n,\gamma)$  - 2.2-4.4 MeV for detector's energy scale, resolution, vertex reconstruction

 $^{16}N(\gamma)$  6.1 MeV for energy scale

 $^{24}Na~(\gamma)~2.754~MeV + 1.386~MeV$  detected at 4.12 MeV for linearity of the energy range

 $^{48}Sc(\gamma)$  (1.037 – 1.312 - 0.983) MeV measures the energy dependence of the scintillator energy response

- $^{57}Co(\gamma)$  very low energy calibration source (122 136) keV
- ${}^{60}Co(\gamma)$  calibration of the energy near the endpoint of  ${}^{130}Te$
- $^{90}Y$  (\beta) 2.2 MeV to understand difference in quenching and spatial distribution between  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$

### Internal background radiation will also be used as sources.