111 - Performance of microdosimetric detectors using Monte-Carlo

Filipe Ficalho and Juan Jose Gomez

Why do we need this kind of detectors?

Proton Therapy

Proton therapy is a great treatment for certain types of cancer due to the Bragg peak, which maximizes the radiation dose in the area that needs it while reducing the dose in areas that don't. This peak's penetration depth can be changed by changing the proton's energy, making this treatment highly versatile





The investigation process

Every fiber is made of polystyrene, has a diameter of 25 µm and a length of 5 cm. We then group this in different ways creating different geometries and compare their efficiencies.

Those fibers will be hit by a proton beam from 15 mm away to the central fiber, whose protons have an energy of 150 MeV each. The beam has a circular spread of 2.5 mm radius, spreading the protons in a gaussian.



What happens in the simulations?



What happens in the simulations?





Proton's Y Position When Entering the Fibers

A brief description of Scintillation



Produced Photons' Spectrum





hist



Let's Compare Different Geometry Layouts

Fibers Close Together

Fibers Separated by Air





Fibers Close Together





 $N = m \cdot E + b$

m = 48893 ± 4382.124 b = 42316.3 ± 24359.9 Correlation = 0.999878

Fibers Separated by Air





$N = m \cdot E + b$

m = 52890.8 ± 124.024 b = 16264.2 ± 7223.88 Correlation = 0.999989

Fibers Close Together



m = $0.214877 \pm 8.69245e-05$ b = 106.763 ± 34.6613 Correlation ≈ 1

Fibers Separated by Air





$$N_{Det.} = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{Prod.} + \mathbf{k}$$

m = $0.214714 \pm 9.19411e-05$ b = -53.0323 ± 36.2084 Correlation ≈ 1

Summary

We are still in the very beginning of this research topic!

All we can say for now is:

- There are no preferential energies to get caught in the fibers or escape them
- We observe a linear relation between the energy deposited in the fibers and the photons detected
- The efficiency of our fibers doesn't seem to change if we separate them small distances

OSL Dosimetry A2O3: C/MG

Outline

OSL Dosimetry Al2O3 : C/Mg



- Brief comments on the OSL dosimetry
- Geometry, source and material of the crystal.
- Results for both crystals and soft tissue
- Conclusions
- Bibliography

2

OSL Dosimetry

• The OSL dosimetry is a technique to assess some operational and/or radiation protection quantity (absorbed dose, equivalent dose, effective dose). The basic principle is the energy storing of the incident radiation (ionizing and non-ionizing) in terms of electrons/holes trapped in defects that are created in a crystal using a dopant. Then, the dose information "collected" is obtained by using light stimulation (visible light). That's why is called Optical Stimulated Luminescence.



OSL Dosimetry

- The typical materials used in OSL Dosimetry are Al2O3 : C/Mg and BeO. These are typically taken by individuals, and they store the information from weeks up to one year depending on the device.
- Ideally, these devices must behave in a similar way to the **soft tissue.** The soft tissue defined by the **ICRP** (International Commission of Radiation Protection) trying to define the composition, effective atomic number and **density of human tissue.**





- In a dosimeter, one desires to have a linear response between the dose and the output signal. Currently, this can be achieved between the range of the 10uSv to 10Sv. (The value for a flight between Frankfurt - New York is 40 - 60 uSv and annual dose of a person is 2-3 mSv).
- The stimulation can be **pulsed POSL, constant, linear.** The readout is done **fractionated.**



CW-OSL

OSL Dosimetry





Geometry, source and material of the crystal

How is the MC simulation done? Which materials are used? What is the size of the crystal? How is the geometry of the simulation?



• The simulation is composed by done in TOPAS Code

Geometry, Beam source and crystal composition

	Material	Size and Location
The 'world': A rectangular box.	Air: G4_AIR.	X-size : 40cm. Y-size : 20cm. Z-size : 20cm.
The 'crystal': A squared box.	 Aluminum Dioxide: G4_ALUMINUM_OXIDE Aluminum Dioxide: Carbon doped (0.01%, 0.1%, 0.5%, 1%, 5%, 10%, 100% Carbon) Aluminum Dioxide: Magnesium doped (0.01%, 0.1%, 0.5%, 1%, 5%, 10%, 100% Magnesium) Soft Tissue 	X-size: 1 mm Y-size: 1 mm Z-size: 1 mm Location: Center of the geometry (0,0,0)
The proton source:	 150 MeV monoenergetic beam Gaussian angular beam distribution Number of events: 100000 	Position : 15cm in the positive x-axis. Rotated 90 degrees respect to the y-axis. Parallel to the x-axis.

• The simulation looks like: (Enlarging the crystal x10 to see it)

Geometry, Beam source and crystal composition

The

OSL Dosimetr Al2O3 : C/Ma

simulations

procedure



The large number of particles that are produced due to the interactions in the world and in the crystal are **secondary electrons.** Therefore, the secondary electrons that are produced **mainly by ionizations** and are shown in the **undoped crystal** and **carbon doped** crystal. To visualize better the effect of the magnesium and carbon dopants in the crystal, it's plotted **the ratio between the number of the secondary electrons with dopants and without dopant.**



The addition of dopants **increases** the number of secondary electrons produced in the crystal, however, it's important to see if it behaves like the **soft tissue.** The extreme case in which 100% carbon and 100% magnesium is illustrated.





10

• Other features that are important is to analyze the **energy that is deposited for a 150MeV proton beam source** in the crystal due to the proton beam and the further particles and nuclei produced. To see this, the energy deposit is seen for both crystals, it ranges from **0 up to values of 100keV** in few cases.



• Other features that are important is to analyze the **energy that is deposited for a 150MeV proton beam source** in the crystal due to the proton beam and the further particles and nuclei produced. To see this, the energy deposit is seen for both crystals, it ranges from **0 up to values of 100keV** in few cases.



For a further assessment of the **dose** that is in principle the main objective, it's required to know which particles are produced and what is the **energy they deposit per unit of distance** in the crystal, the Linear Energy Transfer **LET.**

Consequently, the number of heavy ions, protons, photons, neutrons and electrons are computed.

['Proton', 'Electron', 'Photon', 'Al26', 'O14', 'Positron', 'eNeut', 'N15', 'Neutron', 'C11', 'Alpha', 'Li7', 'Al25', 'Mg26', 'Mg25', 'Na23', 'O15', 'Mg24m', 'Al27', 'O18', 'O17', 'Na24', 'Na23m', 'eAntineut', 'Mg24', 'Mg23', 'Be7', 'B9', 'Be8', 'Ne20', 'N14m', 'Deuteron', 'O16', 'Na24m', 'Mg26m', 'C10', 'B10m', 'Be9', 'He3', 'Triton', 'F18', 'Na22', 'Ne22', 'Ne21']

Radiation type and energy range	Radiation weighting factor, wR
Photons, all energies	1
Electrons and muons, all energies	1
Neutrons, energy <10 keV	5
Neutrons, energy 10–100 keV	10
Neutrons, energy > 100 keV-2 MeV	20
Neutrons, energy > 2–20 MeV	10
Neutrons, energy > 20 MeV	5
Protons, other than recoil protons, energy > 2 MeV	5
Alpha particles, fission fragments, heavy nuclei	20

Source: ICRP, 2003. Relative Biological Effectiveness (RBE), Quality Factor (Q), and Radiation Weighting Factor (wR). ICRP Publication 92. Ann. ICRP 33 (4).

For a further assessment of the **dose** that is in principle the main objective, it's required to know which particles are produced and what is the energy they deposit per unit of distance in the crystal, the Linear Energy Transfer LET.

Consequently, the number of heavy ions, protons, photons, neutrons and electrons are computed.



Particles produced or interacting in the Crystal Carbon 0.1%

For a further assessment of the **dose** that is in principle the main objective, it's required to know which particles are produced and what is the energy they deposit per unit of distance in the crystal, the Linear Energy Transfer LET.

Consequently, the number of heavy ions, protons, photons, neutrons and electrons are computed.



Particles produced or interacting in the Crystal Magnesium 0.1%

For a further assessment of the **dose** that is in principle the main objective, it's required to know which particles are produced and what is the energy they deposit per unit of distance in the crystal, the LET.

Consequently, the number of heavy ions, protons, photons, neutrons and electrons are computed also for the **soft tissue**.



Particles produced or interacting in the Soft Tissue

For a further assessment of the **dose** that is in principle the main objective, it's required to know which particles are produced and what is the energy they deposit per unit of distance in the crystal, the Linear Energy Transfer (**LTE**).



For a further assessment of the **dose** that is in principle the main objective, it's required to know which particles are produced and what is the energy they deposit per unit of distance in the crystal, the Linear Energy Transfer (**LTE**).



For a further assessment of the **dose** that is in principle the main objective, it's required to know which particles are produced and what is the energy they deposit per unit of distance in the crystal, the Linear Energy Transfer (**LTE**).





- The addition of carbon and magnesium in the **crystal increases the number of secondary electrons** that inevitably increases the **output of the dosimeter** once it gets stimulated.
- The energy deposit is mainly done by secondary electrons inside of the crystal, a small contribution of heavy ions and protons is also seen.
- The number of secondary electrons respect to heavy ions and other particles in the crystal is larger around two/three magnitudes order.
- The value found for **the LET in the simulations is typical of the simulations in the field of the proton therapy**. See Suit, H., et al . (2010). Proton vs carbon ion beams in the definitive radiation treatment of cancer patients. *Radiotherapy And Oncology*, *95*(1), 3-22. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2010.01.015
- The further step is to **perform simulations with the mixture of magnesium and carbon doping.**

Juan Jose Gomez Rodriguez j.gomezrodriguez@studenti.unipi.it

Filipe Ficalho <u>filipe.ficalho@tecnico.ulisboa.pt</u>

